Universidad Autónoma de Madrid
Facultad de Ciencias
Departamento de Matemáticas

arXiv:math/9912150v1 [math.SG] 18 Dec 1999

Teoría de Yang–Mills–Higgs para fibraciones simplécticas

Ignasi Mundet i Riera

Memoria para optar al título de doctor en
ciencias matemáticas.
Director: Oscar García–Prada.

Madrid, abril de 1999.

Als meus pares

Agradecimientos

L'aigua era freda i em vaig enrecordar que el dia abans, al matí, a l'hora del casament, havia plogut fort i vaig pensar que a la tarda, quan aniria al parc com sempre, potser encara trobaria un toll d'aigua pels caminets... i dintre de cada toll, per petit que fos, hi hauria el cel...

M. Rodoreda, LA PLAÇA DEL DIAMANT

Quiero agradecer en primer lugar al director de esta tesis, Oscar García–Prada, la ayuda que me ha prestado y el haber estado siembre dispuesto a escuchar. Sin su constante apoyo (y exigencia) esta tesis no habría visto nunca la luz.

Agradezco también a Ignacio Sols y a Vicente Muñoz tantas interesantes conversaciones y cafés. Mis agradecimientos a Luis Álvarez por todas esas discusiones sobre física, matemáticas y teorías gauge. Gracias también a todas las personas que me animaron a estudiar geometría y teorías gauge. Especialmente, a Vicenç Navarro y a Sebastià Xambó.

Quiero agradecer a todos los miembros del Departamento de Matemáticas de la U.A.M. lo bien acogido que me he sentido en todo momento. A todos los participantes del curso de representación de grupos y otros seminarios, con cuyos comentarios he aprendido tantas cosas. Especialmente a Rafael Hernández, Jesús Gonzalo y José Manuel Marco. Gracias también a mis compañeros de despacho por los buenos momentos y por la paciencia que han tenido (particularmente a J. Guerrero por haber aguantado estoicamente tamaño bombardeo de cuestiones filológicas).

Finalment, voldria agrair als meus pares, als meus germans i a la Lidia la seva estimació, el seu recolzament, i l'haver-me fet costat en tot moment durant tots aquests anys.

Contents

I Introduction **9**

I.1 The equations 9

I.2 Contents of the thesis 14

I.3 Some questions 18

I.4 Notations 19

1 Equations and Yang–Mills–Higgs functional **21**

1.1 The equations 21

1.2 The Yang–Mills–Higgs functional 23

2 Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence **33**

2.1 Stability and statement of the correspondence 34

2.2 The integral of the moment map 43

2.3 The Kaehler structure on $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}$ 47

2.4 Analytic stability 54

2.5 Proof of the correspondence 58

2.6 Example: the theorem of Banfield 64

2.7 Example: projective pairs 66

2.8 Example: filtrations of vector bundles 68

2.9 A trivial example of stable pair 73

3 The moduli space **75**

3.1 Sobolev completions 75

3.2 The moduli spaces 76

3.3 Local structure 78

3.4 Smoothness of $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{F,S^1}(B,c)$ for semi-free S^1 actions 82

3.5 Smoothness of $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}^{F,S^1}(B,c)$ for semi-free S^1 actions 86

4 Compactification of the moduli **87**
4.1 Regularity of THCs 87
4.2 Equivariant Gromov-Schwartz lemma 91
4.3 Removability of singularities 93
4.4 Compactness 94

5 The choice of the complex structure **101**
5.1 Isotropy pairs 102
5.2 Smoothness of moduli of holomorphic curves 106
5.3 Dimension of the moduli (case $\Sigma = \mathbb{C}P^1$) 108
5.4 Index computations: S^1 actions 113
5.5 Index computations: $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ actions 117

6 The invariants Φ and $\overline{\Phi}$ **121**
6.1 Conditions 123
6.2 Moduli of cusp σ-THCs 125
6.3 Extended moduli of cusp σ-THCs 133
6.4 Definition of the invariants 133
6.5 An example 140

A Some useful results **145**
A.1 Vector bundles over fibre bundles 145
A.2 Actions of compact groups on manifolds 149
A.3 Principal bundles on a compact Riemann surface 151
A.4 (Co)homology classes in fibre bundles 152

Chapter I

Introduction

Our aim in this thesis is to study a system of equations which generalises at the same time the vortex equations of Yang–Mills–Higgs theory and the holomorphicity equation in Gromov theory of pseudoholomorphic curves. In this work we extend some results and definitions from both theories to a common setting. We introduce a functional generalising Yang–Mills–Higgs functional, whose minima coincide with the solutions to our equations. We prove a Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence allowing to study the solutions of the equations in the Kaehler case. We give a structure of smooth manifold to the set of (gauge equivalence classes of) solutions to (a perturbation of) the equations (the so-called moduli space). We give a compactification of the moduli space, generalising Gromov's compactification of the moduli of holomorphic curves. Finally, we use the moduli space to define (under certain conditions) invariants of compact symplectic manifolds with a Hamiltonian almost free action of S^1.

In this chapter we first introduce the equations studied in this thesis. Then we briefly recall some of the main features of Yang–Mills–Higgs and Gromov theories and finally we explain the contents of each of the subsequent chapters.

I.1 The equations

Let K be a real compact and connected Lie group, and let $\mathfrak{k} = \mathrm{Lie}(K)$ be its Lie algebra. Let X be a compact connected Kaehler manifold of complex dimension n. Let ω_X be the symplectic structure of X and $\Lambda : \Omega^*(X) \to \Omega^{*-2}$ the adjoint of the exterior product by ω_X. Let also $E \to X$ be a K principal bundle, with the action of K on the right. Finally, let F be a symplectic manifold with a Hamiltonian action of K on the left. Let us call ω_F the symplectic form of F and $\mu : F \to \mathfrak{k}^*$ the moment map. We recall that μ satisfies two properties: (1) for any $s \in \mathfrak{k}$ we have $d\mu(s) = \iota_{\mathscr{X}_s}\omega_F$, where $\mathscr{X}_s \in \Gamma(TF)$ is the vector field generated by the infinitesimal action of s on F and (2) it is equivariant with respect to the coadjoint action of K on $\mathfrak{k}^*$. Let $\pi_F : \mathcal{F} \to X$ be the fibred product $E \times_K F$.

Let $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{A}^E$ be the space of connections on E and $\mathscr{S} = \mathscr{S}^E = \Gamma(\mathcal{F})$ the space of sections of $\mathcal{F}$.

Let us fix a complex structure I_F of F which is compatible with ω_F and invariant under the action of K (such structures always exist: see lemma 5.49 in [McDS2]). When F is a complex vector space (and I_F is the standard complex structure) there is a standard way to define an operator $\overline{\partial}_A : \Omega^0(\mathcal{F}) \to \Omega^{0,1}(\mathcal{F})$ out of a connection $A \in \mathscr{A}$, and the sections $\Phi \in \mathscr{S} = \Omega^0(\mathcal{F})$ such that $\overline{\partial}_A \Phi = 0$ are usually called (pseudo)holomorphic[1] with respect to A. This notion can be extended to our setting as follows. Let $T\mathcal{F}_v = \operatorname{Ker} d\pi_F$ be the bundle of vertical tangent vectors. A connection $A \in \mathscr{A}$ induces a splitting $T\mathcal{F} \simeq \pi_F^* TX \oplus T\mathcal{F}_v$, which allows to define a projection $\alpha : T\mathcal{F} \to T\mathcal{F}_v$. On the other hand, the complex structure I_F induces a complex structure on the bundle $T\mathcal{F}_v$ (here we need I_F to be K-invariant). Then we define the covariant derivative (with respect to A) of a section $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}$ to be

$$d_A \Phi = \alpha \circ d\Phi \in \Omega^1(\Phi^* T\mathcal{F}_v), \tag{I.1}$$

and the antiholomorphic part of $d_A\Phi$ to be

$$\overline{\partial}_A \Phi = \pi^{0,1} d_A \Phi, \tag{I.2}$$

where $\pi^{0,1} : \Omega^1(\Phi^* T\mathcal{F}_v) \to \Omega^{0,1}(\Phi^* T\mathcal{F}_v)$ is the projection. These definitions coincide with the classical ones when F is a vector space (in this case $\mathcal{F} \simeq T\mathcal{F}_v$ canonically).

Let us take on $\mathfrak{k}$ a biinvariant metric (that is, a metric invariant under the adjoint action of K). Using this metric we get an equivariant isomorphism $\mathfrak{k} \simeq \mathfrak{k}^*$ which extends to an isomorphisms of vector bundles

$$E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{k} \simeq E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{k}^* \tag{I.3}$$

(observe that we denote with the same symbol Ad both the adjoint representation on $\mathfrak{k}$ and the coadjoint representation on $\mathfrak{k}^*$). Let finally $c \in \mathfrak{k}$ be a central element. The equations which we study in this thesis are

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\partial}_A \Phi = 0, \\ \Lambda F_A + \mu(\Phi) = c, \\ F_A^{0,2} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{I.4}$$

where $A \in \mathscr{A}$ is a connection, $F_A \in \Omega^2(E \times_{Ad} \mathfrak{k})$ is the curvature of A, and $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}$ is a section. Observe that $\Lambda F_A \in \Omega^0(E \times_{Ad} \mathfrak{k})$ and that $\mu(\Phi) \in \Omega^0(E \times_{Ad} \mathfrak{k}^*)$, so to give a sense to the second equation we need to use the isomorphism (I.3). Hence, the equations (I.4) depend on the biinvariant metric taken on $\mathfrak{k}$. In the third equation $F_A^{0,2}$ refers to the piece in $\Omega^{0,2}(\mathfrak{g}_E)$ of the curvature

$$F_A \in \Omega^2(E \times_{Ad} \mathfrak{k}) \subset \Omega^2(\mathfrak{g}_E) = \Omega^{2,0}(\mathfrak{g}_E) \oplus \Omega^{1,1}(\mathfrak{g}_E) \oplus \Omega^{0,2}(\mathfrak{g}_E),$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_E = E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is the complexification of $\mathfrak{k}$. The condition $F_A^{0,2} = 0$ is equivalent to $F_A \in \Omega^{1,1}(\mathfrak{g}_E)$. We will write $\mathscr{A}^{1,1}$ for the set of connections $A \in \mathscr{A}$ such that $F_A^{0,2} = 0$. By the theorem of Newlander and Niremberg [NewNi] these are the connections which define integrable complex structures in the complex vector bundles associated to E.

---

[1] The prefix *pseudo* refers to the fact that the complex structure defined by A need not be integrable, similarly to what happens in the theory of (pseudo)holomorphic curves. In this thesis, however, we will use the word *holomorphic* regardless the integrability of the complex structure.

I.1.1 Yang–Mills–Higgs theory

Let us suppose that F is a complex vector space with a Hermitian metric. The imaginary part of the metric with reversed sign gives a symplectic form on F compatible with the complex structure. Hence F is a Kaehler manifold. Let us suppose that the action of K on F is given by a linear representation $\rho : K \to \mathrm{U}(F)$. The equations (I.4) become in this situation the equations of Yang–Mills–Higgs theory. These equations appeared for the first time in physics, in the context of field theory, and they have been used to model different phaenomena (such as superconductivity when $F = \mathbb{C}$, $K = S^1$ and ρ is the fundamental representation, strong and electroweak forces when $F = \mathbb{C}^n$, $K = \mathrm{SU}(n)$ and ρ is a representation depending on the particles coupled to the gauge fields, etc.). From a mathematical viewpoint Yang–Mills–Higgs equations have played a prominent role in the evolution of geometry during the last thirty years. In particular, the study of the set of solutions (the so–called moduli space) has been specially fruitful.

I.1.1.1 Some examples

When $F = \{\mathrm{pt}\}$ the first equation is unnecessary, and the second one is Hermite–Einstein equation. If X is a Riemann surface, this equation reduces to the condition on a connection A of being projectively flat. In general, when X is a Kaehler manifold, the Hermite–Einstein equation is related to the notion of stability of vector bundles coming from Geometric Invariant Theory (see below section I.1.1.3). The moduli space arising in this situation has been a central object of study in geometry: many people have studied its topological properties, its properties as a Riemannian variety or the properties of its structure of algebraic variety. When X is a compact Riemannian four manifold, the notion of anti–self–duality generalises the conditions $\Lambda F_A = 0$ and $F_A^{0,2} = 0$ and the resulting moduli space was used by Donaldson to define his celebrated invariants (see [DoKr]).

When $F = \mathbb{C}^n$, $K = \mathrm{U}(n;\mathbb{C})$ and ρ is the fundamental representation, we get the vortex equations, studied by Jaffe and Taubes [JT], Bradlow [Br1, Br2], García–Prada [GP1, GP2, GP3] and others. If X is a compact Riemannian four manifod and $n = 1$, these equations generalise to Seiberg-Witten equations (see for example [Do4, GP4]). When $F = \mathfrak{k}$ and ρ is the adjoint representation we get (after twisting the vector bundle $\mathcal{F}$ with the cotangent bundle of X) the Higgs bundle equations, studied by Hitchin [Hi], Simpson [Si] and Corlette [Co], and whose study has led to important developements in Kaehler geometry (specially in understanding the fundamental groups of compact Kaehler manifolds, see [ABCKT]). Another interesting case is $F = \mathrm{Hom}(W_1, W_2)$ and $K = \mathrm{U}(W_1) \times \mathrm{U}(W_2)$, where W_i are Hermitian vector spaces. This leads to the equations for holomorphic triples, introduced by García–Prada [GP3] and studied also by García–Prada and Bradlow in [BrGP3].

I.1.1.2 The Yang–Mills–Higgs functional

The Yang–Mills–Higgs functional evaluated at a connection A and a section Φ is defined as

$$\mathcal{YMH}_c(A,\Phi)=\|F_A\|^2_{L^2}+\|d_A\Phi\|^2_{L^2}+\|\mu(\Phi)-c\|^2_{L^2}. \tag{I.5}$$

A basic result in the theory is that one can rewrite the Yang–Mills–Higgs functional as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{YMH}_c(A,\Phi)=&\|\Lambda F_A+\mu(\Phi)-c\|^2_{L^2}+2\|\overline{\partial}_A\Phi\|^2_{L^2}+4\|F_A^{0,2}\|^2_{L^2}\\&+2\int_X\langle\Lambda F_A,c\rangle\omega^{[n]}+\int_X\operatorname{Tr}F_A\wedge F_A\wedge\omega^{[n-2]},\end{aligned} \tag{I.6}$$

where $\omega=\omega_X$ and $\omega^{[k]}=\omega^k/k!$. (In the integrals of functions on X appearing in the sequel we will implicitly use the volume form $\omega^{[n]}$.) From this we deduce that, if we fix E and c, the pairs (A,Φ) which minimise $\mathcal{YMH}_c$ are precisely the solutions to equations (I.4). (Indeed, the terms $\int_X\langle\Lambda F_A,c\rangle$ and $\int_X\operatorname{Tr}F_A\wedge F_A\wedge\omega^{[n-2]}$ only depend on E, the representation ρ and c.) The equality (I.6) can be proved using the Kaehler identities (see for example [Br1]). This equality allows to find L^2 bounds on the curvature F_A and the covariant derivative $d_A\Phi$ when (A,Φ) satisfies (I.4).

I.1.1.3 The Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence

Let $\mathscr{G}_K=\Gamma(E\times_{\mathrm{Ad}}K)$ be the gauge group of E. Let $G=K^{\mathbb{C}}$ be the complexification of K, and let $\mathscr{G}_G=\Gamma(E\times_{Ad}G)$ be the complex gauge group ($\mathscr{G}_G$ is the complexification of $\mathscr{G}_K$). The group $\mathscr{G}_K$ acts on the space of connections $\mathscr{A}$ and on the space of sections $\mathscr{S}=\Omega^0(\mathcal{F})$. On the other hand, both actions of $\mathscr{G}_K$ extend to holomorphic (with respect to a certain natural complex structure on $\mathscr{A}\times\mathscr{S}$) actions of $\mathscr{G}_G$.

The first equation of (I.4) is invariant under the action of $\mathscr{G}_G$. That is, for any $g\in\mathscr{G}_G$ and $(A,\Phi)\in\mathscr{A}\times\mathscr{S}$ such that $\overline{\partial}_A\Phi=0$ we have $\overline{\partial}_{g(A)}g(\Phi)=0$. The third equation is also $\mathscr{G}_G$ invariant. The second equation, however, is only invariant under the action of $\mathscr{G}_K$. This suggest the following question: given a pair $(A,\Phi)\in\mathscr{A}\times\mathscr{S}$, how can we know whether there exists a gauge transformation $g\in\mathscr{G}_G$ such that $(g(A),g(\Phi))$ satisfies

$$\Lambda F_{g(A)}+\mu(g(\Phi))=c?$$

The so–called Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence answers this question by giving a necessary and sufficient condition for this transformation to exist. This condition involves certain coherent subsheaves of $\mathcal{F}$ and coincides (when X is a Riemann surface), in all the cases studied in the literature, with the condition of stability arising in the construction of the algebraic moduli space of pairs (A,Φ) using Geometric Invariant Theory. On the other hand one proves that, if such a g exists, then it is unique modulo the action of $\mathscr{G}_K$ on $\mathscr{G}_G$ on the left.

For the case $F=\{\mathrm{pt}\}$ and $K=\mathrm{U}(n)$ (Hermite-Einstein equations) Narasimhan and Seshadri [NSe] gave in the 60's a proof of the Hitchin–Kobayashi correspodence on Riemann surfaces. The method used in [NSe] is of algebro-geometric nature. Soon after the appearance of the landmark paper [AB], Donaldson [Do1] gave a proof of the same result using techniques from gauge theories. In [Do2] Donaldson extended the correspondence on algebraic surfaces. Uhlenbeck and Yau [UY] proved the correspondence on any compact Kaehler manifold (see [Do3] for a proof valid for projective manifolds). Finally, Bartolomeis and Tian [BarTi] gave a generalisation of the correspondence to almost complex compact manifolds. The case X a Riemann surface, $F = \{\mathrm{pt}\}$ and arbitrary K was studied by Ramanathan and Subramanian in [RS], using the results of Ramanathan in [R1] on stability of principal bundles.

Several cases of the Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence for different choices of vector space F, group K and representation ρ have appeared in the literature (see the references in section I.1.1.1). In 1996 Banfield [Ba] proved the correspondence for any compact group K and any representation $\rho : K \to \mathrm{U}(F)$, where F is a Hermitian vector space. This result generalises all the aforementioned ones, with the exception of Bartolomeis and Tian result.

I.1.2 Gromov theory

When the group K acting on F is trivial, the second equation in (I.4) disappears. The section Φ can be seen as a map $\Phi : X \to F$ (here we make a little abuse of notation, writing the map with the same symbol as the section) and the first equation in (I.4) can be written

$$\overline{\partial}\Phi = 0.$$

This is the holomorphicity condition (with respect to the complex structures I_X and I_F on X and F). A relevant situation arises when X is a Riemann surface. In this case the third equation $F_A^{0,2} = 0$ is always satisfied (since $\Omega^{0,2}(X) = 0$). The holomorphic maps Φ from X to F are called (pseudo)holomorphic curves, and the study of their moduli is the central idea of Gromov theory.

Let X be a compact Riemann surface. In his celebrated paper [Gr] Gromov uses the moduli space $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(A)$ of holomorphic maps $\Phi : X \to F$ such that $\Phi_*[X] = A$ (where $A \in H_2(F;\mathbb{Z})$) to the study of the symplectic topology of F. One of the most important results in [Gr] is a natural compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ of the moduli $\mathcal{M}$. Some consequences of the work of Gromov is the non-squeezing theorem for symplectic balls or the fact that the group of symplectomorhisms $\mathrm{Symp}(M)$ of a compact symplectic manifold M is closed in the group of diffeomorphisms $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ with respect to the C^0 topology (see [AuLa, McDS1, McDS2]).

Following ideas of Witten [Wi] and Kontsevich and Manin [KoMa] the moduli of curves $\mathcal{M}$ has been used to define invariants of the symplectic structure of F. The idea of the definition of these invariants consists of using the evaluation map

$$\mathrm{ev} : X \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{F},$$

which sends (x, Φ) to $\Phi(x)$, to pullback cohomology classes from $H^*(\mathcal{F})$ to $H^*(X \times \mathcal{M})$ and obtain classes in $H^*(\mathcal{M})$ by means of the slant product. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a compact smooth manifold then there is a fundamental class $[\mathcal{M}] \in H_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathcal{M})$. Multiplying the obtained cohomology classes and pairing with $[\mathcal{M}]$ we get one of the Gromov-Witten invariants. In general $\mathcal{M}$ is not compact, but Gromov compactification allows to extend the evaluation map to $\overline{\mathrm{ev}} : X \times \overline{\mathcal{M}} \to \mathcal{F}$. However, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is not in general a smooth manifold, so the existence of a fundamental class is not clear. Therefore, to get a rigorous definition of the invariants some extra work is needed. During the last ten years the problem of giving such a rigorous definition has been intensively studied.

A first step in this line was given in the works of Ruan [Ru], Ruan and Tian [RuTi, RuTi2], and McDuff and Salamon [McDS1], in which a rigorous definition of Gromov-Witten invariants was given for semipositive compact symplectic manifolds. In [Ru] these invariants are used to distinguish two deformation classes of symplectic structures on a compact differentiable manifold of real dimension 6.

More recently, however, these works have been improved, and there is a definition of the invariants valid for any compact symplectic manifold. This definition has been given independently by Fukaya and Ono [FuOn], Li and Tian [LiTi], Ruan [Ru2] and Siebert [Sie]. There exists also a definition of Gromov-Witten invariants for projective manifolds in terms of algebraic geometry. This definition was given independently by Behrend [Beh] and by Li and Tian [LiTi2]. Finally, Siebert [Sie2] and Li and Tian [LiTi3] proved that both definitions coincide for projective manifolds.

The consequences of this developement are wide ranging. For example, the theory of Gromov-Witten invariants is very much related to enumerative geometry. In this way, the properties of the invariants have been used to obtain new results such as the number of rational curves of fixed degree and genus passing through a certain number of points in the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n$ (see [KoMa, RuTi]). Others fields to which Gromow-Witten invariants are related are mirror symmetry and the theory of integrable systems. For a survey on these and other interesting applications of Gromov-Witten invariants, see [Ru3].

I.2 Contents of the thesis

I.2.1 Chapter 1: The equations and Yang–Mills–Higgs functional

In the first part of this chapter we introduce the equations which will be studied throughout this thesis. The contents of this part coincides with what was explained in section I.1 of this introduction. In the second part we define the Yang–Mills–Higgs functional evaluated at $(A, \Phi) \in \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}$:

$$\mathcal{YMH}_c(A, \Phi) = \|F_A\|_{L^2}^2 + \|d_A\Phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mu(\Phi) - c\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{I.7}$$

Here $d_A\Phi$ is the covariant derivative defined in (I.1). Of course, if F is a vector space and K acts linearly on F, then the Yang–Mills–Higgs functional defined above coincides with the classical one given in I.5. We then prove a formula generalising (I.6) which allows to write the Yang–Mills–Higgs functional as

$$\mathcal{YMH}_c(A, \Phi) = \|\Lambda F_A + \mu(\Phi) - c\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|\overline{\partial}_A\Phi\|_{L^2}^2 + 4\|F_A^{0,2}\|_{L^2}^2 + T,$$

where T is a constant depending only on the topology of E, F and the section Φ (and which consequently is invariant under deformations of A and Φ). This formula will be used to obtain bounds on the L^2 norms of F_A and $d_A\Phi$ for (A, Φ) solving equations (I.4) and for Φ satisfying some fixed homological constraints.

I.2.2 Chapter 2: Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence

In this chapter we prove a Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence for the second equation in (I.4) when F is Kaehler. This correspondence generalises the results explained in I.1.1.3.

When F is Kaehler, the action of K on F extends to a unique holomorphic action of the complexification $G = K^{\mathbb{C}}$ of K. This allows to extend the action of the gauge group $\mathscr{G}_K = \Gamma(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} K)$ on $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}$ to an action of the complex gauge group $\mathscr{G}_G = \Gamma(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} G)$. In this situation we may ask ourselves the same question as in I.1.1.3: which orbits in $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}$ of the action of $\mathscr{G}_G$ contain solutions to the equation

$$\Lambda F_A + \mu(\Phi) = c? \tag{I.8}$$

And, how many $\mathscr{G}_K$ orbits of solutions to (I.8) can contain at most a $\mathscr{G}_G$ orbit in $\mathscr{A}^{1,1} \times \mathscr{S}$?

The main result of this chapter is a theorem which partially answers these questions. We define the notions of simple and c-stable pair, and we prove that a simple pair (A', Φ') is c-stable if and only if there exists $g \in \mathscr{G}_G$ such that $(A, \Phi) = g(A', \Phi')$ satisfies (I.8). Furthermore, this g is unique up to the action of $\mathscr{G}_K$ on $\mathscr{G}_G$ on the left. In this chapter we do not ask the pair (A, Φ) to satisfy the first equation $\overline{\partial}_A \Phi = 0$; but we restrict ourselves to connections satisfying the third equation $F_A^{0,2} = 0$, that is, to connections belonging to $\mathscr{A}^{1,1}$. This is a technical condition which probably may be relaxed. On the other hand, observe that if X is a Riemann surface then $\Omega^{1,1}(X) = \Omega^2(X)$, so $\mathscr{A}^{1,1} = \mathscr{A}$.

To prove the result of this chapter we construct and study a certain functional on $\mathscr{A}^{1,1} \times \mathscr{S} \times \mathscr{G}_G$ whose critical points are exactly the points (A, Φ, g) which satisfy $\Lambda F_{g(A)} + \mu(g(\Phi)) = c$. The construction of this functional is rather general, and we call it the integral of the moment map.

We finish the chapter with three examples of the correspondence. In the first one we take F to be a Hermitian vector space with a linear unitary action of K, and we obtain Banfield's theorem. In the second example we take $F = \mathbb{P}(W)$, where W is a Hermitian vector space. Finally, in the third example we study the case of F being a Grassmannian or, more generaly, a flag manifold (this case includes, of course, the one studied in the second example).

I.2.3 Chapter 3: The moduli space

In this chapter we make the first steps towards a definition of invariants of the symplectic manifold F and the Hamiltonian action of K, by constructing certain spaces of solutions to a perturbation of equations (I.4). From now on we will suppose that X is a Riemann surface and that F is compact. Later we will make more assumptions on our data.

Let $\mathscr{G}$ be the gauge group of E. In order to use certain results such as the implicit function theorem, we extend our configuration space by completing them with respect to some L^p_k Sobolev norms.

Let $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be a $\mathscr{G}$ invariant element of $\mathrm{Hom}^{0,1}(\pi_F^* TX, T\mathcal{F}_v) \oplus \Omega^0(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{k})$, where $\pi_F : \mathcal{F} \to X$ is the projection. We consider the following perturbed equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{\partial}_A \Phi = \sigma_1, \\ \Lambda F_A + \mu(\Phi) = \sigma_2 + c, \end{array} \right. \tag{I.9}$$

where A and Φ lie in the chosen Sobolev completions of $\mathscr{A}$ and $\mathscr{S}$, and we call the pairs (A, Φ) satisfying them **σ-twisted holomorphic curves over** X (**σ-THCs** for short). (Recall that, since X is a Riemann surface, the integrability condition $F_A^{0,2} = 0$ is always satisfied.)

To any homology class $B \in H_2(F_K)$ (where $F_K = EK \times_K F$ is the Borel construction) we associate a certain $\mathscr{G}$-invariant set $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(B, c) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma^{F,K}(B, c)$ of solutions to equations (I.9) and we define the **moduli space of σ-THCs** (resp. the **extended moduli space of σ-THCs**) to be $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(B, c) = \mathcal{M}_\sigma^{F,K}(B, c) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(B, c)/\mathscr{G}$ (resp. $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(B, c) = \mathcal{N}_\sigma^{F,K}(B, c) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(B, c)/\mathscr{G}_0$, where $\mathscr{G}_0 = \{g \in \mathscr{G} \mid g(x_0) = \mathrm{id}\}$, for a fixed $x_0 \in X$).

Let us suppose that $K = S^1$ and that the action on F is semi free (this means that the action on the complementary of the fixed point set F^{S^1} is free). We prove that there exists a discrete subset $C_0 \subset \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}$ such that if $c \in \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R} \setminus C_0$, then for a generic perturbation $\sigma \in \Sigma_c(E)$ (where $\Sigma_c(E)$ is a non–empty set of perturbations depending on c) the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(B, c)$ is a smooth manifold, and we compute its dimension (the point of restricting to $c \in \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R} \setminus C_0$ is that then the isotropy subgroup in $\mathscr{G}$ of any solution to (I.9) is trivial). We also prove that for two different choices of generic perturbation σ the resulting moduli spaces are cobordant. A similar result is proved for the extended moduli space. Finally, we also have for generic perturbation that the projection $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(B, c) \to \mathcal{M}_\sigma(B, c)$ is a S^1 principal bundle.

I.2.4 Chapter 4: Compactification of the moduli

In this chapter we prove two basic results. The first one is a regularity result, which says that the solutions to equations (I.4) are (gauge equivalent to) smooth pairs, and the second one is a theorem which gives a compactification of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(B, c)$ (and of $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(B, c)$). In contrast with the preceeding chapter and with the next ones, in this chapter K can be any compact connected Lie group, and its action on F is only assumed to be smooth. On the other hand, X is, as always, a Riemann surface and F is compact.

In the preceeding chapter we gave a definition of the set $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(B, c)$ as a subset of a Sobolev completion of $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}$. A priori it is not clear whether the elements of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(B, c)$ are smooth or whether the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(B, c)$ depends on the chosen Sobolev completions. In this section we clarify the situation, proving that all the elements in the moduli are smooth. More concretely we prove that if $[A, \Phi] \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma(B, c)$, then there exists a transformation $g \in \mathscr{G}$ such that $g(A)$ and $g(\Phi)$ are smooth. With this we see that the moduli space, as a set, is intrinsic: it does not depend on the Sobolev norms. In fact, the structure of the moduli as a smooth differential manifold is also unique, since it can be given locally in terms of Kuranishi models and these, by elliptic regularity, are independent of the Sobolev norms.

Given a sequence $\{(A_k, \Phi_k)\} \subset \mathcal{M}_\sigma(B, c)$, it may perfectly happen that there is no convergent subsequence in the C^0 topology. This is similar to what happens in the theory of pseudoholomorphic curves. The reason for this non compactness is a phaenomenon called bubbling, which is a consequence of the impossibility of finding bounds $\|d_A\Phi\|_{C^0} \leq C$ for $(A, \Phi) \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma(B, c)$, where C only depends on B and c. In this section we define the notion of **cusp σ-THC** and we prove that for any sequence $\{(A_k, \Phi_k)\} \subset \mathcal{M}_\sigma(B, c)$ there exists a subsequence converging (in a suitable sense) to a cusp σ-THC. With this we obtain a compactification of $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(B, c)$ which will allow to define invariants of F. This compactification generalises Gromov's theorem for pseudoholomorphic curves (see [AuLa]). In fact, the notion of cusp σ-THC is also a generalisation of Gromov's cusp curves.

The next step after compactifying the moduli $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(B, c)$ is to study to what extent the compactification has a smooth structure. In order to define invariants, we would like to have a fundamental class in the homology of the compactification. An ideal situation would be that in which the compactification admited a natural structure of smooth oriented manifold. In this case we would indeed have a fundamental class. Unfortunately, this will not happen in general. But we can express the compactification of the moduli as the union of the moduli plus a countable family of smooth manifolds. Finally, if the dimensions of these extra manifolds are lower than that of the moduli minus one, then we will be able to rigorously define invariants.

I.2.5 Chapter 5: The choice of the complex structure

So far we have not put any restriction on the complex structure I_F (appart from chapter 2, where we assumed that F is Kaehler). We only asked I_F to be K-invariant. In this chapter we assume that $K = S^1$ and we prove that for a generic S^1-invariant complex structure I_F the moduli of simple holomorphic curves is the union of a countable family of smooth manifolds, and we compute its dimension. (Note that if we did not ask our complex structure to be S^1-invariant then a stronger result could be proved: it is well known that for a generic complex structure the moduli of simple holomorphic curves is a smooth manifold; see e.g. [McDS1].) This result will be used when constructing the moduli of cusp σ-THCs.

I.2.6 Chapter 6: The invariants

In this chapter we assume that $K = S^1$ and that the action on F is semi free. Using the results of the preceeding chapters we define, under certain conditions, invariants of the symplectic manifold F and the action of S^1.

We define two invariants: the invariant Φ, using the extended moduli $\mathcal{N}$, and the invariant $\overline{\Phi}$, using the moduli $\mathcal{M}$. The idea used to define them is very similar to that of Gromov-Witten invariants. Fix a class $B \in H_2(F_{S^1})$, an element $c \in \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R} \setminus C_0$ and a generic perturbation $\sigma \in \Sigma_c(E)$. Let $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_\sigma(B,c)$ and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_\sigma(B,c)$. We have maps $\mu_i : H^*_{S^1}(F) \to H^*(\mathcal{N})$, $\overline{\mu}_i : H^*_{S^1}(F) \to H^*(\mathcal{M})$, $\nu : H^*(\mathscr{A}/\mathscr{G}_0) \to H^*(\mathcal{N})$ and $\overline{\nu} : H^*(\mathscr{A}/\mathscr{G}_0) \to H^*(\mathcal{M})$. Formaly, the invariant $\Phi = \Phi^{X,F}_{B,c}$ (resp. $\overline{\Phi} = \overline{\Phi}^{X,F}_{B,c}$) is obtained by sending classes from $H^*_{S^1}(F)$ and $H^*(\mathscr{A}/\mathscr{G}_0)$ to $H^*(\mathcal{N})$ (resp. $H^*(\mathcal{M})$) using the maps μ_i and ν (resp. $\overline{\mu}_i$ and $\overline{\nu}$), multiplying them and then pairing the result with the fundamental class $[\mathcal{N}] \in H_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathcal{N})$ (resp. $[\mathcal{M}] \in H_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathcal{M})$). As we said before, in general we cannot prove the existence of the fundamental classes used above. In this chapter we give a rigorous definition of the invariants in certain conditions, bypassing the question of fundamental classes.

Finally, we give an example of a nonzero invariant.

I.3 Some questions

To finish this introduction we list some problems which we would like to study as a continuation of this thesis.

- **Computation of the invariants.** When F is Kaehler, the Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence proved in this thesis allows to describe the moduli of THCs. This might be used to make computations of the invariants in the Kaehler case. Another strategy would be to search relations among the invariants (using gluing, as in Gromov-Witten theory; see below) which might simplify the computations.

- **Improve the definition.** The conditions which were needed to give a rigorous definition of the invariants are rather technical and very much restrictive. It would be nice to get rid of them. To do that, the works [FuOn, LiTi, Ru2, Sie] (in which a rigorous definition of Gromov-Witten invariants for any compact symplectic manifold is given) should be an important source of inspiration.

- **Equivariant quantum cohomology.** This would consist of codifying the Hamiltonian Gromov-Witten invariantrs in a deformation of the ring structure of the equivariant cohomology of F, exactly as is done with Gromov-Witten invariants. A central question in this problem would be to prove associativity of the resulting product. We expect that this should give non-trivial relation among the invariants (as happens with Gromov-Witten invariants).

- **Gluing.** An interesting question is the following: given two THCs, one with base X_1 and the other with base X_2, how can we obtain a THC with base the connected sum $X_1 \sharp X_2$? A similar question arises in Donaldson theory and also in Gromov-Witten theory, and the techniques needed in our situation should probably be the same ones that apear in these theories. This is in our opinion the most interesting question to be studied after this thesis. Very much likely, a good understanding of a gluing construction of THCs will be crucial in developping the latter two questions.

- **Interpretation of the invariants.** We would like to find a description of the invariants in terms of enumerative geometry, just as is done with Gromov-Witten invariants.

- **Wall crossing.** In this thesis we define invariants using the moduli spaces $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(B,c)$ and $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(B,c)$ when $c \in \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R} \setminus C_0$. It would be interesting to study the relation between the invariants obtained when c belongs to different connected components of $\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R} \setminus C_0$. Presumably we could prove a result similar to Thaddeus theorem in [Th].

I.4 Notations

All the manifolds, bundles and morphisms in this thesis will be smooth unless otherwise stated. All vector spaces will be finite dimensional. We will use the following convention. Finite dimensional manifolds and vector bundles will be denoted using roman fonts: X, F, V. General fibre bundles will be denoted using calligraphic fonts: $\mathcal{F}$. Finally, infinite dimensional manifolds will be denoted using *curly* fonts: $\mathscr{A}$, $\mathscr{G}$, $\mathscr{S}$. For any real vector space V, we will denote $\langle,\rangle_V$ the natural pairing $V \times V^* \to \mathbb{R}$.

These are the most often used symbols in this thesis.

- $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ denote as usual the sets of natural, integer, rational, real and complex numbers. We denote by $\mathbf{i}$ the square root $\sqrt{-1}$.

- K is a compact connected real Lie group (when defining the invariants we will assume that $K = S^1$);

- G is the complexification of K.

- $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ are the Lie algebras of K and G.

- X is a Kaehler manifold with symplectic structure ω_X and with complex structure I_X (when defining the invariants it will be supposed to be a Riemann surface);

- F is a symplectic manifold with symplectic structure I_F and with a Hamiltonian symplectic action of K; we denote $\mu : F \to \mathfrak{k}^*$ its moment map; we take a K-invariant complex structure I_F compatible with ω_F; when studying the Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence F will be Kaehler and when defining the invariants it will be compact.

- $EK \to BK$ is the universal principal K-bundle (the action of K on any K principal bundle is by definition, as usual, on the right);

- $E \to X$ is a K principal bundle; $E_G = E \times_K G$ be the G principal bundle associated to E (we take the action of K on G given by left multiplication);

- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^E = E \times_K F$ is the associated bundle with fibre F.

- $\mathscr{G} = \mathscr{G}^E = \Gamma(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} K)$ is the gauge group of E; in the chapter on Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence we will denote this group by $\mathscr{G}_K$;
- $\mathscr{G}_G = \Gamma(E_G \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} G) = \Gamma(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} G)$ is the gauge group of E_G, and is the complexification of $\mathscr{G}_K$;
- $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{A}^E$ is the set of connections on E;
- $\mathscr{S} = \mathscr{S}^E = \Gamma(\mathcal{F})$ is the space of sections of $\mathcal{F}$;
- $\mathcal{M}$ denotes moduli spaces in general (there will be several of them appearing in the thesis);
- if the manifold M supports an action of K then for any $s \in \mathfrak{k}$ we denote $\mathscr{X}_s^M$ the vector field on M generated by the infinitesimal action of s; when the manifold M is clear from the context, we just write $\mathscr{X}_s$; finally, M^K is the set of fixed points.

Chapter 1

The equations and the Yang–Mills–Higgs functional

1.1 The equations

1.1.1 Let K be a compact connected real Lie group, and let $\mathfrak{k} = \mathrm{Lie}(K)$ be its Lie algebra. Let us take on K a metric invariant by the adjoint action of K (such metrics are called biinvariant). This metric allows to identify $\mathfrak{k} \simeq \mathfrak{k}^*$ in a K-equivariant way.

1.1.2 Let F be a symplectic manifold with a symplectic left action of K. Let ω_F be the symplectic structure of F. Let I_F be a K-invariant complex structure on F compatible with ω_F, that is, such that $g_F(\cdot, \cdot) := \omega_F(\cdot, I_F \cdot)$ is a Riemannian metric on F. Such a complex structure always exists (see lemma 5.49 in [McDS2]).

Definition 1.1.1. *A* **moment map** *for the action of K on F is a map $\mu : F \to \mathfrak{k}^*$ which satisfies the following two conditions:*

(C1) for any $s \in \mathfrak{k}$, $d\mu(s) = \iota_{\mathscr{X}_s} \omega_F$ (where $\mathscr{X}_s \in \Gamma(TF)$ is the vector field generated by the infinitesimal action of s on F) and

(C2) μ is equivariant with respect to the actions of K on F and the coadjoint action on $\mathfrak{k}^*$. This means that for any $h \in K$, any $x \in F$, and any $v \in \mathfrak{k}$, $\langle \mu(hx), \mathrm{Ad}(h)v \rangle_{\mathfrak{k}} = \langle \mu(x), v \rangle_{\mathfrak{k}}$.

In the sequel we will assume that there exists a moment map $\mu : F \to \mathfrak{k}^*$ for the action of K on F. (Note that if a moment map exists, then it is unique up to addition of a central element in $\mathfrak{k}^*$.)

1.1.3 Let X be a connected Kaehler manifold of dimension n. Let us write ω_X, I_X and g_X the symplectic form, the complex structure and the Kaehler metric of X. They are related by $g_X(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega_X(\cdot, I_X \cdot)$. In this chapter we will often write ω for ω_X. We will denote $\omega^{[k]} = \omega^k / k!$, and we will use in the integrals of functions on X the volume element $\omega^{[n]}$ (most of the times we will not write it). Let $\Lambda : \Omega^*(X) \to \Omega^{*-2}(X)$ be the adjoint of wedging with ω_X.

1.1.4 Let $E \to X$ be a K-principal bundle on E. As usual, we take the action of K on E to be on the right. Consider the associated bundle $\pi_F : \mathcal{F} = E \times_K F \to X$. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be the space of connections on E and let $\mathscr{S}$ be the space $\Gamma(\mathcal{F})$ of sections of $\mathcal{F}$.

Let $T\mathcal{F}_v = \operatorname{Ker} d\pi_F$. A connection $A \in \mathscr{A}$ induces a projection $\alpha : T\mathcal{F} \to T\mathcal{F}_v$. Let $\pi^{0,1} : \Omega^1(X) \to \Omega^{0,1}(X)$ denote the projection and let $\pi^{1,0} = 1 - \pi^{0,1}$. Let $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}$ be a section of $\mathcal{F}$. We define the covariant derivative of Φ (with respect to A) to be

$$d_A\Phi = \alpha \circ d\Phi \in \Omega^1(\Phi^* T\mathcal{F}_v),$$

and the ∂ and $\overline{\partial}$ operators of A acting on Φ to be

$$\partial_A\Phi = \pi^{1,0}\alpha(d\Phi) \in \Omega^{1,0}(\Phi^* T\mathcal{F}_v) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overline{\partial}_A\Phi = \pi^{0,1}\alpha(d\Phi) \in \Omega^{0,1}(\Phi^* T\mathcal{F}_v).$$

1.1.5 Using the biinvariant metric on $\mathfrak{k}$ we get an equivariant isomorphism $\mathfrak{k} \simeq \mathfrak{k}^*$ which extends to an isomorphisms of vector bundles

$$E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{k} \simeq E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{k}^* \tag{1.1}$$

(observe that we denote with the same symbol Ad both the adjoint representation on $\mathfrak{k}$ and the coadjoint representation on $\mathfrak{k}^*$). Let finally $c \in \mathfrak{k}$ be a central element. The equations which we study in this thesis are

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\partial}_A\Phi = 0, \\ \Lambda F_A + \mu(\Phi) = c, \\ F_A^{0,2} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where $A \in \mathscr{A}$ is a connection, $F_A \in \Omega^2(E \times_{Ad} \mathfrak{k})$ is the curvature of A, and $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}$ is a section. Observe that $\Lambda F_A \in \Omega^0(E \times_{Ad} \mathfrak{k})$ and that $\mu(\Phi) \in \Omega^0(E \times_{Ad} \mathfrak{k}^*)$, so to give a sense to the second equation we need to use the isomorphism (1.1). Hence, the equations (I.4) depend on the biinvariant metric taken on $\mathfrak{k}$. In the third equation $F_A^{0,2}$ refers to the piece in $\Omega^{0,2}(\mathfrak{g}_E)$ of the curvature

$$F_A \in \Omega^2(E \times_{Ad} \mathfrak{k}) \subset \Omega^2(\mathfrak{g}_E) = \Omega^{2,0}(\mathfrak{g}_E) \oplus \Omega^{1,1}(\mathfrak{g}_E) \oplus \Omega^{0,2}(\mathfrak{g}_E),$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_E = E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is the complexification of $\mathfrak{k}$. The condition $F_A^{0,2} = 0$ is equivalent to $F_A \in \Omega^{1,1}(\mathfrak{g}_E)$. We will write $\mathscr{A}^{1,1}$ for the set of connections $A \in \mathscr{A}$ such that $F_A^{0,2} = 0$. By the theorem of Newlander and Niremberg [NewNi] these are the connections which define integrable complex structures in the complex vector bundles associated to E.

1.1.6 Take a connection $A \in \mathscr{A}$. This connection induces a splitting $T\mathcal{F} \simeq T\mathcal{F}_v \oplus \pi_X^{\mathcal{F}*} TX$. Using this splitting, we define a metric $g(A) = g_F \oplus g_X$ and a complex structure $I(A) = I_F \oplus I_X$ on $T\mathcal{F}$. Then $I(A)$ and $g(A)$ provide $\mathcal{F}$ with an almost Kaehler structure.

Lemma 1.1.2. *Let $A \in \mathscr{A}$ be any connection on E. A section $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}$ is holomorphic with respect to $I(A)$ as a map from X to $\mathcal{F}$ if and only if $\overline{\partial}_A\Phi = 0$.*

Proof. This follows from the formula $\overline{\partial}_A\Phi = (d\Phi + I(A) \circ d\Phi \circ I_X)/2$. □

1.2 The Yang–Mills–Higgs functional

The biinvariant metric on $\mathfrak{k}$ induces a norm $\|\cdot\| : \mathfrak{k} \to \mathbb{R}$. Thanks to K-equivariance, we may combine $\|\cdot\|$ with the volume form $\omega_X^{[n]}$ to obtain an L^2 norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^2} : \Omega^0(E\times_{\mathrm{Ad}}\mathfrak{k}) \to \mathbb{R}$ and similarly on $\Omega^0(E\times_{\mathrm{Ad}}\mathfrak{k}^*)$. We can do the same thing with the K-invariant metric g_F on F, thus getting $\|\cdot\|_{L^2} : \Omega^0(T\mathcal{F}_v) \to \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 1.2.1. *Fix a central element $c \in \mathfrak{k}$. The* **Yang–Mills–Higgs** *functional $\mathcal{YMH}_c : \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined as*

$$\mathcal{YMH}_c(A, \Phi) = \|F_A\|^2_{L^2} + \|d_A\Phi\|^2_{L^2} + \|c - \mu(\Phi)\|^2_{L^2},$$

where $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}$ is a section and $A \in \mathscr{A}$ a connection on E.

We will say that two sections $\Phi_0, \Phi_1 \in \mathscr{S}$ are **homotopic** iff there exists a map $H_\Phi : X \times [0, 1] \to \mathcal{F}$ such that $\Phi_0 = H_\Phi|_{X\times\{0\}}$, $\Phi_1 = H_\Phi|_{X\times\{1\}}$ and such that, for any $t \in [0, 1]$, $H_\Phi|_{X\times\{t\}}$ is a section, that is, $\pi_F \circ H_\Phi|_{X\times\{t\}} = \mathrm{Id}_X$. Such an homotopy will be called a **homotopy of sections**. The relation of homotopy of sections is an equivalence relation. For any section $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}$, $[\Phi]$ will denote the homotopy class of Φ as a section.

Theorem 1.2.2. *Fix a section $\Phi_0 \in \mathscr{S}$. The pairs $(A, \Phi) \in \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}$ which minimize the functional $\mathcal{YMH}_c$ among the pairs whose section is homotopic to Φ_0 are those which satisfy equations 1.2.*

We recall that, just as the equations (1.2), the Yang–Mills–Higgs functional does depend on the biinvariant metric on $\mathfrak{k}$. A proof of theorem 1.2.2 will be given in the next section.

1.2.1 A weak Kaehler identity

The following result will be used in the proof of theorem 1.2.2.

Proposition 1.2.3 (Weak Kaehler identity). *For any section $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}$ and for any connection $A \in \mathscr{A}$, the following equality holds:*

$$\int_X \langle \Lambda F_A, \mu(\Phi)\rangle_{\mathfrak{k}} = \frac{1}{2}(\|\partial_A\Phi\|^2_{L^2} - \|\overline{\partial}_A\Phi\|^2_{L^2}) - C_{[\Phi]},$$

where the constant $C_{[\Phi]}$ depends only on the topological type of E and on the homotopy class of sections of Φ.

We give two proofs of this result. The first one works only when X is a Riemann surface, and follows from a direct computation. The second proof uses the Chern-Weil map in equivariant cohomology, and works for any Kaehler manifold X. Furthermore, the second proof gives a geometrical interpretation of the constant $C_{[\Phi]}$. This interpretation allows to give bounds on the L^2 norms of F_A and $d_A\Phi$ when (A, Φ) solve (1.2) in terms of homological data (see theorem 1.2.18).

To motivate the name of weak Kaehler identity, consider the case $F = \mathbb{C}^n$. Take on F a Hermitian metric h. Its imaginary part with reversed sign gives a symplectic form ω_F compatible with the complex structure. Let $K = U(n)$ act on F respecting h (and consequently ω_F). In this situation the moment map is $\mu = -\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2} x \otimes x^*$, so that $\mu(\Phi) = -\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}\Phi \otimes \Phi^*$. Using the Kaehler identities for unitary connections on hermitian bundles $\mathbf{i}[\Lambda, \overline{\partial}_A] = \partial_A^*$ and $-\mathbf{i}[\Lambda, \partial_A] = \overline{\partial}_A^*$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X \langle \Lambda F_A, \mu(\Phi)\rangle_{\mathfrak{k}} &= \int_X \langle \Lambda F_A, -\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}\Phi \otimes \Phi^*\rangle_{\mathfrak{k}} = \int_X \frac{1}{2} h(\Phi, \mathbf{i}\Lambda F_A \Phi) \\ &= \int_X \frac{1}{2} h(\Phi, \mathbf{i}\Lambda(\overline{\partial}_A \partial_A + \partial_A \overline{\partial}_A)\Phi) = \int_X \frac{1}{2} h(\Phi, \partial_A^* \partial_A \Phi - \overline{\partial}_A^* \overline{\partial}_A \Phi) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\|\partial_A \Phi\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\overline{\partial}_A \Phi\|_{L^2}^2).\end{aligned}$$

(Observe that in this case the constant $C_{[\Phi]}$ is equal to zero, no matter what the topological type of E is.)

1.2.2 Proof of proposition 1.2.3 for X a Riemann surface

In this section the manifold X will be a compact Riemann surface with a fixed Kaehler structure. Consider first of all two pairs (A^1, Φ^1) and (A^2, Φ^2) which are equal in the complement of an open set $V \subset X$ and such that $[\Phi^1] = [\Phi^2]$. Suppose V is small enough so that there exists an open set $U \subset X$ containing $\overline{V}$, a holomorphic chart $\psi : U \to \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$ with $[0,1] \times [0,1] \subset \psi(U)$, and a trivialisation $E|_U \simeq U \times K$ in such a way that $\psi(\overline{V})$ is contained in $(0,1) \times (0,1)$. Write x and y the usual coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^2$, so that the complex structure $I \in \mathrm{End}(T\mathbb{R}^2)$ sends $\partial/\partial x$ to $\partial/\partial y$ and $\partial/\partial y$ to $-\partial/\partial x$.

We will write for convenience $S = [0,1] \times [0,1]$. On S we will consider either the volume form $(\psi^{-1})^*\omega$, which we will also call ω, or $dx \wedge dy$. Define the function $f : S \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\omega = f(dx \wedge dy)$. Take on S the metric coming from the one on F. From $\omega(\partial/\partial x, \partial/\partial y) = f$, $|\partial/\partial x| = |\partial/\partial y|$ and the fact that $\partial/\partial x$ is orthogonal to $\partial/\partial y$ (recall that I_F is an isometry) we deduce that

$$|\partial/\partial x| = |\partial/\partial y| = \sqrt{f}. \tag{1.3}$$

Write, for $i = 1, 2$, $d_{A^i} = d + A^i_x dx + A^i_y dy$ in the chosen trivialisation of $E|_U$, where A^i_x and A^i_y take values in $\mathfrak{k}$. The corresponding curvatures in our trivialisation are

$$F_{A^i} = \left(\frac{\partial A^i_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A^i_x}{\partial y} + [A^i_x, A^i_y]\right) dx \wedge dy.$$

We will consider the restriction of the sections on U as maps $\Phi^i : U \to F$. Now we compute

$$\int_S \langle \Lambda F_{A^i}, \mu(\Phi^i)\rangle_{\mathfrak{k}} \omega = \int_S \left\langle \frac{\partial A^i_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A^i_x}{\partial y} + [A^i_x, A^i_y], \mu(\Phi^i) \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{k}} dx \wedge dy.$$

Integrating by parts we see that this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &B_i + \int_S (-\langle\langle d\mu(\Phi), \partial\Phi^i/\partial x\rangle_{TF}, A^i_y\rangle_{\mathfrak{k}} + \langle\langle d\mu(\Phi), \partial\Phi^i/\partial y\rangle_{TF}, A^i_x\rangle_{\mathfrak{k}} \\ &\quad + \langle [A^i_x, A^i_y], \mu(\Phi^i)\rangle_{\mathfrak{k}}) dx \wedge dy, \end{aligned}$$

where B_i is a boundary term. We now use the properties of the moment map to deduce that this equals

$$\begin{aligned} &B_i + \int_S \Big(-\omega_F(\mathscr{X}_{A^i_y}, \partial\Phi^i/\partial x) + \omega_F(\mathscr{X}_{A^i_x}, \partial\Phi^i/\partial y) - \omega_F(\mathscr{X}_{A^i_y}, \mathscr{X}_{A^i_x})\Big)\, dx \wedge dy \\ &= B_i + \int_S \Big(\omega_F(\partial\Phi^i/\partial x + \mathscr{X}_{A^i_x}, \partial\Phi^i/\partial y + \mathscr{X}_{A^i_y}) - \omega_F(\partial\Phi^i/\partial x, \partial\Phi^i/\partial y)\Big)\, dx \wedge dy \end{aligned}$$

(recall that $\mathscr{X}_s$ denotes the vector field given by the action of $s \in \mathfrak{k}$ on F). By definition $\partial\Phi^i/\partial x + \mathscr{X}_{A^i_x}$ (resp. $\partial\Phi^i/\partial y + \mathscr{X}_{A^i_y}$) is $d_{A^i}\Phi^i(\partial/\partial x)$ (resp. $d_{A^i}\Phi^i(\partial/\partial y)$). Now, using lemma 1.2.5 and formula (1.3) we deduce

$$\omega_F\left(d_{A^i}\Phi^i\left(\partial/\partial x\right), d_{A^i}\Phi^i\left(\partial/\partial y\right)\right) = f\frac{1}{2}(|\partial_{A^i}\Phi^i|^2 - |\overline{\partial}_{A^i}\Phi^i|^2).$$

Using this formula we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S \langle \Lambda F_{A^i}, \mu(\Phi^i)\rangle_{\mathfrak{k}} = B_i + \int_S \frac{1}{2}\left(|\partial_{A^i}\Phi^i|^2 - |\overline{\partial}_{A^i}\Phi^i|^2\right)\omega \\ - \omega_F(\partial\Phi^i/\partial x, \partial\Phi^i/\partial y) dx \wedge dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since (A^i, Φ^i) are equal outside V and $\overline{V} \subset (0,1) \times (0,1)$, the two boundary terms B_1 and B_2 are the same. Moreover, since $[\Phi^1] = [\Phi^2]$ and ω_F is closed, the integral $\int_S \omega_F(\partial\Phi^i/\partial x, \partial\Phi^i/\partial y) dx \wedge dy$ has the same value for $i = 1, 2$. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_X \langle \Lambda F_{A^1}, \mu(\Phi^1)\rangle_{\mathfrak{k}} - \langle \Lambda F_{A^2}, \mu(\Phi^2)\rangle_{\mathfrak{k}} = \int_S \langle \Lambda F_{A^1}, \mu(\Phi^1)\rangle_{\mathfrak{k}} - \langle \Lambda F_{A^2}, \mu(\Phi^2)\rangle_{\mathfrak{k}} \\ &= \int_S \frac{1}{2}\left(|\partial_{A^1}\Phi^1|^2 - |\overline{\partial}_{A^1}\Phi^1|^2\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left(|\partial_{A^2}\Phi^2|^2 - |\overline{\partial}_{A^2}\Phi^2|^2\right) \\ &= \int_X \frac{1}{2}\left(|\partial_{A^1}\Phi^1|^2 - |\overline{\partial}_{A^1}\Phi^1|^2\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left(|\partial_{A^2}\Phi^2|^2 - |\overline{\partial}_{A^2}\Phi^2|^2\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\|\partial_{A^1}\Phi^1\|^2_{L^2} - \|\overline{\partial}_{A^1}\Phi^1\|^2_{L^2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\|\partial_{A^2}\Phi^2\|^2_{L^2} - \|\overline{\partial}_{A^2}\Phi^2\|^2_{L^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

(In all these integrals we omit the volume form, which is ω.) To finish the proof, observe that given two pairs (A, Φ) and (A', Φ'), where $[\Phi] = [\Phi']$, one can always find a sequence of pairs (A^i, Φ^i), $i = 1, \dots, k$, such that the homotopy classes $[\Phi^i]$ are all equal to $[\Phi]$ and such that any two consecutive pairs in

$$\{(A, \Phi), (A^1, \Phi^1), \dots, (A^k, \Phi^k), (A', \Phi')\}$$

coincide outside a small enough set $V \subset X$ so that we can apply the preceeding reasoning. This implies that

$$\int_X \langle \Lambda F_A, \mu(\Phi)\rangle_{\mathfrak{k}} - \langle \Lambda F_{A'}, \mu(\Phi')\rangle_{\mathfrak{k}} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\|\partial_A\Phi\|^2_{L^2} - \|\overline{\partial}_A\Phi\|^2_{L^2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\|\partial_{A'}\Phi'\|^2_{L^2} - \|\overline{\partial}_{A'}\Phi'\|^2_{L^2}\right),$$

which is what we wanted to prove.

1.2.3 Proof of proposition 1.2.3 for any Kaehler manifold X

Instead of directly proving proposition 1.2.3 we will prove a slightly more general result. In the course of the proof we will find a geometrical interpretation of the constant $C_{[\Phi]}$.

The symplectic form ω_F gives an element of $\Omega^0(\Lambda^2(T\mathcal{F}_v)^*)$, since the action of K leaves ω_F invariant. On the other hand, the connection A on E induces a projection

$$\alpha : T\mathcal{F} \twoheadrightarrow T\mathcal{F}_v$$

onto the subbundle of vertical tangent vectors. From this we obtain a map $\alpha^* : \Lambda^2(T\mathcal{F}_v)^* \to \Lambda^2 T^*\mathcal{F}$, and we set $\tilde{\omega}_F^A = \alpha^*(\omega_F) \in \Omega^0(\Lambda^2 T^*\mathcal{F}) = \Omega^2(\mathcal{F})$. This 2-form is not in general closed. Consider the 2-form $\omega_F^A = \tilde{\omega}_F^A - \langle \pi_F^* F_A, \mu\rangle_{\mathfrak{k}}$.

Proposition 1.2.4. *The 2-form $\omega_F^A \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{F})$ is closed, and the cohomology class it represents is independent of the connection A.*

Let us show that proposition 1.2.4 implies proposition 1.2.3. (In fact proposition 1.2.4 is slightly stronger than 1.2.3.) We will use the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 1.2.5. *Let V and W be two Euclidean vector spaces with scalar products $\langle,\rangle_V$ and $\langle,\rangle_W$. Suppose that there are complex structures $I_V \in \operatorname{End}(V)$, $I_W \in \operatorname{End}(W)$ and symplectic forms $\omega_V \in \Lambda^2 V^*$, $\omega_W \in \Lambda^2 W^*$ which satisfy the following: $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_V = \omega_V(\cdot, I_V\cdot)$ and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_W = \omega_W(\cdot, I_W\cdot)$ (in other words, V and W are Kaehler vector spaces). Take a linear map $f : V \to W$ and let $f^{1,0}$ (resp. $f^{0,1}$) be $(f + I_W \circ f \circ I_V)/2$ (resp. $(f - I_W \circ f \circ I_V)/2$). Let $2n = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V$. Then*

$$f^*\omega_W \wedge \omega_V^{[n-1]} = \frac{1}{2}(|f^{1,0}|^2 - |f^{0,1}|^2)\omega_V^{[n]},$$

where, for any $g \in \operatorname{Hom}(V,W)$, $|g|^2 = \operatorname{Tr} g^*g$ and $\omega_V^{[k]} = \omega_V^k/k!$.

Remark 1.2.6. *Note that under the isomorphism*

$$V^* \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} W \simeq (V^* \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})^{1,0} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W \oplus (V^* \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})^{0,1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W$$

the element $f \in V^* \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} W$ corresponds precisely to $f^{1,0} + f^{0,1}$.

Now assume that proposition 1.2.4 is true. Using lemma 1.2.5 we have

$$\int_X \Phi^*\tilde{\omega}_F^A \wedge \omega^{[n-1]} = \frac{1}{2}(\|\partial_A\Phi\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\overline{\partial}_A\Phi\|_{L^2}^2)$$

for any section $\Phi : X \to \mathcal{F}$. To apply the lemma we set, for any $x \in X$, $V = T_xX$ and $W = T_{\Phi(x)}\mathcal{F}_v$ with the induced Kaehler structures, and $f = d_A\Phi(x)$. With these identifications $f^{1,0} = \partial_A\Phi(x)$ and $f^{0,1} = \overline{\partial}_A\Phi(x)$ (see remark 1.2.6). As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{[\Phi]} &= \frac{1}{2}(\|\partial_A\Phi\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\overline{\partial}_A\Phi\|_{L^2}^2) - \int_X \langle \Lambda F_A, \mu(\Phi)\rangle \\ &= \int_X (\Phi^*\tilde{\omega}_F^A - \Phi^*\langle \pi_F^*\Lambda F_A, \mu(\Phi)\rangle) \wedge \omega^{[n-1]} = \int_X \Phi^*\omega_F^A \wedge \omega^{[n-1]}, \end{aligned}$$

which by proposition 1.2.4 depends only on $[\Phi]$. This proves proposition 1.2.3.

1.2.3.1 The Cartan complex

We are now going to prove proposition 1.2.4. We will use some results from [BeGeV], especially from chapter 7. Define the graded algebra

$$\Omega_K(F) = (\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{k}] \otimes \Omega(F))^K,$$

where $\Omega(F)$ is the algebra of differential forms on F, and assign to $P \otimes \alpha \in \Omega_K(F)$ the degree $\deg(P \otimes \alpha) = 2\deg(P) + \deg(\alpha)$. As usual $(\cdot)^K$ denotes the K invariant elements under the action of K. (The action of K on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{k}] \otimes \Omega(F)$ is by pullback both on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{k}]$ and on $\Omega(F)$.) If $\eta \in \Omega_K(F)$, let

$$d_{\mathfrak{k}}(\eta)(s) = d(\eta(s)) + \iota(\mathscr{X}_s)\eta(s),$$

where s is any element in $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathscr{X}_s$ denotes the vector field generated by s under the action of $\mathfrak{k}$. Note that in [BeGeV] the field assigned to $s \in \mathfrak{k}$ is $X_s := -\mathscr{X}_s$, so that $[X_s, X_{s'}] = X_{[s,s']}$ (recall that the action of K on F is on the left). This explains the different sign in our definition.

The map $d_{\mathfrak{k}}$ sends $\Omega^*_K(F)$ to $\Omega^{*+1}_K(F)$. One proves that $d_{\mathfrak{k}}^2 = 0$, so that $(\Omega_K(F), d_{\mathfrak{k}})$ is a complex. The complex $(\Omega_K(F), d_{\mathfrak{k}})$ is called the Cartan complex of F and the action of K on F.

According to our hypothesis the symplectic form $\omega_F \in \Omega^2(F)$ of F is invariant under the action of K. However, it is not a closed form in $\Omega_K(F)$. This can be remedied by substracting to it the moment map: the form

$$\overline{\omega}_F = \omega_F - \mu$$

is equivariantly closed, that is, $d_{\mathfrak{k}}\overline{\omega}_F = 0$.

1.2.3.2 The Chern-Weil homomorphism

We will now define a map of differential graded algebras

$$\phi_A : (\Omega_K(F), d_{\mathfrak{k}}) \to (\Omega(\mathcal{F}), d)$$

with the help of a connection $A \in \mathscr{A}$. We will follow closely section 7.6 in [BeGeV]. First we give some definitions.

Definition 1.2.7. *A* **horizontal differential form** *on $\mathcal{F}$ is a differential form $\alpha \in \Omega(\mathcal{F})$ such that $\iota(\mathscr{X})\alpha = 0$ for all vertical vector fields $\mathscr{X}$. For any vector space W with an action $\rho : K \to \mathrm{GL}(W)$ let $\Omega(E, W)_{\mathrm{hor}}$ be the set of horizontal differential forms of the trivial vector bundle $E \times W \to E$. A* **basic differential form** *on E taking values in a linear representation (W, ρ) of K is an invariant form $\alpha \in \Omega(E, W)_{\mathrm{hor}}$. We will denote $\Omega(E, W)_{\mathrm{bas}}$ the set of basic forms.*

This is proposition 1.9 in [BeGeV]:

Proposition 1.2.8. *There is a natural isomorphism between $\Omega(E \times_\rho W)$ and $\Omega(E, W)_{\mathrm{bas}}$. This isomorphism sends $\alpha \in \Omega^q(E, W)_{\mathrm{bas}}$ to the form $\alpha_X \in \Omega^q(E \times_\rho W)$ defined as follows*

$$\alpha_X(d\pi X_1, \dots, d\pi X_q)(x) = [e, \alpha(X_1, \dots, X_q)(e)],$$

where $e \in \pi^{-1}(x)$*,* $x \in X$ *and* $X_j \in T_eE$*, and where* $[a,b]$ *denotes the element in* $E \times_\rho W$ *represented by* $(a,b) \in E \times W$*.*

Remark 1.2.9. *In particular, if* $W = \mathbb{R}$ *with the trivial action of* K *the preceeding proposition says that* $\Omega(X) \simeq \Omega(E)_{\mathrm{bas}}$*. If we apply this isomorphism to the principal* K *bundle* $E \times F \to \mathcal{F}$ *then we obtain* $\Omega(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \Omega(E \times F)_{\mathrm{bas}}$*.*

Fix a connection $A \in \mathscr{A}$ and call $\alpha : TE \twoheadrightarrow TE_v$ the associated projection. Since the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} E \times F \arrow[r, "\pi_E"] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "S"] & E \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\ \mathcal{F} \arrow[r, "\pi_F"'] & X \end{tikzcd}$$

is cartesian, in particular $E \times F \simeq \pi_F^* E$ as principal K bundles on $\mathcal{F}$. So we can pull back the connection A to a connection $\pi_F^*\alpha$ on the principal K bundle $E \times F \to \mathcal{F}$. This new connection gives a map $\pi_F^*\alpha : T(E \times F) \twoheadrightarrow T(E \times F)_v$ which induces a projection $h : \Omega(E \times F) \twoheadrightarrow \Omega(E \times F)_{\mathrm{hor}}$ to the space of horizontal forms on $E \times F \to \mathcal{F}$. Write $\Omega_A \in \Omega^2(E, \mathfrak{k})$ the curvature form of the connection A.

Now take an element $\alpha = f \otimes \beta \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{k}] \otimes \Omega(F)$ and define $\alpha(\Omega) \in \Omega(E) \otimes \Omega(F)$ as $\alpha(\Omega_A) = f(\Omega_A) \otimes \beta$. Extending linearly we obtain a map from $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{k}] \otimes \Omega(F)$ to $\Omega(E) \otimes \Omega(F)$.

Definition 1.2.10. *The map* $\phi_A : \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{k}] \otimes \Omega(F) \to \Omega(E \times F)_{\mathrm{hor}}$ *defined by*

$$\phi_A(\alpha) = h(\alpha(\Omega_A))$$

is called the **Chern-Weil homomorphism***.*

The following is theorem 7.34 in [BeGeV].

Theorem 1.2.11. *The restriction of* ϕ_A *to the invariant forms* $(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{k}] \otimes \Omega(F))^K$ *has image contained in* $\Omega(E \times F)_{\mathrm{bas}}$ *and induces a homomorphism of differential graded algebras*

$$\phi_A : (\Omega_K(F), d_{\mathfrak{k}}) = ((\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{k}] \otimes \Omega(F))^K, d_{\mathfrak{k}}) \to (\Omega(E \times F)_{\mathrm{bas}}, d) \simeq (\Omega(\mathcal{F}), d).$$

Remark 1.2.12. *The isomorphism in the right hand side is given by remark 1.2.9. Using this isomorphism we will regard* ϕ_A *as taking values in* $(\Omega(\mathcal{F}), d)$*.*

Lemma 1.2.13. *The map induced by* ϕ_A *from the cohomology of* $((\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{k}] \otimes \Omega(F))^K, d_{\mathfrak{k}})$ *to that of* $(\Omega(\mathcal{F}), d)$ *does not depend on the connection* A*.*

Proof. Take two connections $A_0, A_1 \in \mathscr{A}$ and any closed form $\eta \in \Omega_K(F)$. Let $E_I \to X \times I$ be the pullback $\pi_X^*(E)$, where $I = [0,1]$ and $\pi_X : X \times I \to X$ is the projection. Consider on E_I a connection A_I whose restriction on $X \times \{t\}$ is

$(1-t)A_0+tA_1$ (A_I is thus in *temporal gauge*). Denote $\sigma_t : X \to X\times I$ the map which sends $x\in X$ to $\sigma_t(x)=(x,t)$. We have $\phi_{A_j}(\eta)=\sigma_j^*\phi_{A_I}(\eta)$ for $j=0,1$.

Define a map $h:\Omega^*(\mathcal{F}\times I)\to\Omega^{*-1}(\mathcal{F})$ as follows. Any form in $\Omega(\mathcal{F})$ may be written as $\alpha\wedge dt+\beta$, where $t\in I$ is a coordinate and in such a way that $\iota_{\partial/\partial t}\alpha=\iota_{\partial/\partial t}\beta=0$. Set $h(\alpha\wedge dt+\beta)=\int_I\alpha$. A simple computation shows that for any $\gamma\in\Omega(M\times I)$, $(hd+dh)(\gamma)=\sigma_1^*\gamma-\sigma_0^*\gamma$. Applying this to $\gamma=\phi_{A_I}(\eta)$ we get

$$dh\phi_{A_I}(\eta)=\sigma_1^*\phi_{A_I}(\eta)-\sigma_0^*\phi_{A_I}(\eta)=\phi_{A_1}(\eta)-\phi_{A_0}(\eta),$$

since by theorem 1.2.11 $\phi_{A_I}(\eta)$ is a closed element in $\Omega(\mathcal{F}\times I)$. This implies that $\phi_{A_0}(\eta)$ and $\phi_{A_1}(\eta)$ are cohomologous. □

Lemma 1.2.14. $\phi_A(\overline{\omega}_F)=\omega_F^A$.

Proof. By definition $\overline{\omega}_F=1\otimes\omega_F-\mu\otimes 1\in(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{k}]\otimes\Omega(F))^K$. So

$$\phi_A(\overline{\omega}_F)=h(1\otimes\omega_F)-h(\langle\Omega_A,\mu\rangle_{\mathfrak{k}}\otimes 1)\in\Omega(E\times F)_{\mathrm{bas}}.$$

We have $h(1\otimes\omega_F)=1\otimes\alpha^*\omega_F=1\otimes\tilde{\omega}_F^A$. On the other hand, the form $\langle\Omega_A,\mu\rangle_{\mathfrak{k}}\otimes 1$ is horizontal (because the curvature $\Omega_A\in\Omega^2(E,\mathfrak{k})$ is a horizontal form, see proposition 1.13 in [BeGeV]), so $\phi_A(\overline{\omega}_F)=1\otimes\tilde{\omega}_F^A+\langle\Omega_A,\mu\rangle_{\mathfrak{k}}\otimes 1$. Now by lemma 1.2.8 this form represents $\omega_F^A\in\Omega^2(\mathcal{F})$. □

This lemma, together with theorem 1.2.11, finishes the proof of proposition 1.2.4. We can now restate proposition 1.2.3 as follows.

Proposition 1.2.15. *For any section $\Phi\in\mathscr{S}$ and for any connection $A\in\mathscr{A}$*

$$\int_X\langle\Lambda F_A,\mu(\Phi)\rangle_{\mathfrak{k}}=\frac{1}{2}(\|\partial_A\Phi\|_{L^2}^2-\|\overline{\partial}_A\Phi\|_{L^2}^2)-\int_X\Phi^*\phi_A(\overline{\omega}_F)\wedge\omega^{[n-1]}.$$

1.2.3.3 Proof of theorem 1.2.2

The following computation has its origins in an idea of Bogomolov in studying vortex equations on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Here we mimic [Br1], except that where he uses the Kaehler identities we use proposition 1.2.15.

Lemma 1.2.16. *For any section $\Phi\in\mathscr{S}$ and any connection $A\in\mathscr{A}$*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{YMH}_c(A,\Phi)&=\|\Lambda F_A+\mu(\Phi)-c\|_{L^2}^2+2\|\overline{\partial}_A\Phi\|_{L^2}^2+4\|F_A^{0,2}\|_{L^2}^2\\&\quad+2\int_X\langle\Lambda F_A,c\rangle-\int_X B(F_A,F_A)\wedge\omega^{[n-2]}+2\int_X\Phi^*\phi_A(\overline{\omega}_F)\wedge\omega^{[n-1]},\end{aligned}$$

where $B:\Omega^2(E\times_{\mathrm{Ad}}\mathfrak{k})\otimes\Omega^2(E\times_{\mathrm{Ad}}\mathfrak{k})\to\Omega^4(X)$ combines the wedge product in $\Omega^*(X)$ with the biinvariant pairing $\mathfrak{k}\otimes\mathfrak{k}\to\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Throughout the proof $\|\cdot\|$ will denote L^2 norm (which, recall, is computed using the volume form $\omega^{[n]}$). The following formula is well known (and easily checked)

$$\|F_A\|^2 = \|\Lambda F_A\|^2 - \int_X B(F_A, F_A) \wedge \omega^{[n-2]} + 4\|F_A^{0,2}\|^2.$$

We develop using the above formula and proposition 1.2.15

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Lambda F_A + \mu(\Phi) - c\|^2 + 2\|\overline{\partial}_A\Phi\|^2 + 4\|F_A^{0,2}\|^2 + 2\int_X \langle \Lambda F_A, c\rangle \\
- \int_X B(F_A, F_A) \wedge \omega^{[n-2]} + 2\int_X \Phi^*\phi_A(\overline{\omega}_F) \wedge \omega^{[n-1]} \\
= \|\Lambda F_A\|^2 + 4\|F_A^{0,2}\|^2 - \int_X B(F_A, F_A) \wedge \omega^{[n-2]} + \|\mu(\Phi) - c\|^2 \\
+ 2\int_X \langle \Lambda F_A, \mu(\Phi)\rangle_{\mathfrak{k}} + 2\|\overline{\partial}_A\Phi\|^2 + 2\int_X \Phi^*\phi_A(\overline{\omega}_F) \wedge \omega^{[n-1]} \\
= \|F_A\|^2 + \|\partial_A\Phi\|^2 + \|\overline{\partial}_A\Phi\|^2 + \|\mu(\Phi) - c\|^2 \\
= \|F_A\|^2 + \|d_A\Phi\|^2 + \|\mu(\Phi) - c\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 1.2.2 follows easily from the preceeding lemma. Indeed,

$$2\int_X \langle \Lambda F_A, c\rangle + 2\int_X \Phi^*\phi_A(\overline{\omega}_F) \wedge \omega^{[n-1]} - \int_X B(F_A, F_A) \wedge \omega^{[n-2]}$$

is a topological quantity, that is, it only depends on the homotopy class of Φ. That this is true for the second summand is clear; as for the first summand, by Chern-Weil theory one sees that it is equal to a linear combination whose coefficients depend on c of first Chern classes of line bundles obtained from E through representations $K \to S^1$. Finally, the third summand is equal to a linear combination of degree 4 pieces of Chern characters of bundles associated to E wedged with $\omega^{[n-2]}$ and integrated over X (see p. 209 in [Br2]).

Finally, we obtain from 1.2.2 the following corollary *à la* Bogomolov

Corollary 1.2.17. *Suppose that a pair (A, Φ) satisfies equations (1.2). Then the following inequality holds*

$$\int_X \langle \Lambda F_A, c\rangle + \int_X \Phi^*\phi_A(\overline{\omega}_F) \wedge \omega^{[n-1]} - \frac{1}{2}\int_X B(F_A, F_A) \wedge \omega^{[n-2]} \geq 0.$$

1.2.4 L^2 bounds for solutions of (1.2)

Suppose now that X is a Riemann surface. Let $\pi_F : F_K = EK \times_K F \to BK$, where $EK \to BK$ is the universal principal K-bundle. This fibration is unique only up to homotopy, and we chose a model of it for which $EK \to BK$ is a smooth principal K-bundle. Recall that the equivariant (co)homology of F is by definition the (co)homology of F_K. Cartan proved that the cohomology of the complex $(\Omega_K(F), d_{\mathfrak{k}})$ is isomorphic to the real K-equivariant cohomology $H^*_K(F;\mathbb{R})$ of F.

Let $c_E : X \to BK$ be the classifying map of the bundle E. Let us take an isomorphism of K principal bundles $\phi : E \simeq c_E^* EK$ (ϕ is equivalently given by any K equivariant map $E \to EK$). Let $\psi : \mathcal{F} \to F_K$ be the map induced by ϕ. Let $\mathbb{A}$ be any connection on $F_K \to BK$. Consider the Chern-Weil map

$$\phi_{\mathbb{A}} : (\Omega_K(F), d_{\mathfrak{k}}) \to \Omega(F_K, d)$$

(here we are assuming that the fibration $EK \to BK$ is smooth). We clearly have $\phi_{\psi^*\mathbb{A}} = \psi^* \circ \phi_{\mathbb{A}}$. Now, using lemma 1.2.13 we compute for any pair $(A, \Phi) \in \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X \Phi^* \phi_A(\overline{\omega}_F) &= \int_X \Phi^* \phi_{\psi^*\mathbb{A}}(\overline{\omega}_F) = \int_X (\psi\Phi)^* \phi_{\mathbb{A}}(\overline{\omega}_F) \\ &= \langle (\psi\Phi)_*[X], [\phi_{\mathbb{A}}(\overline{\omega}_F)]\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where $[X] \in H_2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ is the fundamental class and where $[\phi_{\mathbb{A}}(\overline{\omega}_F)] \in H_2(F_K;\mathbb{R})$ denotes the cohomology class represented by the form $\phi_{\mathbb{A}}(\overline{\omega}_F)$.

On the other hand,

$$\int_X \langle \Lambda F_A, c\rangle = \int_X \langle F_A, c\rangle = \int_X \langle F_{\psi^*\mathbb{A}}, c\rangle = \langle c_{E*}[X], [F_{\mathbb{A}}]\rangle.$$

But $c_{E*} = (\pi_F \psi\Phi)_*$ so, using lemma 1.2.16, we conclude the following.

Theorem 1.2.18. *Let (A, Φ) be a solution of equations (1.2). There is a constant C, depending only on $(\psi\Phi)_*[X]$, such that*

$$\|F_A\|^2_{L^2} \leq C \text{ and } \|d_A\Phi\|^2_{L^2} \leq C.$$

This result will be useful in the sequel, since we will consider the space of solutions to equations (1.2) for different choices of E and homotopy class of Φ. Note, on the other hand, that lemma A.4.2 in the appendix implies that the homology class $(\psi\Phi)_*[X]$ does not depend on the particular map $\phi : E \to EK$ chosen to construct ψ.

Chapter 2

Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence

Let us suppose that the complex structure I_F on F is integrable, that is, F is a Kaehler manifold. Then the action of K on F extends to a unique holomorphic action of the complexification $G = K^{\mathbb{C}}$ (see [GS]). Let $\mathscr{G}_K = \Gamma(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} K)$ (resp. $\mathscr{G}_G = \Gamma(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} G)$) be the real (resp. complex) gauge group. The diagonal action of $\mathscr{G}_K$ on $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}$ extends in a natural way to a diagonal action of $\mathscr{G}_G$ (see 2.1.2.3 for a description of the action on $\mathscr{A}$; the action on $\mathscr{S}$ comes from the action of G on F). Then, just as in classical Yang–Mills–Theory, the first and third equations in (1.2) are $\mathscr{G}_G$ invariant, whereas the second one is only $\mathscr{G}_K$ invariant. In this chapter we will study which $\mathscr{G}_G$ orbits of pairs $(A, \Phi) \in \mathscr{A}^{1,1} \times \mathscr{S}$ contain solutions to the second equation. Observe that we restrict to pairs solving the third equation $F_A^{0,2} = 0$, while on the contrary we do not ask the first equation $\overline{\partial}_A \Phi = 0$ to be satisfied. This is a technical condition, and one could probably study the second equation on any $\mathscr{G}_G$ orbit in $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}$ using essentially the same methods as here.

More concretely, the question adressed in this chapter is the following: given a pair $(A, \Phi) \in \mathscr{A}^{1,1} \times \mathscr{S}$, decide whether there exist a gauge transformation $g \in \mathscr{G}_G$ such that the pair $g(A, \Phi)$ satisfies

$$\Lambda F_{g(A)} + \mu(g(\Phi)) = c. \tag{2.1}$$

This question will be partially answered. We will define the notion of simple pair (definition 2.1.17) and a condition on pairs (A, Φ) called c-stability (definition 2.1.16), and in theorem 2.1.19 we will prove that, if (A, Φ) is a simple pair, then there exist a gauge $g \in \mathscr{G}_G$ sending (A, Φ) to a pair $g(A, \Phi)$ satisfying the equation (2.1) if and only if (A, Φ) is c-stable. We will also prove in theorem 2.1.19 that in each $\mathscr{G}_G$ orbit inside $\mathscr{A}^{1,1} \times \mathscr{S}$ there is at most one $\mathscr{G}_K$ orbit of pairs which satisfy (2.1). We call such a characterization of solutions to (2.1) a Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence because it generalises the correspondence in Yang–Mills–Higgs theory with this name. We will prove the correspondence when the biinvariant metric in $\mathfrak{k}$ satisfies a certain property (see subsection 2.1.1). Just as the equation, our existence criterion will depend on this metric.

One can look at theorem 2.1.19 from two different points of view. When X consists of a single point, the curvature term vanishes in equation (2.1), and so our problem reduces to a well known one in Kaehler geometry. Namely, that of studying which G orbits inside F contain zeroes of the moment map μ. More generally, one studies which G orbits have points whose image is a fixed central element in $\mathfrak{k}^*$ or belongs to a given coadjoint orbit in $\mathfrak{k}^*$. If F is a projective manifold, one can answer this question in a very satisfactory way: a G orbit contains a zero of the moment map if and only if it is stable in the sense of Mumford Geometric Invariant Theory (GIT for short) [KeNe, MFK, GS]. To extend the notion of GIT stability to actions on any Kaehler manifold F, we use the notion of analytic stability (see definition 2.4.1). This notion coincides with that of GIT stability in the case of projective manifolds, and characterizes the G-orbits in which the moment map vanishes somewhere (see theorem 2.4.4). This is the content of the so called Kempf-Ness theory. So, in this sense, our result can be viewed as a fibrewise generalisation of Kempf-Ness theory.

There is, however, another point of view which allows to look at theorem 2.1.19 as a result *à la* Kempf-Ness in infinite dimensions. One can give a Kaehler structure to the configuration space $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}$. Then the action of the gauge group $\mathscr{G}_K$ on $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}$ is symplectic and by isometries, and the left hand side in equation (2.1) is the moment map of this action (see sections 2.3.1, 2.3.2 and 2.3.3). Finally, $\mathscr{A}^{1,1} \times \mathscr{S}$ is a $\mathscr{G}_K$ invariant complex subvariety (with singularities) of $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}$. This point of view was adopted for the first time in the context of gauge theories by Atiyah and Bott [AB] in their study of Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces, which are a particular case of the equations that we consider. The idea of Atiyah and Bott was used by Donaldson [Do1] in his proof of the theorem of Narasimhan and Seshadri (see below), and it has been subsequently often used in studying other particular cases of equation (2.1).

2.1 Stability and statement of the correspondence

2.1.1 The Lie group K and its complexification G

Let G be the complexification of K (see for example [BtD] for a general construction of the complexification of compact Lie groups). Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ be the Lie algebra of G.

Let $\rho_a : G \to \mathrm{GL}(W_a)$ be a faithful representation on a finite dimensional complex vector space W_a, and take on W_a a Hermitian metric such that $\rho_a(K) \subset U(W_a)$. We will call ρ_a the **auxiliar representation**. We denote the restriction of ρ_a to K with the same symbol, and the induced representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ as well. Define the following pairing on $\mathfrak{g}$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\langle , \rangle : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\ (u, v) & \mapsto & \langle u, v \rangle = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho_a(u)\rho_a(v)^*).\end{array}$$

This pairing is nondegenerate and its restriction to $\mathfrak{k}$ gives a biinvariant metric. In this chapter we will assume that the metric on $\mathfrak{k}$ used to give a sense to our equations is precisely this one. Note that not all biinvariant metrics on $\mathfrak{k}$ come from a representation as above. For example, the biinvariant metrics on $\mathrm{Lie}(S^1)$ are in bijection with $\mathbb{R}_+$ and only a discrete subset of it corresponds to metrics coming from representations. Most likely, however, this condition on the metric on $\mathfrak{k}$ could be relaxed.

2.1.2 The setting

2.1.2.1 In this chapter X will be any compact Kaehler manifold, and we will denote ω the symplectic form on X and $I \in \operatorname{End}(TX)$ the complex structure. Recall that we have a principal K bundle $\pi : E \to X$. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be the space of connections on E. We denote $\mathscr{A}^{1,1} \subset \mathscr{A}$ the set of connections which satisfy $F_A^{0,2} = 0$. By the theorem of Newlander and Niremberg (see [NewNi]) these are the connections A such that $\overline{\partial}_A$ defines an integrable holomorphic structure on any associated complex vector bundle V.

Let $\mathscr{G}_K = \Gamma(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} K)$ the gauge group of E. Let $\pi_G : E_G = E \times_K G \to X$ be the associated bundle with fibre G (we consider the action of K on G on the left). This is a principal G bundle. Let $\mathscr{G}_G = \Gamma(E_G \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} G) = \Gamma(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} G)$ be the gauge group of E_G. The group $\mathscr{G}_G$ is the complexification of $\mathscr{G}_K$.

2.1.2.2 In this chapter the manifold F will be assumed to be Kaehler. We will write its symplectic form ω_F and its complex structure $I_F \in \operatorname{End}(TF)$ (recall that both structures are invariant under the action of K by hypothesis). Let $\mathcal{F} = E \times_K F$ be the associated bundle and let $\mathscr{S} = \Gamma(\mathcal{F})$ the the set of sections of $\mathcal{F}$. The gauge group $\mathscr{G}_K$ acts on the left on $\mathcal{F}$, and hence acts also on $\mathscr{S}$. Since F is Kaehler, the action of K on F extends to a unique holomorphic action of G (see [GS]). This allows to extend the action of $\mathscr{G}_K$ on $\mathscr{S}$ to an action of $\mathscr{G}_G$.

2.1.2.3 Let $\mathscr{C}$ be the set of G-invariant complex structures on E_G for which the map $d\pi_G : TE_G \to \pi_G^* TX$ is complex. We define a map $\mathbf{C} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{A}$, called the **Chern map**, as follows. An invariant complex structure $I \in \mathscr{C}$ is mapped to the connection whose horizontal distribuition is $I(TE) \cap TE \subset TE$ (this makes sense, since the inclusion $E = E \times_K K \subset E \times_K G$ given by $K \subset G$ induces an inclusion $TE \subset TE_G$). (This distribuition is K invariant and hence corresponds to a connection because I is G-invariant.) The map $\mathbf{C}$ is a bijection. Its inverse sends any connection $A \in \mathscr{A}$ to the complex structure $I_{E_G}(A)$ on E_G defined in 1.1.6 (taking $F = G$).

Lemma 2.1.1. *Let $A \in \mathscr{A}$ and let $I_{\mathcal{F}}(A)$ be the induced complex structure on $\mathcal{F}$ as in 1.1.6. Let $I_{E_G}(A) = \mathbf{C}^{-1}(A)$. By G-invariance the complex structure $I_{E_G}(A) + I_F$ on $E_G \times F$ descends to give a complex structure on $\mathcal{F} = E_G \times_G F$. This complex structure coincides with $I_{\mathcal{F}}(A)$.*

The group $\mathscr{G}_G$ acts on $\mathscr{C}$ by pullback. Using the bijection $\mathbf{C} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{A}$ we transfer the action of $\mathscr{G}_G$ on $\mathscr{C}$ to an action on $\mathscr{A}$. This action extends the action of $\mathscr{G}_K$ and leaves invariant the subset $\mathscr{A}^{1,1} \subset \mathscr{A}$.

2.1.3 Group actions on Kaehler manifolds

We will denote $\langle , \rangle$ the Kaehler metric on F. This metric is given by $\langle u, v \rangle = \omega_F(u, Iv)$. Let $s \in \mathfrak{k}$ be any nonzero element. Write $\mu_s = \langle \mu, s \rangle_{\mathfrak{k}} : F \to \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 2.1.2. *The gradient of μ_s is $I\mathscr{X}_s$.*

Proof. Let $x \in F$ and take any vector $v \in T_xF$. Then $\nabla_v(\mu_s) = \langle d\mu_s, v\rangle_{T_xF} = \omega_F(\mathscr{X}_s, v) = \omega_F(I\mathscr{X}_s, Iv) = \langle I\mathscr{X}_s, v\rangle$, by the definition of moment map. □

Consider the gradient flow $\phi_s^t : F \to F$ of the function μ_s, which is defined by these properties: $\phi_s^0 = \mathrm{Id}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi_s^t = \nabla(\mu_s) = I\mathscr{X}_s$. Using the action of G on F we can write $\phi_s^t(x) = e^{\mathbf{i}ts}x$.

Definition 2.1.3. *Let $x \in F$ be any point, and take an element $s \in \mathfrak{k}$. Define*

$$\lambda_t(x; s) = \mu_s(e^{\mathbf{i}ts}x).$$

Define also the **maximal weight $\lambda(x; s)$ of the action of s on x** *as*

$$\lambda(x; s) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \lambda_t(x; s) \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

This limit always exists since by lemma 2.1.2 the function $\lambda_t(x; s)$ increases with t. The definition of the maximal weight depends on the chosen moment map. Since this is not unique, we will sometimes write the maximal weight of $s \in \mathfrak{k}$ acting on $x \in F$ with respect to the moment map μ as $\lambda^{\mu}(x; s)$.

Proposition 2.1.4. *The maximal weights satisfy the following properties:*

1. *They are K-equivariant, that is, for any $k \in K$, $\lambda(kx; ksk^{-1}) = \lambda(x; s)$.*
2. *For any positive real number t one has $\lambda(x; ts) = t\lambda(x; s)$.*

See sections 2.6 and 2.8 for explicit computations of maximal weights in some particular situations.

2.1.4 Parabolic subgroups

A good reference for this material is [R2]. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G, and split $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^s$ as the sum of the centre plus the semisimple part $\mathfrak{g}^s = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Take a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}^s$. Let $R \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ be the set of roots. We can decompose

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha\in R} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha,$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{g}^s$ is the subspace on which $\mathfrak{h}$ acts through the character $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

Fixing a (irrational) linear form on $\mathfrak{h}^*$, we divide the set of roots in positive and negative roots: $R = R^+ \cup R^-$. Let us denote the set of simple roots by $\Delta = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \subset R^+$. Recall that the set Δ is characterised by the following property: any root can be written as a linear combination of the elements of Δ with integer coefficients all of the same sign. Furthermore, r equals $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{h}$, the rank of G. The simple coroots are by definition $\alpha_j' = 2\alpha_j/\langle\alpha_j, \alpha_j\rangle$, where $1 \leq j \leq r$.

We have taken a maximal compact subgroup $K \subset G$. From now on we will assume that the following relation holds between K and the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$: $\mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ is the complexification of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$ of a maximal torus $T \subset K$.

Lemma 2.1.5. *Chose, for any root $\alpha \in R$, a nonzero element $g_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ in such a way that g_α and $g_{-\alpha}$ satisfy $\langle g_\alpha, g_{-\alpha}\rangle = 1$. Let $\mathbb{R}R^* \subset \mathfrak{h}$ denote the real span of the duals (with respect to the Killing metric) of the roots. Assume that $\mathfrak{z}\oplus\mathfrak{h}$ is the complexification of the Lie algebra of a maximal torus T of a maximal compact subgroup $K \subset G$. Then $\mathfrak{g}^s \cap \mathfrak{k} = \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}R^* \oplus \bigoplus_{\pm\alpha\in R} \mathbb{R}(g_\alpha + g_{-\alpha}) \oplus \mathbb{R}(\mathbf{i}g_\alpha - \mathbf{i}g_{-\alpha})$.*

This lemma (and the following ones in this subsection) can be easily proved using basic results on reductive Lie groups (see for example [FH]).

Let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r$ be the set of fundamental weights, which belong to $\mathfrak{h}^*$ and are the duals with respect to the Killing metric of the simple coroots. Let us denote by $\lambda'_1, \ldots, \lambda'_r$ the elements in $\mathfrak{h}$ dual to the fundamental weights through the Killing metric.

To define a parabolic subgroup of G, take any subset $A = \{\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_s}\} \subset \Delta$. Let

$$D = D_A = \{\alpha \in R | \alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{r} m_j \alpha_j, \text{ where } m_{i_t} \geq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq t \leq s\}.$$

Definition 2.1.6. *The subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha\in D} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ will be called the* **parabolic subalgebra** *of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the set $A \subset \Delta$. The connected subgroup P of G whose subalgebra is $\mathfrak{p}$ will be called the* **parabolic subgroup** *of G with respect to A. Furthermore, any positive (resp. negative) linear combination of the fundamental weights $\lambda_{i_1}, \ldots, \lambda_{i_s}$ plus an element of the dual of $\mathbf{i}(\mathfrak{z} \cap \mathfrak{k})$ will be called a* **dominant** *(resp.* **antidominant***)* **character** *on $\mathfrak{p}$ (or on P).*

Remark 2.1.7. *We will regard G as a parabolic subgroup of itself (with respect to the empty set $\emptyset \subset \Delta$).*

Observe that our definition of parabolic subgroup depends upon the choice of a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ and of a linear form on $\mathfrak{h}^*$. In general, any parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$ obtained from a different choice of Cartan subalgebra and linear form will be conjugate to a parabolic subgroup obtained from our data.

Let $\rho : K \to U(W_\rho)$ be a representation on a Hermitian vector space W_ρ. We will denote its (unique) lift to a holomorphic representation of the complexification G of K by the same letter $\rho : G \to GL(W_\rho)$. Take $P \subset G$ to be the parabolic subgroup with respect to a set $A = \{\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_s}\} \subset \Delta$. Let χ be the dual of an antidominant character of P. Thanks to our conventions (lemma 2.1.5), χ belongs to $\mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k}$. So, since ρ is unitary, $\rho(\chi)$ diagonalises and has real eigenvalues. Let $\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_r$ be the set of different eigenvalues of $\rho(\chi)$, and let us write $W(\lambda)$ the eigenspace of eigenvalue λ. Let $W^{\lambda_k} = \bigoplus_{j\leq k} W(\lambda_j)$, and let $\mathfrak{W}_\rho(\chi)$ be the partial flag $0 \subset W^{\lambda_1} \subset \cdots \subset W^{\lambda_r} = W_\rho$.

Lemma 2.1.8. *(i) The action of P leaves invariant the partial flag $\mathfrak{W}_\rho(\chi)$. Suppose that the restriction of ρ to the semisimple part $\mathfrak{p}^s$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ is faithful. If $\chi = z + \sum_{k=1}^{s} m_k \lambda'_{i_k}$, where $z \in \mathfrak{z}$, and, for any k, $m_k < 0$, then P is precisely the preimage by ρ of the stabiliser of $\mathfrak{W}_\rho(\chi)$. (ii) Let $\chi \in \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k}$ be any element. There is a choice of Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ contained in $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $\chi \in \mathfrak{h}$ and χ is antidominant with respect to P if and only if the stabiliser of the partial flag $\mathfrak{W}_\rho(\chi)$ contains P.*

Lemma 2.1.9. *Let χ be any element in $\mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k}$. The preimage by ρ of the stabiliser of $\mathfrak{W}_\rho(\chi)$ is a parabolic subgroup $P_\rho(\chi)$ of G. Moreover, χ is the dual of an antidominant character of $P_\rho(\chi)$.*

Let us take now any subspace $W' \subset W_\rho$ belonging to the filtration $\mathfrak{W}_\rho(\chi)$. We define $\overline{W}' = G \times_\rho W' \to G/P$. In other words, $\overline{W} = G \times W'/\sim$, where $(gp, w) \sim (g, pw)$ for any $(g, w) \in G \times W'$ and $p \in P$. This makes sense, since P leaves W' invariant and so $\rho : P \to GL(W')$. Define also an action of G on $G \times W'$ by $g'(g, w) = (g'g, g^{-1}g'gw)$. This action is compatible with the relation $\sim$. Indeed,

$$g'(gp, w) = (g'gp, p^{-1}g^{-1}g'gpw) \sim (g'g, g^{-1}g'gpw) = g'(g, pw).$$

Repeating this for each subspace in $\mathfrak{W}_\rho(\chi)$ we obtain the following.

Lemma 2.1.10. *The filtration of vector bundles $\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_\rho(\chi) = G \times_\rho \mathfrak{W}_\rho(\chi) \to G/P$ is G-equivariant and holomorphic.*

2.1.5 Parabolic and maximal compact subgroups

Given any parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}$, we will write P_K (resp. $\mathfrak{p}_K$) the subgroup $P \cap K$ (resp. the subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{k}$). P_K is a maximal compact subgroup of P.

Lemma 2.1.11. *Let $E_G \to X$ be a G-principal bundle on any topological space X. If E_G admits reductions of its structure group from G to a parabolic subgroup P and to the maximal compact subgroup K, then it also admits a reduction of its structure group from G to P_K.*

Proof. Consider the surjections $\pi_P : G/P_K \to G/P$ and $\pi_K : G/P_K \to G/K$. We will prove that, for any pair $(g_P P, g_K K) \in G/P \times G/K$, the intersection $\pi_P^{-1}(g_P P) \cap \pi_K^{-1}(g_K K) \subset G/P_K$ consists of a single point. We can assume, multiplying on the left $g_P P$ and $g_K K$ by g_P^{-1}, that $g_P P = P$. So, if $[P] \subset G/P_K$ (resp. $[K] \subset G/P_K$) is $\pi_P^{-1}(P)$ (resp. $\pi_K^{-1}(K)$), we have to check that for any $g \in G$, $[P] \cap g[K] \subset G/P_K$ is a point. Using intersection theory, it is enough to verify that $[P]$ and $g[K]$ intersect transversely for any g and that $[P] \cap [K]$ consists of a single point (indeed, given any point $g \in G$ we can connect g to $1 \in G$ with a path, since G is connected; then, if for any point g in the path $[P]$ and $g[K]$ intersect transversely, since $[K]$ is compact and $[P]$ is closed, $\sharp[P] \cap [K] = \sharp[P] \cap g[K]$, where $\sharp A$ denotes the number of elements in A). Let $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ (this is a Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$). Thanks to lemma 2.1.5, $\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}$. Any parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$ contains $\mathfrak{b}$ as a subalgebra, so $\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}$. Now, if $g, p \in G$ and $[p] \in [P] \cap g[K]$ ($[p]$ denotes the class of p in G/P_K), then $T_{[p]}[P] = p\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}_K$ and $T_{[p]}[K] = p\mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{p}_K$. So $T_{[p]}[P] + T_{[p]}[P] = T_{[p]}(G/P_K)$, and this means that the intersection is transverse. On the other hand, $[P] \cap [K] \subset P_K$ consists of a point by the definition of P_K.

Now suppose that there are reductions $\sigma_P \in \Gamma(E(G/P))$ and $\sigma_K \in \Gamma(E(G/K))$ (here $E(G/P)$ denotes the bundle $E_G \times_G (G/P)$ associated to E with fibre the homogeneous space G/P, and similarly $E(G/K)$). This means that on each point $x \in X$, after identifying $(E_G)_x$ with G, we have $\sigma_P(x) \in P/G$ and $\sigma_K(x) \in P/K$. By the preceeding observation, these give a unique point in G/P_K. Doing this in every fibre we get a unique section $\sigma_{P_K} \in \Gamma(E(G/P_K))$ (smoothness is a consequence of transversality), which is a reduction of the structure group of E_G to P_K. ☐

Lemma 2.1.12. *Let P be a parabolic subgroup with respect to the set*

$$A = \{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_s}\} \subset \Delta.$$

For any $j \in \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}$, the element $\lambda'_j \in \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k}$ (dual with respect to the Killing metric of the fundamental weight λ_j) is left fixed by the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{p}_K$ on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. Fix an $i \in \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}$. We will prove that λ'_i belongs to the centre of $(\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{k}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. Let

$$D^s_A = \{\alpha \in R | \alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{r} m_j \alpha_j, \text{ where } m_{i_t} = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq t \leq s\}.$$

Then $(\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{k}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in D^s} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. This stems from lemma 2.1.5. If $g \in \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$, then clearly $[\lambda'_i, g] = 0$. And if $g \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, for $\alpha \in D^s_A$, then $[\lambda'_i, g] = \lambda_i(\alpha) = 0$. As a consequence, for any $g \in (\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{k}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, one has $[\lambda'_i, g] = \lambda_i(g) = 0$, which is what we wanted to prove. ☐

2.1.6 Reductions of the structure group and filtrations

Let $V = V_{\rho_a} = E \times_{\rho_a} W_a$ be the vector bundle associated to the auxiliar representation (see section 2.1.1). In this subsection we will see that there is a bijection between the reductions of the structure group of E to a parabolic subgroup P together with an antidominant character of P, and certain filtrations of V by subbundles. We denote $E(G/P)$ the bundle $E_G \times_G (G/P)$. The space of reductions of the structure group of E_G from G to P is $\Gamma(E(G/P))$.

2.1.6.1 Fix a parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$ and take a reduction $\sigma \in \Gamma(E(G/P))$. Let χ be an antidominant character for P. There is a canonical reduction of the structure group G of E_G to K, since $E_G = E \times_K G$. By lemma 2.1.11, this reduction, together with σ, gives a reduction $\sigma_K \in \Gamma(E(G/P_K))$, where $P_K = P \cap K$. And then, lemma 2.1.12 implies that we get a section $g_{\sigma,\chi} \in \Omega^0(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k}) = \mathbf{i}\,\mathrm{Lie}(\mathscr{G}_K)$ which is fibrewise the dual of χ.

With the element $g_{\sigma,\chi}$ we can obtain a filtration of V_ρ as follows. First of all, $\rho(g_{\sigma,\chi})$ has constant real eigenvalues (which are equal to those of $\rho(\chi) \in \mathrm{End}(W_\rho)$). Let $\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_r$ be the different eigenvalues, and let $V_\rho(\lambda_j)$ be the eigenbundle of eigenvalue λ_j. Finally, let $V^{\lambda_k}_\rho = \bigoplus_{i \leq k} V_\rho(\lambda_j)$. Denote by $\mathfrak{V}_\rho(\sigma, \chi)$ the filtration

$$0 \subset V^{\lambda_1}_\rho \subset V^{\lambda_2}_\rho \subset \cdots \subset V^{\lambda_r}_\rho = V_\rho.$$

Alternatively, recall that on G/P there is a filtration of G-equivariant (holomorphic) vector bundles, $\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_\rho(\chi)$ (see lemma 2.1.10). G-equivariance allows to define the filtration $\overline{\mathfrak{V}}_\rho(\chi) = E \times_G \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_\rho(\chi) \to E(G/P)$. Then $\mathfrak{V}_\rho(\sigma, \chi) = \sigma^* \overline{\mathfrak{V}}_\rho(\chi)$.

2.1.6.2 Conversely, take $g \in \Omega^0(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k})$. Suppose that $\rho(g)$ has constant eigenvalues, and let $\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_r$ be the set of different values they take. Just as before, we consider the filtration

$$0 \subset V_\rho^{\lambda_1} \subset V_\rho^{\lambda_2} \subset \cdots \subset V_\rho^{\lambda_r} = V_\rho. \tag{2.2}$$

Fix a point $x \in X$. After trivialising the fibre E_x we can identify $g(x)$ with and element χ of $\mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k}$. Let $P = P_\rho(\chi)$ (see lemma 2.1.9). We obtain a reduction $\sigma \in \Gamma(E(G/P))$ as follows. Let $y \in X$. Trivialise E_y and identify $g(y)$ with $\chi_y \in \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k}$. Let

$$\sigma(y) = \{g \in G | g(\mathfrak{W}_\rho(\chi)) = \mathfrak{W}_\rho(\chi_y)\}.$$

Then $\sigma(y)$ is invariant under left multiplication by elements of P, and in fact gives a unique point in G/P (here we use lemma 2.1.9). Furthermore, the definition of $\sigma(y)$ is compatible with change of trivialisation in the sense that it gives a section $\sigma \in \Gamma(E(G/P))$.

Lemma 2.1.13. *The filtration (2.2) is equal to* $\mathfrak{V}_\rho(\sigma, \chi)$.

2.1.6.3 Holomorphic reductions of the structure group

Suppose that there is a fixed (integrable) holomorphic structure on E_G. This structure induces a holomorphic structure on the total space of the associated bundle $E(G/P)$, since G/P is a complex manifold and the action of G on G/P is holomorphic.

Definition 2.1.14. *Let* $\sigma \in \Gamma(E(G/P))$. *A reduction* σ *is* **holomorphic** *if the map* $\sigma : X \to E(G/P)$ *is holomorphic.*

One can give an equivalent definition of holomorphicity in terms of the filtrations induced by the reduction σ in the associated vector bundles.

Lemma 2.1.15. *Let* $\sigma \in \Gamma(E(G/P))$. *If the reduction* σ *is holomorphic then, for any antidominant character* χ *for* P *and for any representation* $\rho : K \to U(W)$, *the filtration* $\mathfrak{V}_\rho(\sigma, \chi)$ *of* V_ρ *is holomorphic. Conversely, let* $g \in \Omega^0(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k})$ *have constant eigenvalues, and let* $P \subset G$, $\sigma \in \Gamma(E(G/P))$, $\chi \in \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k}$ *and* $\mathfrak{V}_\rho(\sigma, \chi)$ *be obtained from it as in 2.1.6.2. If* $\mathfrak{V}_\rho(\sigma, \chi)$ *is holomorphic, then so is* σ.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{V}_\rho(\sigma, \chi) = \sigma^* \tilde{\mathfrak{V}}_\rho(\chi)$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{V}}_\rho(\chi) \to E(G/P)$ is holomorphic, the first claim follows.

We now prove the second claim. Suppose that $\mathfrak{V}_\rho(\sigma, \chi)$ is holomorphic. Fix $x \in X$ and take a holomorphic trivialisation $E|_U \simeq U \times G$ on a contractible neighbourhood U of x. With this trivialisation, the restriction of σ to U can be viewed as a map from U to G/P. Define a filtration $\mathfrak{V}^U$ of $U \times W_\rho$ as $\mathfrak{V}^U(x) = \sigma(x)\mathfrak{W}_\rho(\chi)$. Then $\mathfrak{V}_\rho(\sigma, \chi)|_U$ can be identified with $\mathfrak{V}^U$ and the holomorphic structure on $V_\rho|_U$ corresponds to the trivial $\overline{\partial}$ operator on $U \times W_\rho$. Hence if $\mathfrak{V}_\rho(\sigma, \chi)|_U$ is holomorphic then $\overline{\partial}$ leaves $\mathfrak{V}^U$ invariant. Since ρ is faithful this is equivalent to $\overline{\partial} s = 0$. □

2.1.6.4 Total degree of a reduction of the structure group

Let P be a parabolic subgroup of G with respect to $\{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_s}\} \subset \Delta$. Suppose that $\sigma \in \Gamma(E(G/P))$ is a reduction. Let χ be an antidominant character of P.

We begin by defining the degree of the pair (σ, χ). Let $0 \subset V^{\lambda_1} \subset \cdots \subset V^{\lambda_r} = V$ be the filtration $\mathfrak{V}_{\rho_a}(\sigma, \chi)$ of V. For any vector bundle V' we denote

$$\deg(V') = 2\pi \langle c_1(V') \cup [\omega^{[n-1]}], [X] \rangle.$$

Here $[\omega^{[n-1]}]$ denotes the cohomology class represented by the form $\omega^{[n-1]}$ and $[X] \in H_{2n}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is the fundamental class of X. Then we set

$$\deg(\sigma, \chi) = \lambda_r \deg(V) + \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1}) \deg(V^{\lambda_k}).$$

2.1.7 Stability, simple pairs and the correspondence

Let $\sigma \in \Gamma(E(G/P))$ be a reduction. We define the **maximal weight** of (σ, χ) acting on a section $\Phi \in \mathscr{S} = \Gamma(\mathcal{F})$ of the associated bundle $\mathcal{F} = E \times_K F$ as

$$\int_{x \in X} \lambda(\Phi(x); -\mathbf{i} g_{\sigma,\chi}(x)),$$

where $\lambda(\Phi(x); -g_{\sigma,\chi}(x))$ is the maximal weight of $-g_{\sigma,\chi}(x)$ acting on $\Phi(x)$ as defined in 2.1.3 (note that here we use the K-equivariance of the maximal weights, as stated in lemma 2.1.4).

Finally, given any central element $c \in \mathfrak{z} \cap \mathfrak{k}$ we define the **c-total degree** of the pair (σ, χ) as

$$T^c_\Phi(\sigma, \chi) = \deg(\sigma, \chi) + \int_{x \in X} \lambda(\Phi(x); -\mathbf{i} g_{\sigma,\chi}(x)) + \langle \mathbf{i}\chi, c \rangle \operatorname{Vol}(X).$$

Just as the maximal weights, the c-total degree is allowed to be equal to ∞.

Now suppose that $X_0 \subset X$ has as complement in X a complex codimension 2 submanifold. Suppose also that a reduction σ is defined only in X_0, that is, $\sigma \in \Gamma(X_0; E(G/P))$. In this case it also makes sense to speak about $T^c_\Phi(\sigma, \chi)$ for any antidominant character χ. The only difficulty would be in defining the degree $\deg(\sigma, \chi)$. However, it is well known that the degree of a vector bundle can be computed by integrating the Chern-Weil form in the complement of a complex codimension 2 variety.

Definition 2.1.16. *A pair $(A, \Phi) \in \mathscr{A}^{1,1} \times \mathscr{S}$ is **c-stable** if for any $X_0 \subset X$ whose complement on X is a complex codimension 2 submanifold, for any parabolic subgroup P of G, for any holomorphic (with respect to the complex structure $\mathbf{C}^{-1}A$ on E_G, see lemma 2.1.1) reduction $\sigma \in \Gamma(X_0; E(G/P))$ defined on X_0, and for any antidominant character χ of P we have*

$$T^c_\Phi(\sigma, \chi) > 0.$$

We will say that an element $s \in \mathscr{G}_G$ is **semisimple** if, for any $x \in X$, after identifying $(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{g})_x \simeq \mathfrak{g}$, $s(x) \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a semisimple element. (This is independent of the chosen isomorphism $(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{g})_x \simeq \mathfrak{g}$, because an element of $\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple if and only if any element in its orbit by the adjoint action of G on $\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple.)

Definition 2.1.17. *A pair (A, Φ) is* **simple** *if no semisimple element in* $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathscr{G}_G)$ *leaves (A, Φ) fixed, that is, for any semisimple $s \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathscr{G}_G)$, $\mathscr{X}_s^{\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}}(A, \Phi) \neq 0$.*

Remark 2.1.18. *If (A, Φ) is simple then so is any point in the $\mathscr{G}_G$ orbit through (A, Φ).*

We are now ready to state the main theorem of this chapter.

Theorem 2.1.19 (Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence). *Let $(A, \Phi) \in \mathscr{A}^{1,1} \times \mathscr{S}$ be a simple pair. There exists a gauge transformation $g \in \mathscr{G}_G$ such that*

$$\Lambda F_{g(A)} + \mu(g(\Phi)) = c \tag{2.3}$$

if and only if (A, Φ) is c-stable. Furthermore, if two different $g, g' \in \mathscr{G}_G$ solve equation (2.3), then there exists $k \in \mathscr{G}_K$ such that $g' = kg$.

We briefly explain the idea of the proof of theorem 2.1.19. We construct on $\mathscr{A}^{1,1} \times \mathscr{S} \times \mathscr{G}_G$ a functional Ψ (that we will call integral of the moment map) whose critical points give the solutions of equation (2.3). We prove that the pair (A, Φ) is c-stable if and only if the functional Ψ is, in a certain sense, proper along the slice $\{A\} \times \{\Phi\} \times \mathscr{G}_G$. On the other hand we prove that the functional being proper along $\{A\} \times \{\Phi\} \times \mathscr{G}_G$ is equivalent to its having a critical point in $\{A\} \times \{\Phi\} \times \mathscr{G}_G$, thus proving theorem 2.1.19.

Sections 2.2 to 2.5 are devoted to the proof of theorem 2.1.19. In section 2.2 we explain how to construct the functional Ψ and prove some basic properties of it. This is done for any Kaehler action of a Lie group (satisfying certain properties which do hold for compact groups and also for the group $\mathscr{G}_K$) on a Kaehler manifold. In section 2.3 we prove that one can apply the results in section 2.2 to the action of $\mathscr{G}_K$ on $\mathscr{A}^{1,1} \times \mathscr{S}$. More precisely, we define (using an idea of Atiyah and Bott [AB]) a Kaehler structure on $\mathscr{A}^{1,1} \times \mathscr{S}$ which is respected by the action of $\mathscr{G}_K$ and such that the action of $\mathscr{G}_G$ is holomorphic. In section 2.4 we pause to look at the case $X = \{\mathrm{pt}\}$ (see the beginning of that section for an explanation). Finally, in section 2.5 we give the proof of theorem 2.1.19.

2.1.8 Bogomolov inequality

In corollary 1.2.17 a certain inequality satisfied by all pairs (A, Φ) solving equations 1.2 is given. Observe, however, that when F is Kaehler the inequality only depends on the $\mathscr{G}_G$ orbit of $(A, \Phi) \in \mathscr{A}^{1,1} \times \mathscr{S}$. Hence, we may restate that result as follows, obtaining a necessary topological condition for existence of solutions to equations (2.3).

Corollary 2.1.20. *Suppose that a pair* $(A, \Phi) \in \mathscr{A}^{1,1} \times \mathscr{S}$ *satisfies* $\overline{\partial}_A \Phi = 0$ *and that there exists a gauge transformation* $g \in \mathscr{G}_G$ *such that 2.3 is satisfied. Then the following inequality holds*

$$\int_X \langle \Lambda F_A, c\rangle + \int_X \Phi^* \phi_A(\overline{\omega}_F) \wedge \omega^{[n-1]} - \frac{1}{2}\int_X B(F_A, F_A) \wedge \omega^{[n-2]} \geq 0.$$

2.2 The integral of the moment map

In this section we consider the following general situation. Let H be a Lie group which acts on a Kaehler manifold M respecting the Kaehler structure, and assume that there exists a moment map $\mu : M \to \mathfrak{h}^*$, where $\mathfrak{h} = \mathrm{Lie}(H)$. Suppose that there exists the complexification $L = H^{\mathbb{C}}$ of H, and that the inclusion $H \to L$ induces a surjection $\pi_1(H) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(L)$. Under this assumptions, we construct a functional

$$\Psi : M \times L \to \mathbb{R}$$

which we call the integral of the moment map μ, and which satisfies these two properties:

- for any $x \in M$, the critical points of the restriction Ψ_x of Ψ to $\{x\} \times L$ coincide with the points of the orbit Lx on which the moment map vanishes and
- the restriction of Ψ_x to lines of the form $\{e^{ts} | t \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where $s \in \mathfrak{l} = \mathrm{Lie}(L)$, is convex.

If H is compact then $L = H^{\mathbb{C}}$ always exists and $\pi_1(H) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(L)$ is always satisfied. But note that we do not need our manifold M or our groups H, L to be finite dimensional. In fact, we will use this construction mainly in the infinite dimensional case $(M; H, L) = (\mathscr{A}^{1,1} \times \mathscr{S}; \mathscr{G}_K, \mathscr{G}_G)$ (in section 2.3 we will prove that $\mathscr{A}^{1,1} \times \mathscr{S}$ is a Kaehler manifold, that the action of $\mathscr{G}_K$ respects the Kaehler structure, and we will identify a moment map for this action). The resulting integral of the moment map will be a certain modification of the Donaldson functional, and will be the key tool to prove theorem 2.1.19.

2.2.1 Definition of Ψ

Let us fix a point $x \in M$, and let $\phi : L \to M$ be the map which sends $h \in L$ to $hx \in M$. We define a 1-form on L, $\sigma = \sigma^x \in \Omega^1(L)$, as follows: given $h \in L$ and $v \in T_h L$,

$$\sigma_h(v) = \langle \mu(hx), -\mathbf{i}\pi(v)\rangle_{\mathfrak{k}},$$

where $\pi : T_h L = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{h} \to \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{h}$ is the projection to the second summand.

We will use the following formula, which holds for any two vector fields X, Y and any 2-form ω on M

$$d\omega(X, Y) = L_X(\omega(Y)) - L_Y(\omega(X)) - \omega([X, Y]). \tag{2.4}$$

Equality (2.4) is a particular case of a formula which describes the exterior derivative of forms of arbitrary degree in terms of Lie derivatives (see [BeGeV] p. 18).

Lemma 2.2.1. *The 1-form σ is exact.*

Proof. Let us first of all prove that $d\sigma = 0$. Given $g \in \mathfrak{l} = \mathrm{Lie}(L)$, let $\mathscr{X}_g = \mathscr{X}_g^M$. We will prove that for any pair $g, g' \in \mathfrak{h} \cup \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{h}$, $d\sigma(g, g') = 0$. This implies by linearity that $d\sigma = 0$. We will treat separately three cases, and will make use of formula (2.4), which in our case reads

$$d\sigma(\mathscr{X}_g^L, \mathscr{X}_{g'}^L) = \langle d(\sigma(\mathscr{X}_{g'}^L)), \mathscr{X}_g^L\rangle_{TL} - \langle d(\sigma(\mathscr{X}_g^L)), \mathscr{X}_{g'}^L\rangle_{TL} - \sigma([\mathscr{X}_g^L, \mathscr{X}_{g'}^L]).$$

Suppose first that $g, g' \in \mathfrak{h}$. In this case, $\pi(\mathscr{X}_g^L) = \pi(\mathscr{X}_{g'}^L) = \pi([\mathscr{X}_g^L, \mathscr{X}_{g'}^L]) = 0$, hence by the formula it is clear that $d\sigma(\mathscr{X}_g^L, \mathscr{X}_{g'}^L) = 0$.

Now suppose that $g \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $g' \in \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{h}$. Observe that $\sigma(\mathscr{X}_g^L) = 0$, so we have to prove that $\langle d(\sigma(\mathscr{X}_{g'}^L)), \mathscr{X}_g^L\rangle_{TL} - \sigma([\mathscr{X}_g^L, \mathscr{X}_{g'}^L]) = 0$. Differentiating (C2) in definition 1.1.1 we have

$$\langle d\langle \mu, v\rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}, \mathscr{X}_g\rangle_{TM} + \langle \mu, [g, v]\rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0.$$

The functoriality of the exterior differentiation d implies that

$$\langle d(\sigma(\mathscr{X}_{g'}^L)), \mathscr{X}_g^L\rangle_{TL} + \sigma(\mathscr{X}_{[g,g']}^L) = 0.$$

On the other hand, since the action of L on M is on the left, $[\mathscr{X}_g^L, \mathscr{X}_{g'}^L] = -\mathscr{X}_{[g,g']}^L$, hence we obtain

$$\langle d(\sigma(\mathscr{X}_{g'}^L)), \mathscr{X}_g^L\rangle_{TL} - \sigma([\mathscr{X}_g^L, \mathscr{X}_{g'}^L]) = 0,$$

which is what we wanted to prove. The case $g \in \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{h}$ and $g' \in \mathfrak{h}$ is dealt with in a very similar way.

Finally, there remains the case $g, g' \in \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{h}$. In this situation $[g, g'] \in \mathfrak{h}$, and so $\sigma([\mathscr{X}_g^L, \mathscr{X}_{g'}^L]) = 0$. In view of this we have to prove

$$\langle d(\sigma(\mathscr{X}_{g'}^L)), \mathscr{X}_g^L\rangle_{TL} = \langle d(\sigma(\mathscr{X}_g^L)), \mathscr{X}_{g'}^L\rangle_{TL}.$$

The left hand side is equal to $\phi^*(\langle d\langle \mu, \mathbf{i}g\rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}, \mathscr{X}_{g'}\rangle_{TM})$ and this, by (C1) in definition 1.1.1, is equal to

$$\phi^*(\omega_M(I\mathscr{X}_g, \mathscr{X}_{g'})) = \phi^*(-\langle \mathscr{X}_g, \mathscr{X}_{g'}\rangle),$$

where ω_M denotes the symplectic form on M. The right hand side is equal to

$$\phi^*(\omega_M(I\mathscr{X}_{g'}, \mathscr{X}_g)) = \phi^*(-\langle \mathscr{X}_{g'}, \mathscr{X}_g\rangle).$$

Both functions are the same by the symmetry of $\langle , \rangle$.

Once we know that $d\sigma = 0$, let us prove that σ is exact. Let $\iota : H \to L$ denote the inclusion. It is clear that $\iota^*\sigma = 0$. On the other hand, by our hypothesis $\iota_* : \pi_1(H) \to \pi_1(L)$ is exhaustive. These two facts imply that σ is exact. Indeed, if it were not exact then we could find a path $\gamma : [0,1] \to L$, $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = 1 \in L$ such that

$$\int_\gamma \sigma \neq 0.$$

But then we could deform γ to a path $\gamma' \subset H$, and, since $d\sigma = 0$, the value of the integral would not change and in particular would be nonzero. This is in contradiction with the fact that $\iota^*\sigma = 0$. So σ is exact. □

Let $\Psi_x : L \to \mathbb{R}$ be the unique function such that $\Psi_x(1) = 0$ and such that $d\Psi_x = \sigma^x$. Define also $\Psi : M \times L \ni (x, g) \mapsto \Psi_x(g)$. We will call the function Ψ the **integral of the moment map**.

2.2.2 Properties of Ψ

In this subsection we give the properties of the integral of the moment map which will be used below.

Proposition 2.2.2. *Let $x \in M$ be any point, and let $s \in \mathfrak{h}$.*

1. $\Psi(x, e^{\mathbf{i}s}) = \int_0^1 \langle \mu(e^{\mathbf{i}ts}x), s\rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} dt = \int_0^1 \lambda_t(x; s) dt$,
2. $\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}(x, e^{\mathbf{i}ts})|_{t=0} = \langle \mu(x), s\rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} = \lambda_0(x; s)$,
3. $\forall t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial t^2}(x, e^{\mathbf{i}ts})|_{t=t_0} \geq 0$, *with equality if and only if* $\mathscr{X}_s(e^{\mathbf{i}t_0 s}x) = 0$,
4. $\forall t_0 > 0$, $\Psi(x, e^{\mathbf{i}ls}x) \geq (l - t_0)\lambda_t(x; s) + C_s(x; t_0)$, *where* $C_s(x; t_0)$ *is a continuous function on* $x \in M$, $s \in \mathfrak{h}$ *and* $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$,

Proof. By definition, $\Psi(x, e^{\mathbf{i}s}) = \int_\gamma \sigma^x$, where γ is any path in L joining $1 \in L$ to $e^{\mathbf{i}s}$. If we take $\gamma : [0,1] \ni t \mapsto e^{\mathbf{i}ts}$, then the integral reduces to $\int_0^1 \langle \mu(e^{\mathbf{i}ts}x), s\rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} dt$. This proves (1). Property (2) is deduced from (1) differentiating. (3) is a consequence of (1) and the fact that $\lambda_t(x; s)$ increases with t. To prove (4), let $C_s(x; t_0) = \int_0^{t_0} \lambda_t(x; s) dt$. Then:

$$\int_0^1 \lambda_t(x; ls) dt = \int_0^l \lambda_t(x; s) dt \geq (l - t_0)\lambda_t(x; s) + C_s(x; t_0);$$

the first equality is obtained making a change of variable and using (2) in 2.1.4, and the inequality comes from the fact that $\lambda_t(x; s)$ increases as a function of t. □

Proposition 2.2.3. *Let $x \in M$ be any point, and let $s \in \mathfrak{h}$.*

1. *If* $g, h \in L$, *then* $\Psi(x, g) + \Psi(gx, h) = \Psi(x, hg)$,
2. *for any* $k \in H$ *and* $g \in L$, $\Psi(x, kg) = \Psi(x, g)$, *and* $\Psi(x, 1) = 0$,

3. for any $k \in H$ and $g \in L$, $\Psi(kx, h) = \Psi(x, k^{-1}gk)$.

Proof. To prove (1), observe that for any $g \in L$, $\sigma^{gx} = R_g^* \sigma^x$, where R_g denotes right multiplication in L (indeed, for any $g' \in L$ one has $\sigma^{gx}(g') = \sigma^x(g'g)$ – as usual, we identify the tangent spaces $T_{g'}(L)$ and $T_{g'g}(L)$ making L act on the right). This equivalence, together with the requierement that $\Psi_{gx}(1) = 0$ implies that, for any $h \in L$, $\Psi_{gx}(h) = \Psi_x(hg) - \Psi_x(g)$. Property (2) is a consequence of (1) together with the fact that, for any $x \in M$, $\Psi_x|_H = 0$. Finally, to prove (3) we use points (1) and (2): $\Psi(x; k^{-1}gk) = \Psi(x, gk) + \Psi(gkx, k^{-1}) = \Psi(x, k) + \Psi(kx, g) = \Psi(kx, g)$. $\square$

Proposition 2.2.4. *An element $g \in L$ is a critical point of Ψ_x if and only if $\mu(gx) = 0$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of (2) in 2.2.2 and (1) in 2.2.3. $\square$

Just like maximal weights, the function Ψ depends on the moment map, which is not unique. When it is not clear from the context which moment map we consider, we will write Ψ^μ to mean the integral of the moment map μ.

2.2.3 Linear properness

In this section we restrict to the case $(M; H, L) = (F; K, G)$. In particular, recall that we have the auxiliar representation $\rho_a : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{End}(W_a)$ (see section 2.1.1). We define a norm on $\mathfrak{g}$ as follows: for any $s \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$|s| = \langle s, s\rangle^{1/2} = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho_a(s)\rho_a(s)^*)^{1/2}.$$

Let $\log_G : G \simeq K \times \exp(\mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k}) \to \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k}$ denote the projection to the second factor of the Cartan decomposition composed with the logarithm. For any $g \in G$ we will call $|g|_{\log} := |\log_G g|$ the **length** of g.

Definition 2.2.5. *We will say that Ψ_x is* **linearly proper** *if there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that for any $g \in G$*

$$|g|_{\log} \leq C_1 \Psi_x(g) + C_2.$$

Proposition 2.2.6. *Let $h \in G$ and $x \in F$. If Ψ_x is linearly proper then Ψ_{hx} is also linearly proper.*

Before giving the proof of this proposition we prove the following technical result.

Lemma 2.2.7. *Let $h \in G$. There exists $C \geq 1$ such that for any $g \in G$*

$$N^{-1/2}|gh|_{\log} - \log C \leq |g|_{\log} \leq N^{1/2}(|gh|_{\log} + \log C).$$

Furthermore, C depends continuously on $h \in G$.

Proof. Since the Cartan decomposition commutes with unitary representations, we may describe the length function as follows. Let $x \in G$ be any element and write $\rho_a(x) = RS$, where $R \in U(W_a)$ and $S = \exp(u)$, where $u = u^*$. The matrix u diagonalises and has real eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N$. So $|x|^2_{\log} = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j^2$. Define $\max(x) = \max_{\|v\|=1} |\log \|\rho_a(x)v\||$. Then we have $\max |\lambda_j| = \max(x)$ and consequently

$$\max(x) \leq |x|_{\log} \leq N^{1/2} \max(x). \tag{2.5}$$

Let now $h \in G$. Then there exists $C \geq 1$, depending continuously on h, such that for any $g \in G$ and any $v \in V$, $C^{-1}\|\rho_a(gh)v\| \leq \|\rho_a(g)v\| \leq C\|\rho_a(gh)v\|$, which implies

$$|\max(gh) - \max(g)| \leq \log C. \tag{2.6}$$

Putting $x = gh$ in (2.5) we obtain

$$N^{-1/2}|gh|_{\log} \leq \max(gh) \leq |gh|_{\log}, \tag{2.7}$$

and combining (2.5) with $x = g$ and (2.6) we get

$$\max(gh) - \log C \leq |g|_{\log} \leq N^{1/2}(\max(gh) + \log C).$$

Finally, using (2.7) we get $N^{-1/2}|gh|_{\log} - \log C \leq |g|_{\log} \leq N^{1/2}(|gh|_{\log} + \log C)$. □

Proof. (Proposition 2.2.6.) Suppose that Ψ_x is linearly proper, that is, for any $g \in G$

$$|g|_{\log} \leq C_1 \Psi_x(g) + C_2,$$

where C_1 and C_2 are positive. Fix $h \in G$. Let $C \geq 1$ be the constant in lemma 2.2.7. (1) in 2.2.3 tells us that $\Psi_{hx}(g) = \Psi_x(gh) - \Psi_x(h)$, so we get for any $g \in G$

$$\begin{aligned} |g|_{\log} &\leq N^{1/2}(|gh|_{\log} + \log C) \leq N^{1/2}(C_1\Psi_x(gh) + C_2 + \log C) \\ &= N^{1/2}(C_1(\Psi_x(gh) - \Psi_x(h)) + C_1\Psi_x(h) + C_2 + \log C) \\ &= N^{1/2}(C_1\Psi_{hx}(g) + C_1\Psi_x(h) + C_2 + \log C), \end{aligned}$$

so setting $C_1' = N^{1/2}C_1$ and $C_2' = \max\{0, N^{1/2}(C_1\Psi_x(h) + C_2 + \log C)\}$ then C_1' and C_2' are positive and $|g|_{\log} \leq C_1'\Psi_{hx}(g) + C_2'$. This proves that Ψ_{hx} is linearly proper. □

2.3 The Kaehler structure on $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}$

In this section we will give, following the classical idea of Atiyah and Bott [AB], a $\mathscr{G}_K$-invariant Kaehler structure on the manifold $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}$. We will identify for this structure a moment map of the action of $\mathscr{G}_K$, the maximal weights and the integral of the moment map.

Recall that the Lie algebras of the gauge groups are $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathscr{G}_K) \simeq \Omega^0(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{k})$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathscr{G}_G) \simeq \Omega^0(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{g})$. On the other hand, the K-equivariance of the Cartan decomposition implies that $\mathscr{G}_G \simeq \mathscr{G}_K \times \mathbf{i}\,\mathrm{Lie}(\mathscr{G}_K)$ (the isomorphism being given by the map from $\mathscr{G}_K \times \mathbf{i}\,\mathrm{Lie}(\mathscr{G}_K)$ to $\mathscr{G}_G$ which sends (g, s) to $g\exp(s)$), and from this fact we deduce that $\pi_1(\mathscr{G}_K) \to \pi_1(\mathscr{G}_G)$ is a surjection (indeed, $\mathbf{i}\,\mathrm{Lie}(\mathscr{G}_K)$ is contractible). As a consequence, the results of section 2.2 apply to actions of $\mathscr{G}_K$ on Kaehler manifolds. Hence, there is an integral of the moment map $\Psi : \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S} \times \mathscr{G}_G \to \mathbb{R}$. This functional will be the main tool in proving theorem 2.1.19.

2.3.1 Unitary connections

2.3.1.1 $\mathscr{A}$ is a Kaehler manifold

Let $\mathscr{A}$ be the space of K-connections on E. This is an affine space modelled on $\Omega^1(E\times_{\mathrm{Ad}}\mathfrak{k})$. We define a complex structure $I_{\mathscr{A}}$ on $\mathscr{A}$ as follows. Given any $A\in\mathscr{A}$, the tangent space $T_A\mathscr{A}$ can be canonically identified with $\Omega^1(E\times_{\mathrm{Ad}}\mathfrak{k})=\Omega^0(T^*X\otimes E\times_{\mathrm{Ad}}\mathfrak{k})$. Then we set $I_{\mathscr{A}}=-I^*\otimes 1$. The complex structure $I_{\mathscr{A}}$ is integrable. We also define on $\mathscr{A}$ a symplectic form $\omega_{\mathscr{A}}$. Let $\Lambda:\Omega^{p,q}(X)\to\Omega^{p-1,q-1}(X)$ be the adjoint of the map given by wedging with ω. Then, if $A\in\mathscr{A}$ and $\alpha,\beta\in T_A\mathscr{A}\simeq\Omega^1(E\times_{\mathrm{Ad}}\mathfrak{k})$, we set

$$\omega_{\mathscr{A}}(\alpha,\beta)=\int_X \Lambda(B(\alpha,\beta)).$$

Here $B:\Omega^1(E\times_{\mathrm{Ad}}\mathfrak{k})\otimes\Omega^1(E\times_{\mathrm{Ad}}\mathfrak{k})\to\Omega^2(X)$ is the combination of the usual wedge product with our biinvariant nondegenerate pairing $\langle,\rangle$ on $\mathfrak{k}$. It turns out that $\omega_{\mathscr{A}}$ is a symplectic form on $\mathscr{A}$, and it is compatible with the complex structure $I_{\mathscr{A}}$. Hence $\mathscr{A}$ is a Kaehler manifold. Furthermore, the action of $\mathscr{G}_G$ on $\mathscr{A}$ defined in subsection 2.1.2 is holomorphic and is the complexification of the action of $\mathscr{G}_K$.

2.3.1.2 The moment map

There exists a moment map for the action of $\mathscr{G}_K$ on $\mathscr{A}$, which takes the following form (see for example [DoKr, Ko]):

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\mu:\mathscr{A} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Lie}(\mathscr{G}_K)^*\\ A & \mapsto & \Lambda F_A.\end{array}$$

Here F_A denotes the curvature of A. It lies in $\Omega^2(E\times_{\mathrm{Ad}}\mathfrak{k})$, so $\Lambda F_A\in\Omega^0(E\times_{\mathrm{Ad}}\mathfrak{k})\subset\Omega^0(E\times_{\mathrm{Ad}}\mathfrak{k})^*$, the last inclusion being given by the integral on X of the pairing $\langle,\rangle$ on $\mathfrak{k}$.

2.3.1.3 Maximal weights

In the following lemma we compute the t-maximal weights $\lambda(A;s)$ for $A\in\mathscr{A}$ and $s\in\Omega^0(E\times_{\mathrm{Ad}}\mathfrak{k})$.

Lemma 2.3.1. *Let $A\in\mathscr{A}$ be a connection, and take $s\in\mathrm{Lie}(\mathscr{G}_K)=\Omega^0(E\times_{\mathrm{Ad}}\mathfrak{k})$. Then*

$$\lambda_t(A;s)=\int_X\langle\Lambda F_A,s\rangle+\int_0^t\|e^{\mathbf{i}ls}\overline{\partial}_A(s)e^{-\mathbf{i}ls}\|^2dl. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. Let $\mathscr{X}_s^{\mathscr{A}}\in\Gamma(T\mathscr{A})$ be the field generated by the action of s on $\mathscr{A}$. In view of lemma 2.1.2 we have

$$\lambda_t(A;s)=\mu_s(A)+\int_0^t\|\mathscr{X}_s^{\mathscr{A}}(e^{lI_{\mathscr{A}}s}A)\|^2dl.$$

We make our computations in $\mathscr{C}$, which, as we have seen, is isomorphic to $\mathscr{A}$ as a Kaehler manifold and on which the action of $\mathscr{G}_G$ is easier to deal with. So let $\overline{\partial}_A = \mathbf{C}^{-1}A$. By definition, $e^{lI_{\mathscr{A}}s}A = \mathbf{C}(e^{lI_{\mathscr{C}}s}\overline{\partial}_A)$. On the other hand, if $\mathscr{X}_s^{\mathscr{C}}$ is the field generated by the action of s on $\mathscr{C}$, we also have by definition $\mathscr{X}_s^{\mathscr{A}}(\mathbf{C}(\overline{\partial}_A)) = D\mathbf{C}(\mathscr{X}_s^{\mathscr{C}}(\overline{\partial}_A))$. The map $\mathbf{C}$ is an isometry, so for any $\overline{\partial}_A \in \mathscr{C}$ we have $\|\mathscr{X}_s^{\mathscr{C}}(\overline{\partial}_A)\|^2 = \|\mathscr{X}_s^{\mathscr{A}}(A)\|^2$. Finally, $\mathscr{X}_s^{\mathscr{C}}(\overline{\partial}_A) = -\overline{\partial}_A(s)$. Gathering all these facts together, we conclude that (we use the L^2 norm on $\Omega^0(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{g})$ induced by the norm $|\cdot|$ on $\mathfrak{g}$):

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_t(A;s) &= \mu_s(A) + \int_0^t \|(e^{lI_{\mathscr{C}}s}\overline{\partial}_A)(s)\|^2 dl = \mu_s(A) + \int_0^t \|(e^{\mathbf{i}ls}\overline{\partial}_A)(s)\|^2 dl \\
&= \mu_s(A) + \int_0^t + \|(e^{\mathbf{i}ls} \circ \overline{\partial}_A \circ e^{-\mathbf{i}ls})(s)\|^2 dl = \mu_s(A) + \int_0^t + \|(e^{\mathbf{i}ls} \circ \overline{\partial}_A)(s)\|^2 dl \\
&= \int_X \langle \Lambda F_A, s \rangle + \int_0^t \|e^{\mathbf{i}ls}\overline{\partial}_A(s)e^{-\mathbf{i}ls}\|^2 dl. \qquad (2.9)
\end{aligned}$$

We have used the fact that $e^{\mathbf{i}ls}$ and s commute – the action of the gauge group on $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathscr{G}_K)$ is by conjugation! □

When $s \in L_1^2(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{k})$ and $A \in \mathscr{A}^{1,1}$ the maximal weight is given by exactly the same formula. But to prove it one needs to use a technical theorem of Uhlenbeck and Yau [UY] which allows to regard s as a genuine smooth section of $E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{k}$ at the complementary of a complex codimension two subvariety of X, and to check that the integrals appearing in lemma 2.3.1 converge.

2.3.1.4 The integral of the moment map

The results of section 2.2 apply in our case, so there is an integral of the moment map $\Psi^{\mathscr{A}}$ which satisfies all the properties given in section 2.2.2. Fix now a connection $A \in \mathscr{A}$. By the results of subsection 2.3.1.3 and using (1) in proposition 2.2.2 we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_A^{\mathscr{A}}(e^{\mathbf{i}s}) &= \int_0^1 \lambda_t(A,s) = \int_X \langle \Lambda F_A, s \rangle + \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^t \|e^{\mathbf{i}ls}\overline{\partial}_A(s)e^{-\mathbf{i}ls}\|^2 dl \right) dt \\
&= \int_X \langle \Lambda F_A, s \rangle + \int_0^1 (1-l)\|e^{\mathbf{i}ls}\overline{\partial}_A(s)e^{-\mathbf{i}ls}\|^2 dl. \qquad (2.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by (2) in 2.2.3, the function $\Psi_A^{\mathscr{A}}$ factors through

$$\Psi_A^{\mathscr{A}} : \mathscr{G}_G/\mathscr{G}_K \to \mathbb{R}.$$

The resulting functional may be seen as a *modified Donaldson functional.* In fact, when $F = \{\mathrm{pt}\}$, it coincides (up to a multiplicative constant) with the Donaldson functional. To see this, one only has to check that the Donaldson functional satisfies property (2) in 2.2.2 (see lemma 3.3.2 in [Br2] for the case $F = \mathbb{C}^n$).

2.3.1.5 Maximal weights for $A \in \mathscr{A}^{1,1}$

Note that since $\mathscr{A}^{1,1} \subset \mathscr{A}$ is a $\mathscr{G}_G$ invariant subvariety (with singularities), the moment map, the maximal weights and the integral of the moment map of the action of $\mathscr{G}_K$ on $\mathscr{A}^{1,1}$ are the restrictions of their counterparts in $\mathscr{A}$.

Recall that $V = E \times_{\rho_a} W_a \to X$ is the vector bundle associated to the auxiliar representation ρ_a. For any $s \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathscr{G}_K)$ we can view $\rho_a(s)$ as a section of $E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}(\rho_a)} \mathrm{End}(W_a)$. Take a connection $A \in \mathscr{A}^{1,1}$, and consider on V the holomorphic structure induced by $\overline{\partial}_A$. Using lemma 2.3.1 one can prove the following.

Lemma 2.3.2. *Let $s \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathscr{G}_K)$. If $\lambda(A; s) < \infty$, then the eigenvalues of $\rho_a(s)$ are constant. Let $\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_r$ be the different eigenvalues of $\mathbf{i}\rho_a(s)$, and let $V(\lambda_j) \subset V$ be the eigenbundle of eigenvalue λ_j. Put $V^{\lambda_k} = \bigoplus_{j \leq k} V(\lambda_j)$. Then, for any k, V^{λ_k} is a holomorphic subbundle of V. Furthermore*

$$\lambda(A; s) = \lambda_r \deg(V) + \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1}) \deg(V^{\lambda_k}).$$

Proof. Suppose that $\lambda(A; s) < \infty$. Using the previous lemma with $U = \mathbf{i}\rho_a(s)$ and $V = \overline{\partial}_A(\rho_a(s))$, we get for any $k \geq 1$ and $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_X |\operatorname{Tr}(\rho_a(s)^k \overline{\partial}_A(\rho_a(s)))| &\leq \int_X \|s\|^k \|e^{\mathbf{i}ts} \overline{\partial}_A(s) e^{-\mathbf{i}ts}\| \\
&\leq \left(\int_X \|s\|^{2k} \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_X \|e^{\mathbf{i}ts} \overline{\partial}_A(s) e^{-\mathbf{i}ts}\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \|s\|^k_{L^{2k}} \|e^{\mathbf{i}ts} \overline{\partial}_A(s) e^{-\mathbf{i}ts}\|.
\end{aligned}$$

This, together with formula (2.9) implies that $\int_X |\operatorname{Tr}(\rho_a(s)^k \overline{\partial}_A(\rho_a(s)))| = 0$, so

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\rho_a(s)^k \overline{\partial}_A(\rho_a(s))) = 0.$$

On the other hand, for any $p + q = k$, $\operatorname{Tr}(U^k V) = Tr(U^p V U^q)$, so

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\rho_a(s)^p \overline{\partial}_A(\rho_a(s)) \rho_a(s)^q) = 0$$

as well. Finally,

$$\overline{\partial} \operatorname{Tr}(\rho_a(s)^{k+1}) = \operatorname{Tr}(\overline{\partial}_A(\rho_a(s)^{k+1})) = \operatorname{Tr}(\sum_{p+q=k} \rho_a(s)^p \overline{\partial}_A(\rho_a(s)) \rho_a(s)^q) = 0.$$

Since X is compact this implies that $\operatorname{Tr}(\rho_a(s)^{k+1})$ is constant for any k. Making $k = 1, \ldots, n$ we see that the eigenvalues of s must be constant.

We now prove the second claim. Using the splitting $V = V(\lambda_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus V(\lambda_r)$ we can write

$$\overline{\partial}_A = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\partial}_{A_1} & A_{12} & \ldots & A_{1r} \\ A_{21} & \overline{\partial}_{A_2} & \ldots & A_{2r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{r1} & A_{r2} & \ldots & \overline{\partial} A_r \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A_{ij} \in \Omega^{0,1}(V(\lambda_i) \otimes V(\lambda_j)^*)$. Let $\pi_k : V \simeq V^{\lambda_k} \oplus V(\lambda_{k+1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus V(\lambda_r) \to V^{\lambda_k}$ denote the projection. Then we can write

$$u = -\mathbf{i}\rho_a(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_r \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_r \operatorname{Id} + \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1})\pi_k.$$

We compute

$$\overline{\partial}_A(u) = \overline{\partial}_A \circ u - u \circ \overline{\partial}_A = ((\lambda_j - \lambda_i)A_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le r},$$

where i denotes the row and j the column. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{\mathbf{i}ls}\overline{\partial}_A(s)e^{-\mathbf{i}ls}\|^2 &= \|e^{\mathbf{i}ls}\overline{\partial}_A(u)e^{-\mathbf{i}ls}\|^2 \\ &= \left\|((\lambda_j - \lambda_i)e^{l(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)}A_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le r}\right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{1 \le i \ne j \le r} (\lambda_j - \lambda_i)^2 e^{2l(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)} \|A_{ij}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

From the fact that

$$\int_0^\infty \|e^{\mathbf{i}ls}\overline{\partial}_A(s)e^{-\mathbf{i}ls}\|^2 dl$$

is finite, we deduce that $A_{ij} = 0$ for $i > j$. So V^{λ_k} is holomorphic for any k. We also deduce that $e^{-\mathbf{i}ls}\overline{\partial}_A(s)e^{\mathbf{i}ls}$ converges in the C^∞ norm as $s \to \infty$ to

$$\overline{\partial}_A^\infty = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\partial}_{A_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{\partial}_{A_2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \overline{\partial}_{A_r} \end{pmatrix},$$

and this implies that the maximal weight $\lambda(A; s)$ is equal to

$$\int_X \langle \Lambda F_{A^\infty}, s \rangle = \int_X \operatorname{Tr}(\rho_a(\Lambda F_{A^\infty})\rho_a(s)^*) = \int_X \operatorname{Tr}(\rho_a(\mathbf{i}\Lambda F_{A^\infty})u),$$

where A^∞ is $\mathbf{C}(\overline{\partial}_A^\infty)$. Let also $A_k = \mathbf{C}(\overline{\partial}_{A_k})$. Then we use the formula $\int_X \mathbf{i} \operatorname{Tr} \Lambda F_{A_k} = \deg(V(\lambda_k))$ to deduce that

$$\lambda(A; s) = \lambda_r \deg(V) + \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1}) \deg(V^{\lambda_k}).$$

This finishes the proof. □

2.3.1.6 If we consider more generally $s \in L_1^2(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{k})$, then $\lambda(A; s) < \infty$ leads to a filtration of the locally free sheaf associated to V by reflexive (coherent) subsheaves, and not only holomorphic subbundles of V as in the smooth case. To prove this one uses a theorem of Uhlenbeck and Yau (see [UY] and section 3.11 in [Br2]).

2.3.2 Sections of the associated bundle

2.3.2.1 $\mathscr{S}$ is a Kaehler manifold

Here we will define a symplectic form $\omega_{\mathscr{S}}$ and a compatible complex structure $I_{\mathscr{S}}$ on $T\mathscr{S}$, and we will prove that both are integrable. To do that, consider a section $\sigma \in \mathscr{S}$. Then $T_\sigma \mathscr{S} = \Gamma(\sigma^* T\mathcal{F}_v)$, where $T\mathcal{F}_v \subset T\mathcal{F}$ is the subbundle of vertical tangent vectors of $\mathcal{F}$, that is, $T\mathcal{F}_v = \mathrm{Ker}(D\pi_F)$. To define the complex structure, let $\alpha \in \Gamma(\sigma^* T\mathcal{F}_v)$. Then $I_{\mathscr{S}}(\alpha) = I_F \alpha$. This makes sense, since the K invariance of I_F implies that $T\mathcal{F}_v$ inherits the complex structure of F. Now let $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(\sigma^* T\mathcal{F}_v)$. We define the symplectic form $\omega_{\mathscr{S}}$ as

$$\omega_{\mathscr{S}}(\alpha, \beta) = \int_X \omega_F(\alpha, \beta).$$

Two things are clear: $\omega_{\mathscr{S}}$ is nondegenerate (this is a consequence of the nondegeneracy of ω_F) and $\omega_{\mathscr{S}}$ and $I_{\mathscr{S}}$ are compatible, that is, $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \omega_{\mathscr{S}}(\alpha, I_{\mathscr{S}}\beta)$ is a Riemannian pairing. We have to prove that the two structures are integrable.

Consider the complex structure $I_{\mathscr{S}}$. First of all, observe that $\mathcal{F}$ is a complex manifold. It is well known that this implies that $\mathrm{Map}(X, \mathcal{F})$ is also a complex manifold, with the complex structure induced by that of $\mathcal{F}$. Since

$$\mathscr{S} = \{\phi \in \mathrm{Map}(X, \mathcal{F}) | \pi_F \circ \phi = \mathrm{Id}\}$$

and the equation $\pi_F \circ \phi = \mathrm{Id}$ is complex, the set $\mathscr{S}$ is complex, considering the restriction of the complex structure of $\mathrm{Map}(X, \mathcal{F})$. But this restriction is equal to $I_{\mathscr{S}}$.

Let us show now that the 2-form $\omega_{\mathscr{S}}$ is closed. Fix a section $\sigma \in \mathscr{S}$. Let $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be a finite covering of X trivialising E, with transition functions $\{\phi_{\alpha\beta} : U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \to K\}$. Take a partition of unity ψ_α subordinated to the covering. The section σ translates into a family of sections $\sigma_\alpha : U_\alpha \to F$ satisfying the compatibility condition $\sigma_\beta = \phi_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} \sigma_\alpha$. Using Darboux theorem, and possibly refining the covering $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$, we can assume that for any α there exists an open subset $V_\alpha \subset F$ symplectomorphic to a neighbourhood of zero of $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$ with the standard symplectic structure $\omega_0 = \sum_{i=1}^m dx_i \wedge dx_{i+m}$ and such that $\sigma_\alpha(\overline{U_\alpha}) \subset V_\alpha$. In view of this it is a trivial fact that on $\mathrm{Map}(\overline{U_\alpha}, V_\alpha)$ the form

$$\omega_\alpha = \int_{\overline{U_\alpha}} \psi_\alpha \omega_0$$

is closed (here we consider the closure $\overline{U_\alpha}$ of U_α to avoid problems with convergence). So on

$$\prod_{\alpha \in A} \mathrm{Map}(\overline{U_\alpha}, V_\alpha)$$

the form $\omega_A = \sum \pi_\alpha^* \omega_\alpha$ is closed (π_α denotes the projection to the factor $\mathrm{Map}(\overline{U_\alpha}, V_\alpha)$). But we can see a neigbourhood $\mathscr{S}_\sigma$ of $\sigma \in \mathscr{S}$ as a submanifold of it, namely,

$$\mathscr{S}_\sigma = \{(\sigma_\alpha) \in \prod \mathrm{Map}(\overline{U_\alpha}, V_\alpha) | \sigma_\beta = \phi_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} \sigma_\alpha \text{ for any } \alpha, \beta \in A\}.$$

The form $\omega_{\mathscr{S}}$ restricts on $\mathscr{S}_\sigma$ precisely to ω_A, which is closed as we have seen. This is true in a neighbourhood of σ for any $\sigma \in \mathscr{S}$, so definitively $\omega_{\mathscr{S}}$ is closed.

2.3.2.2 The actions of $\mathscr{G}_K$ and $\mathscr{G}_G$ and the moment map

Both groups $\mathscr{G}_K$ and $\mathscr{G}_G$ act on the space of sections $\mathscr{S} = \Gamma(\mathcal{F})$, and the action of $\mathscr{G}_G$ is the complexification of the action of $\mathscr{G}_K$. On the other hand, $\mathscr{G}_K$ acts by isometries and respecting the symplectic form, and there exists a moment map $\mu_{\mathscr{S}}$, which is equal fibrewise to μ (the moment map of the action of K on F). As such, it is a section of $\Omega^0(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{k})^*$.

2.3.2.3 Maximal weights

The maximal weight of $s \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathscr{G}_K) = \Omega^0(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{k})$ acting on a section $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}$ is given by the integral of the maximal weight in each fibre:

$$\int_{x \in X} \lambda(\Phi(x); s(x)).$$

This makes sense due to the K equivariance of λ (see (1) in lemma 2.1.4).

2.3.2.4 The integral of the moment map

Once more, the results in section 2.2 imply that there exists an integral $\Psi^{\mathscr{S}}$ of the moment map of the action of $\mathscr{G}_K$ on $\mathscr{S}$. If $\Psi : F \times G \to \mathbb{R}$ is the integral of the moment map of the action of K on F, then, for any section $\sigma \in \mathscr{S}$ and gauge transformation $g \in \mathscr{G}_G$

$$\Psi^{\mathscr{S}}(\sigma, g) = \int_{x \in X} \Psi(\sigma(x), g(x)).$$

This makes sense due to the K-equivariance of Ψ: see (3) in 2.2.3.

2.3.3 Symplectic point of view

We saw that both $\mathscr{A}^{1,1}$ and and $\mathscr{S}$ are Kaehler manifolds, with symplectic forms $\omega_{\mathscr{A}}$ and $\omega_{\mathscr{S}}$ and with actions of $\mathscr{G}_K$ extending to actions of the complexification $\mathscr{G}_G$. Hence $\mathscr{A}^{1,1} \times \mathscr{S}$ is also a Kaehler manifold, with symplectic form $\omega_{\mathscr{A}} + \omega_{\mathscr{S}}$ (we omit the pullbacks). The moment map $\mu_{\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}}$ of the action of $\mathscr{G}_K$ on $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}$ will simply be the moment map of the action on $\mathscr{A}$ plus that of the action on $\mathscr{S}$. That is,

$$\mu_{\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}}(A, \Phi) = \Lambda F_A + \mu(\Phi).$$

So equation (2.3) can be written as $\mu_{\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}} = c$, where c denotes the central element in $(\mathrm{Lie}(\mathscr{G}_K))^* = \Omega^0(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{k})^*$ which is fibrewise equal to a central element $c \in \mathfrak{k}^*$. Furthermore, we have the following result.

Lemma 2.3.3. $T^c_{\Phi}(\sigma, \chi) = \lambda^{\Lambda F_A + \mu(\Phi) - c}((A, \Phi); -\mathbf{i} g_{\sigma,\chi})$.

Proof. Combine subsections 2.3.1.5 and 2.3.2.3. □

2.4 Analytic stability and vanishing of the moment map in finite dimension

In this section we will pause to prove theorem 2.1.19 in the case $X = \{\mathrm{pt}\}$. This is done for two reasons. First of all, this particular case has some interest *per se* and its proof is considerably easier that that of the general case (specially because there is no connection and the analysis is elementary). The second reason is that theorem 2.1.19 can be viewed as an infinite dimensional generalisation of the result in this section.

The results in this section (at least for the case in which F is projective) have been known for many years: see [KeNe, Ki]. That they are related with Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence was also known since the first cases of the correspondence were studied. Our intention here is to make more concrete this relation and to stress on the similarities between the *finite dimensional situation* $X = \{\mathrm{pt}\}$ and the general one considered in theorem 2.1.19 (which corresponds to the situation in which $F = \mathscr{A}^{1,1} \times \mathscr{S}$ with the actions of $\mathscr{G}_K$ and $\mathscr{G}_G$). For example, the different versions of Donaldson functional used in the literature are in fact particular instances of a construction which works for a wide class of Kaehler actions of Lie groups on Kaehler manifolds (namely, what we have called the integral of the moment map). Moreover, the c-stability condition is also a particular case of a general notion of stability for group actions on Kaehler manifolds (the so-called analytic stability). And the very correspondence coincides almost word by word with theorem 2.4.4 given in this section. The proof which we give here works only for Kaehler actions of compact groups, and so it can not be used in the general situation (in which the group is $\mathscr{G}_K$). Nevertheless, the scheme of the proof will be the same in the general situation.

Let us write $\Psi : F \times G \to \mathbb{R}$ for the integral of the moment map $\mu : F \to \mathfrak{k}^*$.

Definition 2.4.1. *Let $x \in F$. We will say that x is* **analytically stable** *if for any $s \in \mathfrak{k}$ the maximal weight of s acting on x is strictly positive:*

$$\lambda(x; s) > 0.$$

Lemma 2.4.2. *A point $x \in F$ is analytically stable if and only if Ψ_x is linearly proper.*

Proof. Suppose first that x is analytically stable. We have to prove that there exist two positive constants $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, for any $s \in \mathfrak{k}$, $\|s\| \leq C_1 \Psi_x(e^{\mathbf{i}s}) + C_2$. Assume that there are not such constants. Then, we can find sequences $\{s_j\} \subset \mathfrak{k}$ and $\{C_j\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|s_j\| \to \infty$, $C_j \to \infty$ and, for any j, $\|s_j\| \geq C_j \Psi_x(e^{\mathbf{i}s_j})$. Let $u_j = s_j/\|s_j\|$. After passing to a subsequence, we can assume that $u_j \to s$. Take now any $t > 0$. By our hypothesis, and making use of (4) in proposition 2.2.2,

$$\frac{1}{C_j} \geq \frac{\Psi_x(e^{\mathbf{i}s_j})}{\|s_j\|} \geq \frac{(\|s_j\| - t)}{\|s_j\|} \lambda_t(x; u_j) + \frac{C_{u_j}(x; t)}{\|s_j\|}.$$

Now, making $j \to \infty$, we obtain $0 \geq \lambda_t(x; s)$, since, by the compactness of $B_{\mathfrak{k}}(1) = \{s \in \mathfrak{k} | \, \|s\| = 1\}$, $C_{u_j}(x; t)$ is uniformly bounded. This is true for any $t > 0$, so passing to the limit $t \to \infty$ we get

$$0 \geq \lambda(x; s),$$

which contradicts analytic stability.

Now suppose that there exist positive C_1 and C_2 such that for any $s \in \mathfrak{k}$

$$\|s\| \leq C_1 \Psi_x(e^{\mathbf{i}s}) + C_2. \tag{2.11}$$

We have to prove that x is analytically stable. So take $s \in \mathfrak{k}$ and assume that $\lambda(x; s) \leq 0$. In this case, for any $t \geq 0$, $\Psi_x(e^{\mathbf{i}ts}) = \int_0^t \lambda_l(x; u) dl \leq 0$, which, for t big enough, contradicts (2.11). This proves that x is analytically stable. □

Corollary 2.4.3. *Let $x \in F$. Then x is analytically stable if and only if hx is analytically stable for any $h \in G$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of the preceeding lemma together with lemma 2.2.6. □

Theorem 2.4.4. *Let $x \in F$ be any point. There is at most one K orbit inside the orbit $Gx \subset F$ on which the moment map vanishes. Furthermore, x is analytically stable if and only if: (1) the stabiliser G_x of x in G is finite and (2) there exists a K orbit inside Gx on which the moment map vanishes.*

Proof. We first prove uniqueness. Assume that there are two different K orbits inside a G orbit on which the moment map vanishes: say, Kx and Kgx, where $g \in G$. By the polar decomposition we can assume that $g = e^{\mathbf{i}s}$, where $s \in \mathfrak{k}$. Consider the function $\Psi_x : G \to \mathbb{R}$. By proposition 2.2.4, since $\mu(x) = 0$, both $1, g \in G$ are critical points of Ψ_x. Consider now the path $\gamma(t) = e^{\mathbf{i}ts}$ connecting 1 and g. (3) of the proposition tells us that the restriction ψ of Ψ_x to this path has second derivative ≥ 0. Since 0 and 1 are critical points of ψ, the second derivative must vanish at any point between 0 and 1. In particular, $\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial t^2}(x, e^{\mathbf{i}ts})|_{t=0} = 0$; but this implies (again, (3) of the proposition), that the vector field $\mathscr{X}_s(x) = 0$, which gives $\mathscr{X}_{\mathbf{i}s}(x) = I\mathscr{X}_s(x) = 0$. So $e^g x = e^{\mathbf{i}s} x = x$, and the two orbits Kgx and Kx coincide.

Suppose now that the point x is analytically stable. Let us see that there is a K orbit inside Gx on which μ vanishes. By lemma 2.4.2, the function Ψ_x is linearly proper. Using (2) in 2.2.3, we conclude that there must exist a critical point in the G orbit of x. Indeed, if $\{s_j\} \subset \mathfrak{k}$ are such that $e^{\mathbf{i}s_j}$ is a minimising sequence for Ψ_x, then by the preceeding lemma the set $\{s_j\}$ is bounded; so it has a subsequence converging to a certain $s \in \mathfrak{k}$, and $e^{\mathbf{i}s}$ is a minimum of Ψ_x (of course, here we use that $\mathfrak{k}$ has finite dimension). At this point (even more, at the K orbit through this point) the moment map must vanish. Let now $y = e^{\mathbf{i}s}x$. By lemma 2.4.3 y is analitically stable. If the stabiliser K_y of y in K were not finite, then, since K is compact, its closure would be a Lie subgroup of K of dimension greater than zero. In particular, there would exist an $s \in \mathfrak{k}$ such that $\mathscr{X}_s(y) = 0$. But then $e^{ts} y = y$ for any t, so that the gradient flow ϕ_s^t leaves y fixed. This means that $\lambda(y; s) = -\lambda(y; -s)$, so that either $\lambda(y; s)$ or $\lambda(y; -s)$ (or both) is ≤ 0. This contradicts analytic stability. So K_y is finite.

Finally, since $\mu(y)$ is invariant under the coadjoint action of K in $\mathfrak{k}^*$, it turns out that G_y is the complexification of K_y. Let us see why (we copy the proof of proposition 1.6 in [Sj]). One inclusion is easy: G_y contains the complexification of K_y. For the other inclusion, let $ge^{\mathbf{i}s}$ be an arbitrary element of G_x, where $g \in K$ and $s \in \mathfrak{k}$. We want to show that $g \in K_x$ and $s \in \mathfrak{k}_x$ (where $\mathfrak{k}_x$ is the infinitesimal stabliser of x). Using the fact that μ is K-equivariant we have

$$\mu(e^{\mathbf{i}s}x) = g^{-1}\mu(ge^{\mathbf{i}s}x) = g^{-1}\mu(x) = \mu(x).$$

Now, lemma 2.1.2 implies that $s \in \mathfrak{k}_x$, from which we deduce that $g \in K_x$. This finishes the proof. So G_y is finite and in consequence G_x is also finite.

To prove the converse, let $x \in F$. Assume that G_x is finite and that there exists $g \in G$ such that $\mu(gx) = 0$. Then G_{gx} is also finite and consequently so is K_{gx}. This implies that, for any $s \in \mathfrak{k}$, $\mathscr{X}_{\mathbf{i}s}(gx) \neq 0$, so (lemma 2.1.2), $\lambda(gx; s) > \mu_s(gx) = 0$. This means that gx is analytically stable, hence so is x. □

It is an exercise to verify that the property on analitically stable points of F of being simple (see subsection 2.1.7) is equivalent to that of having finite stabiliser in G.

Using the results in this section one can also study the equation $\mu = c$, where $c \in \mathfrak{k}^*$ is any central element. Indeed, $\mu - c$ is a moment map, and so one only has to consider the maximal weights $\lambda^{\mu-c}$ and the integral $\Psi^{\mu-c}$.

2.4.1 Kempf-Ness theory

Suppose now that F is a projective variety, with polarisation $\mathscr{O}_F(1) \to F$ and such that the action of G on F lifts to an action on $\mathscr{O}_F(1)$. This implies that the action of G on F extends to an action on the projective space $\mathbb{P}(W)$ and that this actions linearises to an action of G on W. The following definition is due to Mumford:

Definition 2.4.5. *Let $y \in F$ be any point. We will say that y is* **stable** *if its stabiliser in G is finite and there exists an integer $n \geq 1$ and a G invariant section s of $\mathscr{O}_F(n)$ such that $F_s = \{y' \in F | s(y') \neq 0\} \subset F$ is affine, contains y and all the orbits of G in F_s are closed.*

The relevance of this definition comes from this fact. While in general it is not possible to give an algebraic structure to the set of orbits F/G, if we restrict ourselves to the set F^s of stable points, then we can give F^s/G a very natural algebraic structure. (This is the content of Geometric Invariant Theory; see [MFK].)

The main point of Kempf-Ness theory is that the condition of stability defined by Mumford coincides with the condition of analytic stability. The link between both definitions is given by the Hilbert-Mumford numerical criterion, which allows to decide whether $y \in F$ is stable.

Definition 2.4.6. *A* **one parameter subgroups of** *G (1-PS for short) is a morphism $\alpha : \mathbb{C}^* \to G$.*

Lemma 2.4.7 (Hilbert-Mumford). *The point $y \in F$ is stable if and only if for any 1-PS α and any lift $\hat{y} \in W$, there exists a weight of α in $\hat{y}$ which is > 0, that is: if $\hat{y} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} y_n$, where $\alpha(t) y_n = t^n y_n$, there is an integer $n > 0$ such that $y_n \neq 0$.*

In ([Ki], page 107) it is proved that in Hilbert-Mumford's criterion one only needs to consider 1-PS which are **compatible with** K. These are the 1-PS which are obtained after complexifying any group morphism $\alpha_K : S^1 \to K$. Such an α_K is completely determined by its differential at the identity, say $s_\alpha \in \mathfrak{k}$. We will call the elements of the form $s_\alpha \in \mathfrak{k}$ **integral weights**, and we will write $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the set of integral weights. Observe that if $s \in \mathfrak{k}$ is an integral weight, then the maximal weight of the one parameter subgroup $\exp(s)$ acting on y is equal to $\lambda(y; -s)$ (see lemma 2.7.1).

Lemma 2.4.8. *Following the notations above, let $x \in \mathbb{P}(W)$, and suppose that, for any integral weight $s \in \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $\lambda(x; s) > 0$. Then, the same inequality is satisfied by any $s \in \mathfrak{k}$.*

Proof. Suppose that the hypothesis of the lemma hold, and take any $s \in \mathfrak{k}$. The closure

$$T = \overline{\{\exp(ts) | t \in \mathbb{R}\}} \subset K$$

is a torus. Let $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{k}$ be its Lie algebra, and let $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{t} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ be the complexification of $\mathfrak{t}$. Then there is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\Lambda \subset \mathfrak{t}$ such that $T = \mathfrak{t}/\Lambda$. Since T is compact, $\mathbb{R}\Lambda = \mathfrak{t}$. Let $s' \in \mathbb{Q}\Lambda$. Take $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $ns' \in \Lambda$. All the elements in Λ are integer weights, so $\lambda(x; s') = \frac{1}{n} \lambda(x; ns') > 0$.

Decompose $W = \bigoplus W_\chi$, where $\chi \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ are characters of T, in such a way that any $e \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$ acts on W_χ multiplying by $\chi(e)$. Suppose that $\lambda(x; s) \leq 0$. This means that if $\chi(s) > 0$, then the component of x in W_χ vanishes: $x_\chi = 0$. Now, taking into account that the weights χ that appear in the decomposition of W take rational values when evaluated on $\mathbf{i}(\mathbb{Q}\Lambda)$, we deduce that we can approximate s by an element $s' \in \mathbb{Q}\Lambda$ such that $\lambda(x; s') \leq 0$. But this is not possible in view of what we saw in the preceeding paragraph. Therefore, for any $s \in \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k}$ one has $\lambda(x; s) > 0$. $\square$

Together with theorem 2.4.4 this proves the following

Theorem 2.4.9. *A point $x \in F$ is stable in the sense of Mumford if and only its stabiliser is finite and there exists $g \in G$ such that $\mu(gx) = 0$.*

Of course, we have only proved this when the symplectic structure of F is that induced by the Fubini-Study symplectic form through the embedding given by $\mathscr{O}_F(1)$. In [Sj] a much stronger result is proved, which is true even if the symplectic form in F is different from the one induced by Fubini-Study.

2.4.2 The general case

In view of lemma 2.3.3, if the results in this section were valid for infinite dimensional Lie groups, then theorem 2.1.19 would follow from it. To the best of the author's knowledge, there is no general result as theorem 2.4.4 valid in infinite dimensions.

However, although the proof of theorem 2.4.4 does not apply directly to the case of $\mathscr{G}_K$ acting on $\mathscr{A}^{1,1} \times \mathscr{S}$ (since there we make strong use of the fact that the group acting symplectically is compact), one can use some of the ideas (with some additional analytic results) to prove theorem 2.1.19. This will be done in the next section. The main strategy will be, following the usual approach in proving the Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence, to minimize the integral of the moment map.

As a final comment, note that so far we have defined the gauge group as the space of smooth sections of a certain bundle. Eventually, it will be necessary to take a metric on $\mathscr{G}_K$ (and $\mathscr{G}_G$) and complete both spaces with respect to the metric, to assure the convergence of certain sequences. We will use Sobolev L^p_2 and L^2_1 norms.

2.5 Proof of the correspondence

2.5.1 The length of elements of the gauge group

There are several ways to extend the notion of length to elements of the gauge group. We will mainly use these two definitions: if $g \in \mathscr{G}_G$, then $|g|_{\log,C^0} = \||g|_{\log}\|_{C^0}$ and similarly $|g|_{\log,L^1} = \||g|_{\log}\|_{L^1}$ (to give this a sense we use the K invariance of the length function, which is a consequence of the fact that the Cartan decomposition $G \simeq K \times \exp(\mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k})$ is K-equivariant). Define a norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$ in $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathscr{G}_G) = \Omega^0(K \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{g})$ as the L^p norm of $|\cdot|$: if $s \in \Omega^0(K \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{g})$ then

$$\|s\|_{L^p} = \left(\int_{x\in X} |s(x)|^p\right)^{1/p}.$$

We will usually write $\|\cdot\|$ instead of $\|\cdot\|_{L^2}$.

2.5.2 Stability implies existence of solution

Here we will follow the scheme in section 2.4. Fix a pair $(A, \Phi) \in \mathscr{A}^{1,1} \times \mathscr{S}$. We will make use of the integral of the moment map $\mu^c(A, \Phi) = \Lambda F_A + \mu(\Phi) - c$, $\Psi^c = (\Psi^{\mathscr{A}\times\mathscr{S}})^{\mu^c}_{(A,\Phi)} = (\Psi^{\mathscr{A}})^{\mu^c}_A + (\Psi^{\mathscr{S}})^{\mu^c}_\Phi$, and will see that if the pair (A, Φ) is simple and c-stable, then there exists a $\mathscr{G}_K$ orbit inside the $\mathscr{G}_G$ orbit of (A, Φ) on which Ψ^c attains its minimum. The main step will be to prove that if the condition of c-stability is satisfied, then the map Ψ^c satisfies an inequality like that in lemma 2.4.2. This method of proof is exactly the same that appears in [Si, Br2, BrGP1, DaUW] (and in many other places where similar results are proved), though here we have tried to remark the similarities with the finite dimensional case, so our notation changes a little bit. However, in some steps of the proof we will only give a sketch, refering to [Br2] for details.

Recall that on $\mathfrak{g}$ we have a Hermitian pairing $\langle,\rangle : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{C}$ and a norm $|\cdot|$, both obtained by means of the auxiliar representation ρ_a. We will use the following L^p norm on $\Omega^0(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{g})$:

$$\|s\|_{L^p} = \left(\int_X |s(x)|^p\right)^{1/p},$$

and Sobolev norm

$$\|s\|_{L_2^p} = \|s\|_{L^p} + \|d_A s\|_{L^p} + \|\nabla d_A s\|_{L^p},$$

where $\nabla : \Omega^0(T^*X \otimes E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{g}) \to \Omega^1(T^*X \otimes E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{g})$ is $\nabla_{LC} \otimes d_A$, ∇_{LC} being the Levi-Civita connection. As usual, $L_2^p(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{g})$ will denote the completion of $\Omega^0(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{g})$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L_2^p}$.

2.5.2.1 Suppose from now on that (A, Φ) is simple and c-stable. Our aim is to minimise Ψ^c in $\mathscr{G}_G/\mathscr{G}_K$. Through the exponential map we can identify $\mathscr{G}_G/\mathscr{G}_K$ with $\Omega^0(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k})$. Fix from now on $p > 2n$ and define

$$\mathcal{M}et_2^p = L_2^p(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k}).$$

The first thing to do is to restrict ourselves to the subset of $\mathcal{M}et_2^p$ defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{M}et_{2,B}^p = \{s \in \mathcal{M}et_2^p | \|\mu^c(e^s(A,\Phi))\|_{L^p}^p \leq B\}.$$

Here B is any positive real constant. We prove that if a metric minimizes the functional in $\mathcal{M}et_{2,B}^p$, then it also minimizes it in $\mathcal{M}et_2^p$. For that it is enough to see that any minimum in $\mathcal{M}et_{2,B}^p$ lies away from the boundary of $\mathcal{M}et_{2,B}^p$; to verify this claim one needs the hypothesis that the pair (A, Φ) is simple. Let us briefly explain how this goes (see also [Br2], Lemma 3.4.2).

Suppose that s minimizes the functional inside $\mathcal{M}et_{2,B}^p$. Let $B = e^s(A)$, $\Theta = e^s(\Phi)$. Define the differential operator $L : L_2^p(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k}) \to L^p(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k})$ as

$$L(u) = \mathbf{i} \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mu^c(e^{tu}(B,\Theta))\right|_{t=0} = \mathbf{i}\langle d\mu^c, u\rangle_{T(\mathscr{A}\times\mathscr{S})}(B,\Theta).$$

Now, if we can see that there exists an u such that

$$L(u) = -\mathbf{i}\mu^c(B,\Theta), \tag{2.12}$$

then we can deduce that $\mu^c(B, \Theta) = 0$ and, hence, that s minimizes the functional in the whole space of metrics $\mathcal{M}et_2^p$ (see [Br2], Lemma 3.4.2 for a proof of this fact). The operator L is Fredholm and has index zero. Indeed, modulo a compact operator it is $\mathbf{i}\Lambda\bar{\partial}_B\partial_B$. Using the Kaehler identities this is equal to $\partial_B^*\partial_B$, which is clearly an elliptic self adjoint operator. This implies that if $\mathrm{Ker}(L) = 0$ then L is surjective and so, in particular, equation (2.12) has a solution. Assume that $L(u) = 0$, where $u \in \mathcal{M}et_2^p$. Then, by lemma 2.1.2,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \langle -\mathbf{i}L(u), -\mathbf{i}u\rangle &= \langle\langle d\mu^c, u\rangle_{T(\mathscr{A}\times\mathscr{S})}, -\mathbf{i}u\rangle_{\mathrm{Lie}(\mathscr{G}_K)}(B,\Theta) \\ &= \|\mathscr{X}_{-\mathbf{i}u}^{\mathscr{A}^{1,1}\times\mathscr{S}}(B,\Theta)\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

And this implies that $-\mathbf{i}u$ leaves (B, Θ) invariant. Hence if $u \neq 0$ then, since u is semisimple, (B, Θ) is not simple, so neither is (A, Φ); and this is a contradiction.

2.5.2.2 The next step is to prove that the functional Ψ^c is linearly proper with respect to the C^0 norm in $\mathscr{G}_G$.

Lemma 2.5.1. *There exist positive constants* C_1, C_2 *such that for any* $s \in \mathcal{M}et^p_{2,B}$ *one has* $\sup |s| \leq C_1 \Psi^c(e^s) + C_2$.

Remark 2.5.2. *It makes sense to speak about* $\sup |s|$ *because, since we took* $p > 2n$, *the Sobolev embedding theorem implies that* $L^p_2 \hookrightarrow C^0$ *continuously (in fact, this is a compact embedding).*

Just as in lemma 2.4.2, it is here that one uses the stability of the pair (A, Φ). First of all one sees that such a bound is equivalent to an L^1 bound: $\|s\|_{L^1} \leq C_1 \Psi^c(e^s) + C_2$ (the constants in both inequalities need not be the same!). One uses that pointwise

$$|s|\Delta|s| \leq \langle \Lambda F_{e^s(A)} - \Lambda F_A, -\mathbf{i}s \rangle. \tag{2.14}$$

This is proved in full detail in ([Br2], Prop. 3.7.1) for $G = GL(n;\mathbb{C})$ and the metric induced by the fundamental representation. In our case, we use the auxiliar representation ρ_a to apply this result to our G.

Lemma 2.5.3. *For any point* $x \in X$

$$0 \leq \langle \mu(e^s \Phi(x)) - \mu(\Phi(x)), -\mathbf{i}s(x) \rangle_{\mathfrak{k}}. \tag{2.15}$$

Proof. The gradient flow of $\mu_{-\mathbf{i}s}$ is precisely e^s (see lemma 2.1.2). ☐

Summing the inequalities (2.14) and (2.15), using Cauchy-Schwartz, and dividing by $|s|$ we obtain the following pointwise bound:

$$\Delta|s| \leq |\mu^c(e^s(A, \Phi)) - \mu^c(A, \Phi)|.$$

And now, making use of a result of Donaldson (see [Br2], Lemma 3.7.2), this bound allows to relate the C^0 and L^1 norms of s provided $s \in \mathcal{M}et^p_{2,B}$. More precisely, we conclude that there exists a constant C_B such that for any $s \in \mathcal{M}et^p_{2,B}$ one has $\|s\|_{C^0} \leq C_B \|s\|_{L^1}$.

2.5.2.3 In order to prove the existence of constants C_1 and C_2 such that $\|s\|_{L^1} \leq C_1 \Psi^c(e^s) + C_2$, we suppose the contrary and try to deduce that in this case the pair (A, Φ) cannot be c-stable. If there exist not such constants, then we can find a sequence of real numbers $C_j \to \infty$ and elements $s_j \in \mathcal{M}et^p_{2,B}$ with $\|s_j\|_{L^1} \to \infty$ such that $\|s_j\|_{L^1} \geq C_j \Psi^c(e^s)$ (see [Br2], Lemma 3.8.1). Set $l_j = \|s_j\|_{L^1}$, $u_j = l_j^{-1} s_j$ so that $\|u_j\|_{L^1} = 1$ and $\sup |u_j| \leq C$.

Lemma 2.5.4. *After passing to a subsequence, there exists* $u_\infty \in L^2_1(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k})$ *such that* $u_j \to u_\infty$ *weakly in* $L^2_1(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k})$ *and such that*

$$\lambda((A, \Phi); -\mathbf{i}u_\infty) \leq 0.$$

Proof. Just as in lemma 2.4.2, take $t > 0$. Then (4) in proposition 2.2.2 gives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{C_j} \geq \frac{\Psi^c(e^{s_j})}{\|s_j\|} &\geq \frac{l_j - t}{l_j}\lambda_t((A,\Phi); -\mathbf{i}u_j) + \frac{1}{l_j}\int_0^t \lambda_l((A,\Phi); -\mathbf{i}u_j)dl \\ &= \frac{l_j - t}{l_j}(\lambda_t(A; -\mathbf{i}u_j) + \lambda_t(\Phi; -\mathbf{i}u_j)) \\ &+ \frac{1}{l_j}\int_0^t (\lambda_l(A; -\mathbf{i}u_j) + \lambda_l(\Phi; -\mathbf{i}u_j))dl. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Now, since $\|u_j\|_{C^0} \leq C_B$, and X is compact, $\lambda_t(\Phi; -\mathbf{i}u_j)$ and $\int_0^t \lambda_t(\Phi; -\mathbf{i}u_j)dl$ are both bounded. Hence, there exists C such that for any j

$$\frac{l_j - t}{l_j}\lambda_t(A; -\mathbf{i}u_j) + \frac{1}{l_j}\int_0^t \lambda_l(A; -\mathbf{i}u_j)dl < C.$$

Using again the boundedness of $\|u_j\|_{C^0}$ and taking into account lemma 2.3.1 we obtain

$$\|\overline{\partial}_A(u_j)\|_{L^2} < C_1.$$

Now, $\overline{u_j} = u_j$ (because the Cartan involution leaves $\mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k}$ fixed), and this implies that $\|u_j\|_{L_1^2}$ is also bounded. So we can take a subsequence (which we again call $\{u_j\}$) that converges weakly to $u_\infty \in L_1^2$. We can also assume that there exists the limit $\lim_{i\to\infty} \lambda_t((A,\Phi); -\mathbf{i}u_j)$. On the other hand, since the embedding $L_1^2 \hookrightarrow L^2$ is compact, we get strong convergence $u_j \to u_\infty$ in L^2. $\|u_j\|_{L^1} = 1$ and the uniform bound $\|u_j\|_{C^0} \leq C_B$ imply that $\|u_j\|_{L^2} > C_B^{-1} > 0$, so $u_\infty \neq 0$. To see that $\lambda_t((A,\Phi); -\mathbf{i}u_\infty) \leq \lim_{i\to\infty} \lambda_t((A,\Phi); -\mathbf{i}u_j)$ we observe that

$$u_j \in L^2_{0,C_B}(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k}) = \{s \in L^2(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k}) |\ |s(x)| \leq C_B \text{ a.e.}\}.$$

This implies that $u_\infty \in L^2_{0,C_B}(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k})$, and this is enough to get the inequality (see [Br2], proposition 3.2.2). Finally, making $j \to \infty$ in formula (2.16) we obtain

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \lambda_t((A,\Phi); -\mathbf{i}u_j) \leq 0,$$

so in particular $\lambda_t((A,\Phi); -\mathbf{i}u_\infty) \leq 0$. Since this is true for any $t > 0$, we get $\lambda((A,\Phi); -\mathbf{i}u_\infty) \leq 0$. □

The next steps are rather standard. One can prove that $\rho_a(u_\infty)$ has almost everywhere constant eigenvalues and that it defines a filtration of V by holomorphic subbundles in the complement of a complex codimension 2 subvariety of X. This follows exactly the same lines as sections 3.9 and 3.10 in [Br2], the main technical point being the use of a theorem of Uhlenbeck and Yau [UY] on *weak subbundles* of vector bundles (see section 3.11 in [Br2]). The filtration of V on X_0 and the gauge transformation u_∞ lead to a reduction of the structure group $\sigma \in \Gamma(X_0; E(G/P))$ defined on X_0 by 2.1.6.2 which will be holomorphic thanks to the results in subsection 2.1.6.3, and an antidominant character χ of P. The degree of the pair (σ, χ) equals $\lambda((A,\Phi); -\mathbf{i}u_\infty) \leq 0$. And this contradicts the stability condition, thus finishing the proof of lemma 2.5.1.

2.5.2.4 With the inequality of lemma 2.5.1 in our hands, we finish the proof of existence of solution to the equations exactly as is done in [Br2], section 3.14. This consists of two steps: the first one is to verify that there exists an element $s \in \mathcal{M}et^p_{2,B}$ minimising Ψ^c and the second one is to prove the smoothness of this solution s.

2.5.3 Existence of solutions implies stability

The method we will follow in this section will be exactly the same as in the finite dimensional case in section 2.4. Let us take a simple pair $(A, \Phi) \in \mathscr{A}^{1,1} \times \mathscr{S}$. Suppose that there exists a gauge transformation $h \in \mathscr{G}_G$ such that $h(A, \Phi)$ satisfies equation (2.3). Then the pair $h(A, \Phi)$ is analitically stable, by exactly the same reasoning as in section 2.4. The key step is to prove that this implies that (A, Φ) is also analitically stable.

Take $X_0 \subset X$ with complement of complex codimension 2, $P \subset G$ parabolic, χ an antidominant character of P and fix a reduction $\sigma \in \Gamma(X_0; E(G/P))$. By 2.1.6.1 we get a section $g_{\sigma,\chi} \in \Omega^0(X_0; E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k})$, and we have to check that $\lambda((A, \Phi); -\mathbf{i}g_{\sigma,\chi}) > 0$.

Since $h(A, \Phi)$ is analitically stable, given any $B > 0$ there exist constants C_1 and C_2 such that for any $s \in \mathcal{M}et^p_{2,B}$ there is an inequality

$$\sup |s| \leq C_1 \Psi^c_{h(A,\Phi)}(e^s) + C_2. \tag{2.17}$$

This inequality is valid not only for $s \in \mathcal{M}et^p_{2,B}$, but also for any

$$s \in \mathcal{M}et^p_2(C_B) = \{s \in L^p_2(X_0; E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{k}) |\ \|s\|_{C^0} \leq C_B \|s\|_{L^1}\},$$

as one can see tracing the proof of lemma 2.5.1. (The only property on X that is used in the proof of the inequality for $s \in \mathcal{M}et^p_2(C_B)$ is, besides having finite volume, that it has no nonconstant holomorphic functions; and this also happens in X_0, by Hartog theorem.) This proves the following.

Lemma 2.5.5. *Fix a positive constant C_B. There exist positive constants C_1, C_2 such that the following holds. Let $g \in \mathscr{G}_G(X_0) = \Omega^0(X_0; E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} G)$ be such that $|g|_{\log,C^0} \leq C_B |g|_{\log,L^1} < \infty$. Then*

$$|g|_{\log,C^0} \leq C_1 \Psi^c_{h(A,\Phi)}(g) + C_2.$$

If we take $C_B = \mathrm{Vol}(X)^{-1}$ then $|g_{\sigma,\chi}|_{C^0} \leq C_B |g_{\sigma,\chi}|_{L^1}$ (in fact we have equality, since $|g_{\sigma,\chi}|$ is constant). So reasoning exactly like in lemma 2.4.2, the preceeding lemma implies that $\lambda(h(A, \Phi); -\mathbf{i}g_{\sigma,\chi}) > 0$. To deduce that $\lambda((A, \Phi); -\mathbf{i}g_{\sigma,\chi}) > 0$ as well, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5.6. *There a positive constant C'_B such that for any $g_{\sigma,\chi}$ and h and for big enough (depending on $g_{\sigma,\chi}$ and h) $t > 0$,*

$$|e^{tg_{\sigma,\chi}} h^{-1}|_{\log,C^0} \leq C'_B |e^{tg_{\sigma,\chi}} h^{-1}|_{\log,L^1}.$$

Proof. This is a consequence of lemma 2.2.7 and the fact that X is compact (so $|h|$ and $|h^{-1}|$ are bounded functions on X). $\square$

Now we set $C_B = C'_B$ in lemma 2.5.5, and proceeding as in the finite dimensional case (lemma 2.2.6) we deduce that there exist positive constants C''_1 and C''_2 such that for any $t > 0$

$$\sup |tg_{\sigma,\chi}| = t \sup |g_{\sigma,\chi}| \le C''_1 \Psi^c_{h(A,\Phi)}(e^{tg_{\sigma,\chi}}) + C''_2.$$

This implies that $\lambda((A;\Phi); -\mathbf{i}g_{\sigma,\chi}) > 0$.

By lemma 2.3.3 this is equivalent to $T^c_\Phi(\sigma,\chi) > 0$. With this we see that (A,Φ) is c-stable.

2.5.4 Uniqueness of solutions

The proof is exactly as in the finite dimensional case (see section 2.4): it follows from the convexity of the integral of the moment map.

2.5.5 Nonsimple pairs

The Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence which we have proved applies only to simple pairs (A,Φ). This restriction, however, can often be relaxed. As an example, suppose that there are elements in the centre $Z = Z(\mathfrak{g})$ of G which leave F fixed (trivial example: F equal to a point). Any element $z \in Z$ gives an element of the Lie algebra of the gauge group, which we still denote by z. This element is semisimple and for any t the exponential $\exp(tz)$ fixes all connections in $\mathscr{A}$, and by our assumption fixes also Φ. In this situation, the pair (A,Φ) is not simple.

When our group G is $\mathrm{GL}(V)$, there is a standard way to solve this problem. We assume that the center Z of $GL(V)$ leaves Φ fixed (note that this is *not* the case of the vortex equations). We *split* the equation in the Z part and in the G/Z part as follows. Define $\mathscr{G}^0_G$ to be the set of gauge transformation with determinant pointwise equal to 1, and suppose that there are no semisimple elements in the Lie algebra of $\mathscr{G}^0_G$ which leave (A,Φ) fixed; under this assumption we can find an element $g \in \mathscr{G}^0_G$ so that $g(A,\Phi)$ solves the trace-free part of the equation (observe that our proof applies to this situation); then Hodge theory gives a central element in $\mathscr{G}_G$ which, composed with g, solves the complete equation.

This idea applies for any reductive Lie group G. We just need to give a generalisation of the condition of having determinant pointwise equal to 1 which we imposed to the elements in $\mathscr{G}^0_G$. This is given by the following

Lemma 2.5.7. *Let G be a reductive Lie group. There exists $k \ge 1$ and a morphism $\phi : G \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^k$ such that* $\operatorname{Ker}\phi \cap Z$ *is a discrete subgroup of G.*

Proof. Take a faithful representation $\rho : G \to GL(W)$. Split W in eigenspaces of the roots of Z acting on W: $W = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_k$, so that any central element $z \in Z$ acts on any piece W_j by homotecies. Then $\rho(G) \subset GL(W_1) \times \cdots \times GL(W_k)$, so that for any $g \in G$ we have $\rho(g) = (g_1, \ldots, g_k)$. Let $\phi : G \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^k$ be defined as $\phi(g) = (\det g_1, \ldots, \det g_k)$. Now suppose that there exists $s \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$ such that, for any t, $\phi(e^{ts}) = (1, \ldots, 1)$. Since e^{ts} acts by homotecies on each piece, we must have $\rho(e^{ts}) \in Z(SL(W_1)) \times \cdots \times Z(SL(W_k)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/w_1\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/w_k\mathbb{Z}$ for any t, where $w_j = \dim W_j$. This implies that $\rho(e^{ts}) = (1, \ldots, 1)$ and, since ρ is faithful, $z = 0$. This proves that $\operatorname{Ker}\phi \cap Z$ is discrete. □

Suppose for simplicity that the whole center of G leaves Φ fixed. We then define $\mathscr{G}^0_G$ to be the set of gauge transformations which fibrewise belong to $\operatorname{Ker}\phi$, and proceed as in the case $G = GL(V)$: we find $g \in \mathscr{G}^0_G$ such that the center free part of the equation is solved and then use Hodge theory to solve the complete equation.

2.6 Example: the theorem of Banfield

Suppose that F is a Hermitian vector space and that K acts on F through a unitary representation $\rho : K \to U(F)$. D. Banfield [Ba] has recently proved a general Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence for this situation. The work of Banfield generalises existing results on vortex equations, Hitchin equations, and on other equations arising from particular choices of K and ρ (see subsection I.1.1.3). In this section we will see how the result of Banfield can be deduced from theorem 2.1.19.

2.6.1 The stability condition

The first thing we do is to study the maximal weights of elements in $\mathfrak{k}$ acting on F through ρ. Let h be the Hermitian metric on F. The imaginary part of h with reversed sign defines a symplectic form ω_F compatible with the complex structure and hence a Kaehler structure. The action of K on F respects the Kaehler structure and admits a moment map $\mu : F \to \mathfrak{k}^*$

$$\mu(x) = -\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}\rho^*(x \otimes x^*).$$

In other words, for any $s \in \mathfrak{k}$, $\langle \mu(x), s\rangle_{\mathfrak{k}} = -\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2} h(x, \rho(s)x)$. Let $x \in F$ and take an element $s \in \mathfrak{k}$. Since $\rho(s) \in \mathfrak{u}(F)$, the endomorphism $\rho(s)$ diagonalises in a basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$: $\mathbf{i}\rho(s)e_k = \lambda_k e_k$, where λ_k is a real number for any k. Write $x = x_1e_1 + \cdots + x_ne_n$.

Lemma 2.6.1. *If $\lambda_k \leq 0$ for every k such that $x_k \neq 0$, then the maximal weight $\lambda(x; s)$ is equal to zero. Otherwise it is ∞.*

Let us assume that the representation ρ is contained in the auxiliar representation ρ_a. Let $E \to X$ be a G-principal bundle on a compact Kaehler manifold X. Let $\mathcal{F} = E \times_\rho F$ be the vector bundle associated to E through the representation ρ. Take a pair $(A, \Phi) \in \mathscr{A}^{1,1} \times \mathscr{S}$, and fix a central element $c \in \mathfrak{k}$. Consider on E the holomorphic structure given by $\overline{\partial}_A$. According to definition 2.1.16, (A, Φ) is c-stable if and only if for any parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$, for any holomorphic reduction $\sigma \in \Gamma(X_0; E(G/P))$ defined on the complement of a complex codimension 2 submanifold X_0 of X and for any antidominant character χ of P, the total degree is positive:

$$T^c_\Phi(\sigma,\chi) > 0.$$

The total degree is the sum of $\deg(\sigma,\chi)$ plus the maximal weight of the action of $g_{\sigma,\chi}$ on Φ plus $\langle \mathbf{i}\chi, c\rangle \operatorname{Vol}(X)$. The maximal weight is

$$\int_{x\in X} \lambda(\Phi(x); -\mathbf{i}g_{\sigma,\chi}(x)). \tag{2.18}$$

Define now $\mathcal{F}^- = \mathcal{F}^-(\sigma,\chi) \subset \mathcal{F}$ to be the subset given by the vectors in $\mathcal{F}$ on which $g_{\sigma,\chi}(x)$ acts negatively, that is, $v \in \mathcal{F}_x$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}^-$ if and only if you can write $v = \sum v_n$ such that $g_{\sigma,\chi}(x)(v_n) = \lambda_n v_n$ and $\lambda_n \leq 0$. Since the eigenvalues of $g_{\sigma,\chi}$ are constant, $\mathcal{F}^-$ is a subbundle. And since the parabolic reduction is holomorphic, so is $\mathcal{F}^-$.

If $\Phi \subset \mathcal{F}^-$, then the maximal weight at each fibre is equal to zero by lemma 2.6.1, so the stability condition reduces to

$$\deg(\sigma,\chi) > 0.$$

On the other hand, if $\Phi(x) \notin \mathcal{F}^-_x$, then there is an open neighbourhood U of x such that $\Phi(y) \notin \mathcal{F}^-_y$ for any $y \in U$. In this situation lemma 2.6.1 tells us that, for any $y \in U$, $\lambda(\Phi(y); -\mathbf{i}g_{\sigma,\chi}(y)) = \infty$. Since this happens in an open set, the integral (2.18) is infinite (since X is compact, Φ is bounded and so $\lambda(\Phi(x); -\mathbf{i}g_{\sigma,\chi}(x))$ is bounded below). But the degree $\deg(\sigma,\chi)$ is always a finite number, so the total degree will be positive (infinite, in fact) in this case. To sum up,

Proposition 2.6.2. *The pair (A,Φ) is stable if and only if for any P,σ,χ as above, if Φ is contained in $\mathcal{F}^-(\sigma,\chi)$, then*

$$\deg(\sigma,\chi) + \langle \mathbf{i}\chi, c\rangle \operatorname{Vol}(X) > 0.$$

And this is precisely Banfield condition.

2.6.2 Simple pairs

To give a characterisation of simple pairs we use the following definition due to Banfield [Ba]:

Definition 2.6.3. *Suppose that the vector bundle $\mathcal{F}$ decomposes into a nontrivial direct sum $\bigoplus_k \mathcal{F}_k$ of holomorphic vector bundles and that there is a reduction of the structure group of E to $G' \subset G$, compatible with the splitting. Suppose further that a central element of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}'$ of G' annihilates the section Φ but acts nontrivially on $\mathcal{F}$. Then we say (A,Φ) is a* **decomposable pair***. If no such splitting exists, the we say that (A,Φ) is an* **indecomposable pair***.*

Lemma 2.6.4. *The pair (A,Φ) is simple if and only if it is indecomposable.*

Proof. Suppose that $0 \neq s \in \Omega^0(E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{g})$ is semisimple and stabilises (A, Φ). In particular $\mathscr{X}_s^{\mathscr{A}}(A) = 0$, and this implies that $\overline{\partial}_A(s) = 0$. So the eigenvalues of $\rho(s)$ are constant, and since s is semisimple $\rho(s)$ diagonalises. Let the different eigenvalues of $\rho(s)$ be $\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_r$, and consider the decomposition $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\lambda_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{F}(\lambda_r)$ in eigenbundles, which are holomorphic, and every $\mathcal{F}_k = \mathcal{F}(\lambda_k)$ having as structure group a subgroup $G_k \subset G$. Since s leaves Φ fixed Φ must belong to $\mathcal{F}(0)$. On the other hand, 0 in obviously not the unique eigenvalue of $\rho(s)$, so the decomposition

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{F}_r$$

is not trivial. Finally, the section s provides the central element killing Φ.

The proof of the converse is similar. □

2.6.3 The equations

Our equation (2.3) in the case of linear representations is the same one given by Banfield (note that Banfield also considers the holomorphicity condition $\overline{\partial}_A\Phi = 0$).

2.7 Example: projective pairs

In this section and in the next ones we give some examples in which the result of Banfield does not apply.

Suppose that $F = \mathbb{P}(W)$, where W is a complex vector space with a Hermitian pairing, and that a compact Lie group K acts on W through a representation $\rho : K \to U(W)$. Let us remark that not all the actions of compact Lie groups on projective spaces arise in this way. More precisely, not always an action on $\mathbb{P}(W)$ will lift to an action on W. However, if K acts on $\mathbb{P}(W)$, one can find a central extension of K by $\mathbb{C}^*$ which does act on W, and all the following discusion adapts easily to this more general situation.

The vector space W is a Kaehler manifold with symplectic form ω_W equal to the imaginary part of the Hermitian pairing with reversed sign. Consider the action of $U(1)$ on W given by multiplication. This action is symplectic, and it has a moment map $\mu_{U(1)}(x) = \|x\|^2$. The symplectic quotient, $\mu_{U(1)}^{-1}(1)/U(1)$, coincides with the projective space $F = \mathbb{P}(W)$. So the induced symplectic form ω_F on F comes from the restriction of the symplectic form ω_W of W on $\mu_{U(1)}^{-1}(1)$ (this makes sense, since the symplectic form is $U(1)$ invariant). The complex structure on $F = \mathbb{P}(W)$ is compatible with ω_F, so F is in fact a Kaehler manifold.

Let $\mu_K : W \to \mathfrak{k}^*$ be the moment map of the action of K on W. The action of $U(1)$ commutes with that of K, so the symplectic quotient has an induced action of K (which leaves ω_F invariant). Just as happened with the symplectic form, a moment map μ_F for this action on F can be obtained considering the restriction of the moment map μ_K in $\mu_{U(1)}^{-1}(1)$; by the $U(1)$-equivariance of μ_K, this descends to the quotient. More explicitly, given any $x \in F$, one takes any lifting $\hat{x} \in W$ and the moment map at x is

$$\mu_F(x) = -\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}\rho^*\left(\frac{\hat{x}\otimes\hat{x}^*}{\|\hat{x}\|^2}\right). \tag{2.19}$$

2.7.0.1 Maximal weights

Take a point $x \in F$ and consider an element $s \in \mathfrak{k}$. We can take a basis $e_1, \dots, e_n$ of W in which the action of s diagonalizes: $\mathbf{i}\rho(s)e_k = \lambda_k e_k$, where λ_k is a real number for any k. Fix a lifting $\hat{x} \in W$ of x and write $\hat{x} = x_1e_1 + \dots + x_ne_n$. Then

$$\lambda_t(x;s) = \langle\mu(e^{\mathbf{i}t\rho(s)}x), s\rangle_{\mathfrak{k}} = -\mathbf{i}\frac{h(e^{\mathbf{i}t\rho(s)}\hat{x}, \rho(s)e^{\mathbf{i}t\rho(s)}\hat{x})}{\|\hat{x}\|^2} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k e^{2t\lambda_k}|x_k|^2}{\sum_{k=1}^n e^{2t\lambda_k}|x_k|^2}.$$

Lemma 2.7.1. *The maximal weight of s acting on x is*

$$\lambda(x;s) = \max\{\lambda_k | x_k \neq 0\}.$$

2.7.0.2 The integral of the moment map

The function Ψ takes in this situation the following form: for $x \in F$ and $g \in G$,

$$\Psi(x,g) = \frac{1}{4}\log\frac{\|\rho(g)\hat{x}\|^2}{\|\hat{x}\|^2}.$$

Once again, this is checked by proving that this function satisfies (2) in proposition 2.2.2.

2.7.1 The stability condition

The pairs $(A,\Phi) \in \mathscr{A}^{1,1} \times \mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{F} = E \times_K \mathbb{P}(W)$ are called **projective pairs**. We will give a characterization of stability for projective pairs very similar to that of Banfield. This characterisation, however, will only work if we ask Φ to be a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to the holomorphic structure $\overline{\partial}_A$. (Remark that anywhere else in this chapter we only wanted it to be smooth.)

As in the preceeding section, we will assume that the representation ρ is contained inside the auxiliar representation ρ_a. Let $P \subset G$ be a parabolic subgroup and take χ an antidominant character of P. Let $\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_r$ be the set of different eigenvalues of $\rho(\chi)$, and write $W(\lambda)$ the eigenspace of eigenvalue λ. Finally, write $W^{\lambda_k} = \bigoplus_{i\leq k} W(\lambda_i)$.

Lemma 2.7.2. *If $\widehat{w} \in W^{\lambda_k} \setminus W^{\lambda_{k-1}}$, then $\lambda(\widehat{w}; -\mathbf{i}\chi) = \lambda_k$.*

On the other hand, by lemma 2.1.8, for any k the subspace $W^k \subset W$ is invariant by the action of P. So, once we have a holomorphic reduction of the structure group of E to P and an antidominant character χ of P, we obtain holomorphic fibrations

$$V^{\lambda_1} \subset \dots \subset V^{\lambda_r}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(V^{\lambda_1}) \subset \cdots \subset \mathbb{P}(V^{\lambda_r}) = \mathcal{F},$$

defined as $V^{\lambda_k} = E \times_P W^{\lambda_k}$. (Of course all this may happen to be defined only on the complementary of a complex codimension 2 submanifold of X, but here we will avoid this technicallity.) Our section Φ is assumed to be holomorphic. Hence, if for some $x \in X$ we have $\Phi(x) \in \mathbb{P}(V^{\lambda_k})_x \setminus \mathbb{P}(V^{\lambda_{k-1}})_x$, then the same happens for almost any $x \in X$. In consequence, using now lemma 2.7.2, for almost any $x \in X$ the maximal weight $\lambda(\Phi(x); -\mathbf{i}\chi)$ equals λ_k. This implies that

$$\int_{x\in X} \lambda(\Phi(x); -\mathbf{i}g_{\sigma,\chi}) = \lambda_k.$$

In view of all this it is now easy to prove the following.

Proposition 2.7.3. *The pair (E, Φ) is c-stable if and only if for any pair (σ, χ), if $\Phi \in \mathbb{P}(V^{\lambda_k})$, then*

$$\deg(\sigma, \chi) + \mathrm{Vol}(X)\lambda_k - \int_X \langle \chi, c\rangle > 0.$$

On the other hand, the characterisation of simple pair given in the case of vector pairs works equally well for projective pairs: the definition of indecomposable pair is valid in the case of projective pairs, and one can prove that a pair is simple if and only if it is indecomposable.

Finally, remark that when $F \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a projective variety the Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence reduces to that for projective pairs. In the following section we will see some examples of this situation: F will be there either a Grassmannian or, more generally, a flag manifold.

2.8 Example: filtrations of vector bundles

In this section we study theorem 2.1.19 in the particular case in which F is a Grassmannian or, more generaly, a flag manifold. We assume, for simplicity, that X is a Riemann surface and that $\mathrm{Vol}(X) = 1$. For the higher dimensional case everything that follows remains valid with the following modification: in the stability condition one has always to consider reflexive subsheaves, and not only subbundles (this is a consequence of the need of considering reductions of the structure group defined on the complement of a complex codimension 2 submanifold of X in the general definition of stability).

The Lie group K will be $\mathrm{U}(R;\mathbb{C})$, where $R \geq 1$ is an arbitrary integer, and we will take the standard representation in $\mathbb{C}^R$ as our auxiliar representation.

2.8.1 Subbundles

Let $E \to X$ be a principal $\mathrm{U}(R;\mathbb{C})$ bundle on X. Consider the standard representation on $\mathbb{C}^R$. This provides us with a vector bundle $V \to X$ of rank R. Using theorem 2.1.19, we will find a Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence for subbundles V_0 of V of fixed rank $0 < k < R$. This correspondence has already been proved in [BrGP1] and in [DaUW].

Using an idea of [DaUW] we identify the inclusion $V_0 \hookrightarrow V$ with a section Φ of the bundle with fibres the Grassmannian of k-subvectorspaces $\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{C}^R)$ associated to E by the usual action of $\mathrm{GL}(R;\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{C}^R)$:

$$\mathcal{F} = E \times_{\mathrm{GL}(R;\mathbb{C})} \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{C}^R).$$

The Plücker embedding maps $\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{C}^R)$ in a $\mathrm{GL}(R;\mathbb{C})$-equivariant way into $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda^k\mathbb{C}^R)$, and the action of $\mathrm{GL}(R;\mathbb{C})$ in $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda^k\mathbb{C}^R)$ lifts to the obvious action in $\Lambda^k\mathbb{C}^R$. So we are in the situation described at the beginning of this section. Observe that the centre of $\mathrm{GL}(R;\mathbb{C})$ acts trivially on the Grassmannian. In consequence, the comments in subsection 2.5.5 are relevant in this situation.

If ω is the symplectic form in $\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{C}^R)$ inherited by the Fubini-Study symplectic form on $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda^k\mathbb{C}^R)$, then $\tau\omega$ also gives $\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{C}^R)$ a Kaehler structure when $\tau > 0$ and everything gets multiplied by τ: the moment map, the maximal weights and the integral of the moment map. We fix from now on a constant $\tau > 0$ and we work with the symplectic form $\tau\omega$. The constant τ can be identified with the parameter appearing in the notion of stability and in the equations in [BrGP1, DaUW].

2.8.2 Moment map of U(n) acting on the Grassmannian

The action of $\mathrm{U}(n;\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{C}^R)$ is symplectic. Making use of formula (2.19) one easily verifies that if $\pi \in \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{C}^R)$, then the moment map of the action of $\mathrm{U}(n;\mathbb{C})$ at the point π is the element in $\mathfrak{u}(n;\mathbb{C})^*$ which sends $\xi \in \mathfrak{u}(n;\mathbb{C})$ to

$$\mu(\pi)(\xi) = -\mathbf{i}\tau\,\mathrm{Tr}(\pi \circ \xi),$$

where π denotes the orthogonal projection onto π (see [DaUW], p. 485).

2.8.3 Maximal weights of U(n) acting on the Grassmannian

Consider the standard action of $\mathrm{U}(n)$ on $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda^k\mathbb{C}^R)$. Take an element $s \in \mathfrak{u}(n)$. We now give the maximal weight $\lambda(v;s)$ in the case when $v = v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k \neq 0$, for $v_j \in \mathbb{C}^R$. This case is enough for our purposes, since the image of the Grassmanian $\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{C}^R)$ given by the Plücker embedding into $\Lambda^k\mathbb{C}^R$ is precisely the set of points of that form.

Let π be the k-subspace of $\mathbb{C}^R$ spanned by $\{v_j\}$. Let $\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_r$ be the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{i}s$ acting on $\Lambda^k\mathbb{C}^R$, and for any $1 \leq j \leq r$ write $E_j = \bigoplus_{i\leq j} \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{i}s - \lambda_k \,\mathrm{Id})$. Set $\alpha_j = \lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1}$. Then

$$\lambda(v;s) = \tau\left(\dim(\pi)\lambda_r + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \dim(\pi \cap E_j)\alpha_j\right). \tag{2.20}$$

The proof of this formula is an easy exercise which follows from lemma 2.7.1.

2.8.4 Simple extensions

Reasoning similarly as in lemma 2.6.4 one can prove this

Lemma 2.8.1. *The pair (A, Φ) is not simple if and only if one can find a holomorphic (with respect to $\overline{\partial}_A$) splitting $V = V' \oplus V''$ such that the subbundle V_0 given by the section Φ is contained in V'.*

2.8.5 The stability condition

Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be a real number. Fix a pair (A, Φ), which gives a holomorphic structure on V and an inclusion of bundles $V_0 \subset V$. In this section we will study the $-\mathbf{i}c\,\mathrm{Id}$-stability condition for the pair in terms of $V_0 \subset V$.

A (holomorphic) parabolic reduction σ of the structure group of E is the same as giving a (holomorphic) filtration $0 \subset V^1 \subset \cdots \subset V^{r-1} \subset V^r = V$, and an antidominant character χ for this reduction is of the form

$$\chi = z\,\mathrm{Id} + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} m_j \lambda_{R^j},$$

where $R^j = \mathrm{rk}(V^j)$, $\lambda_{R^j} = \pi_{\mathbb{C}^{R^j}} - \frac{R^j}{R}\,\mathrm{Id}$ ($\pi_{\mathbb{C}^{R^j}}$ is the projection onto $\mathbb{C}^{R^j}$), z is any real number and the m_j are real negative numbers. Taking into account that the auxiliar representation is just the standard representation of $\mathrm{GL}(n;\mathbb{C})$ in $\mathbb{C}^R$ we deduce that the degree of the pair (σ, χ) is

$$\deg(\sigma, \chi) = z\deg(V) + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} m_j \left(\deg(V^j) - \frac{R^j}{R}\deg(V)\right).$$

To calculate the maximal weight of the action of χ on the section Φ we use formula (2.20). The parameters that appear there are related to ours as follows: $\alpha_j = m_j$ for any $1 \leq j \leq r-1$ and $\lambda_r = z - \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} m_j \frac{R^j}{R}$. We get, after integration (recall that the volume of X has been normalized to 1):

$$\int_{x\in X} \mu(\Phi(x); -g_{\sigma,\chi}(x)) = \mathrm{rk}(V_0)\left(z - \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} m_j \frac{R^j}{R}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} m_j\,\mathrm{rk}(V_0 \cap V^j). \tag{2.21}$$

Hence, the stability notion is as follows: for any filtration $0 \subset V^1 \subset \cdots \subset V^{r-1} \subset V^r = V$ and any set of negative weights $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{r-1}$ we must have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < {} & z\deg(V) + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} m_j \left(\deg(V^j) - \frac{R^j}{R}\deg(V)\right) \\ & + \tau\left(\mathrm{rk}(V_0)\left(z - \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} m_j \frac{R^j}{R}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} m_j\,\mathrm{rk}(V_0 \cap V^j)\right) - zc\,R. \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

(Observe that thanks to our assumption that $\mathrm{Vol}(X) = 1$, $\langle \mathbf{i}\chi, c\rangle \mathrm{Vol}(X) = -zcR$.) If this is to be satisfied by all possible choices of z, then

$$c = \frac{\deg(V) + \tau \operatorname{rk}(V_0)}{R}.$$

So, given the symplectic form $\tau\omega$, there is a unique central element $c \in \mathfrak{u}(n;\mathbb{C})$ such that the pair can be c-stable. Putting the value of the central element inside (2.22) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} m_j \left(\deg(V^j) - \frac{R^j}{R}\deg V - \tau \operatorname{rk}(V_0)\frac{R^j}{R} + \tau \operatorname{rk}(V_0 \cap V^j)\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} m_j R^j \left(\frac{\deg(V^j) + \tau \operatorname{rk}(V_0 \cap V^j)}{R^j} - \frac{\deg(V) + \tau \operatorname{rk}(V_0)}{R}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and using the fact that the numbers m_j are arbitrary negative numbers, we see that a necessary and sufficient condition for (E, Φ) to be stable is that for any nonzero proper subbundle (in fact, reflexive subsheave) $V^1 \subset V$

$$\frac{\deg(V^1) + \tau \operatorname{rk}(V_0 \cap V^1)}{\operatorname{rk}(V^1)} < \frac{\deg(V) + \tau \operatorname{rk}(V_0)}{R},$$

and this is exactly the same condition that appears in [DaUW, BrGP1].

In what concerns the equations, they are exactly those in [DaUW]. Instead of writing them in terms of a gauge transformation, we will put as the variable a metric h in the bundle V. This is equivalent to our setting, since the relevant space in our case is the gauge group of complex transformations modulo unitary gauge transformations, and this coset space can be identified with the space of metrics. Taking into account the precise form of the moment map for the action of $\mathrm{GL}(n;\mathbb{C})$ in $\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{C}^R)$ we can write the equations as follows:

$$\Lambda F_A - \mathbf{i}\tau\pi^h_{V_0} = -\mathbf{i}c\,\mathrm{Id},$$

where $\pi^h_{V_0}$ is the h-orthogonal projection onto V_0. The equations considered in [BrGP1] are written in a different way, but in [DaUW] it is proved that they are equivalent to the ones considered here.

2.8.6 Filtrations

Here we generalise the preceeding results to the case of filtrations[1]. Our trick is to identify a filtration $0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_s \subset V$ with a section Φ of the associated bundle with fibre the flag manifold $F_{i_1,\dots,i_s}$, where $i_k = \operatorname{rk}(V_k)$. This manifold is embedded in a product of Grassmannians. The Kaehler structure in the flag manifold is not unique. We can in fact take as symplectic form any weighted sum of the pullbacks of the symplectic forms in the Grassmannians, provided the weights are positive. So the Kaehler structure depends on a s-uple of positive parameters $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_s)$. We can now work out the stability notion analogously to the case of extensions, and obtain that (here we write $0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_s \subset V$ for the filtration represented by the section Φ)

---

[1] The results of this subsection were first proved by Luis Álvarez Cónsul [Al, AlGP].

- the equation is $\Lambda F_A - \mathbf{i} \sum \tau_k \pi^h_{V^k} = -\mathbf{i} c \,\mathrm{Id}$, where $\pi^h_{V^k}$ is the h-orthogonal projection onto V^k and where c is a real constant;
- the pair (A, Φ) is simple unless there exists a holomorphic (with respect to $\overline{\partial}_A$) splitting $V = V' \oplus V''$ such that $V_k \subset V'$ for any $k \leq s$;
- the only value of c for which we can expect our filtration to be c-stable is
$$c = \frac{\deg(V) + \sum \tau_k \operatorname{rk}(V_k)}{R};$$
- the stability notion is as follows: for any nonzero proper reflexive subsheaf $V^1 \subset V$,
$$\frac{\deg(V^1) + \sum \tau_k \operatorname{rk}(V_k \cap V^1)}{\operatorname{rk}(V^1)} < \frac{\deg(V) + \sum \tau_k \operatorname{rk}(V_k)}{R}.$$

2.8.7 Bogomolov inequality

In this subsection we state the Bogomolov inequality given in corollary 2.1.20 for the case of filtrations. For that we need to compute the cohomology class $\Phi^* \phi_A(\overline{\omega}_F)$.

We begin with some general observations. When the cohomology class represented by the symplectic form ω_F of F belongs to $H^2(F; \mathbf{i}2\pi\mathbb{Z})$, there exists a line bundle $L \to F$ with a connection ∇ whose curvature coincides with $-\mathbf{i}\omega_F$. Assume that the action of K on F lifts to a linear action on L. Then ∇ can be assumed to be K-equivariant (by just averaging if it is not). Using the action of K on L we can define a line bundle $\mathscr{L} \to \mathcal{F}$ as $\mathscr{L} = E \times_K L$. Denote $\pi_X \mathscr{L} : \mathscr{L} \to X$ and $\pi^{\mathscr{L}}_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathscr{L} \to \mathcal{F}$ the projections. Let A be a connection on E. The connection A induces a connection on the associated bundle $\mathscr{L}$, which may be seen as a projection $\alpha : T\mathscr{L} \to \operatorname{Ker} d\pi_X \mathscr{L}$. Since ∇ is K-equivariant, we may extend it fibrewise to obtain a projection $\beta : \operatorname{Ker} d\pi_X \mathscr{L} \to \operatorname{Ker} d\pi^{\mathscr{L}}_{\mathcal{F}}$. The composition $\gamma = \beta \circ \alpha : T\mathscr{L} \to \operatorname{Ker} d\pi^{\mathscr{L}}_{\mathcal{F}}$ defines a connection ∇^A on $\mathscr{L} \to \mathcal{F}$. It is an exercise to verify that
$$\phi_A(\overline{\omega}_F) = \mathbf{i} F_{\nabla^A},$$
where F_{∇^A} is the curvature of ∇^A.

If $F = \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{C}^R)$ is a Grassmannian everything in the preceeding paragraph works. In particular, the line bundle $L \to F$ can be identified with the dual of the determinant bundle, that is, with the line bundle whose fibre on $V \in \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{C}^R)$ is $\Lambda^k V^*$.

Using this observations, it turns out that in the general case in which $F = F_{i_1,\dots,i_s}$ and in which F has the Kaehler structure induced by the parameters $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_s)$

(see the preceeding subsection), then for any $(A,\Phi)\in\mathscr{A}^{1,1}\times\mathscr{S}$ we have

$$\int_X \Phi^*\phi_A(\overline{\omega}_F)\wedge\omega^{[n-1]} = -\sum_{k=1}^{s}\tau_k\deg(V_k),$$

where $V_1\subset\cdots\subset V_s\subset V$ is the filtration represented by the section Φ.

Finally, one computes

$$\int_X B(F_A,F_A)\wedge\omega^{[n-2]} = 8\pi\langle ch_2(V)\cup[\omega^{[n-2]}],[X]\rangle,$$

where $ch_2(V)\in H^4(X;\mathbb{R})$ is the degree 4 piece of the Chern character of V (see p. 209 in [Br2]). So corollary 2.1.20 takes the following form in this case:

Corollary 2.8.2. *Let A be a connection on E, and consider a filtration $0\subset V_1\subset\cdots\subset V_s\subset V$ which is holomorphic with respect to $\overline{\partial}_A$. Let us write Φ for the section of $\mathcal{F}$ which represents this filtration. If the pair (A,Φ) is $\mathscr{G}_G$ equivalent to a solution of*

$$\Lambda F_A - \mathbf{i}\sum\tau_k\pi^h_{V^k} = -\mathbf{i}c\,\mathrm{Id},$$

then the following holds

$$\deg(V)\left(\frac{\deg(V)+\sum\tau_k\,\mathrm{rk}(V_k)}{R}\right)-\sum_{k=1}^{s}\tau_k\deg(V_k)-4\pi\langle ch_2(V)\cup[\omega^{[n-2]}],[X]\rangle\geq 0.$$

2.9 A trivial example of stable pair

Although we have studied some examples to which we can apply our correspondence, we have still not proved that there exist stable pairs. This could be achieved by studying a little bit the extensions of a stable vector bundle, or, still easier, by taking a rank two projective bundle on a Riemann surface coming from a stable rank two bundle and picking a τ small enough (in that case the stability of a pair is equivalent to the stability of the bundle). Here, however, we state a general result concerning the stability of pairs whose bundle and connection are the trivial ones.

Consider a representation $\rho: G\to GL(W)$ and a G-principal bundle $E\to X$. We will take $F=\mathbb{P}(W)$. Let $V=E\times_\rho W$. So $\mathcal{F}=\mathbb{P}(E\times_\rho W)=\mathbb{P}(V)$. Denote by $\mathbb{P}(W)^s\subset\mathbb{P}(W)$ (resp. $\mathbb{P}(W)^{ss}\subset\mathbb{P}(W)$) the set of stable (resp. semistable) points by the action of G. Since a point is stable if and only if so is any point in its orbit, it makes sense to define $\mathbb{P}(V)^s=\amalg_{x\in X}\mathbb{P}(V)^s_x$ and $\mathbb{P}(V)^{ss}=\amalg_{x\in X}\mathbb{P}(V)^{ss}_x$, where $\mathbb{P}(V)_x\simeq\mathbb{P}(W)$ is the fibre over $x\in X$.

On the other hand, there is a notion of stability for G-principal bundles due to Ramanathan [R1]. This can be stated using our notation as follows: E is stable if, for any reduction $\sigma\in\Omega^0(E(G/P))$ of the structure group of E to a parabolic subgroup $P\subset G$, and any antidominant character χ of P, $\deg(\sigma,\chi)>0$. When we only have $\deg(\sigma,\chi)\geq 0$, then we say E is semistable. In fact, our correspondence applies to this case with some due modifications in the proof, and in particular if there exists a reduction $h \in \Omega^0(E(G/K))$ of the structure group to a maximal compact subgroup $K \subset G$ such that $\Lambda F_h = 0$, then E is semistable (see [RS]). So, for example, the trivial bundle $E = X \times G$ is semistable (just take a constant section $h \in \Omega^0(E(G/K))$).

Finally, recall that the Kempf-Ness theory (see section 2.4.1) tells us that $x \in \mathbb{P}(W)$ is stable (resp. semistable) if and only if for any antidominant character χ of a parabolic subgroup of G, the maximal weight $\mu(x;\chi) > 0$ (resp. $\mu(x;\chi) \geq 0$).

Putting together all this we obtain the following

Theorem 2.9.1. *Suppose E is semistable and $\Phi \subset \mathbb{P}(V)^s$. Then the pair (E, Φ) is stable for any $\tau > 0$. And if E is stable and $\Phi \subset \mathbb{P}(V)^{ss}$, then the pair (E, Φ) is also stable for any $\tau > 0$.*

Now, taking $E = X \times G$ and ρ such that $\mathbb{P}(W)^s \neq \emptyset$, we can pick a constant section $\Phi(x) = w \in \mathbb{P}(W)^s$ and then the pair (E, Φ) will be stable thanks to the preceeding theorem. Furthermore, we can also chose w such that the pair is simple, by taking it outside any proper G invariant subspace $W' \subset W$.

Chapter 3

The moduli space

In this chapter and in all the remaining ones we will assume that X is a Riemann surface (with a fixed Riemannian metric). So from now on we will forget the third equation $F_A^{0,2} = 0$ in (1.2), which, as we have already said, is trivially satisfied. We will also assume henceforth that F is compact.

Our aim in the next chapters is to use the space of solutions to (a certain perturbation of) equations (1.2) to define invariants of the symplectic manifold F and the action of S^1. As a first step, in this chapter we will construct the moduli space of gauge equivalence classes of solutions to equations (1.2). The methods used in the construction are rather standard (see for example [DoKr, FrUh, McDS1], and consequently at some steps we will just give a sketch. At some points in our discussion we will make the assumption that $K = S^1$ and that its action on F is almost-free. However, some of the results remain valid in greater generality.

We begin by fixing Sobolev completions of our ambient space $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}$. This will allow us to use Banach manifold techniques as the implicit function theorem. Then we define the different moduli spaces appearing in the thesis. It is important to observe that rather than using equations (1.2), we consider suitable perturbations of them (3.1). This is done because we want to get smooth moduli, and without perturbing the equations we can not assure smoothness in general. We compute the dimension of the moduli and we prove that the moduli spaces obtained from different perturbations are cobordant.

3.1 Sobolev completions

Let $E \to X$ be a principal K-bundle and let $\mathfrak{k}_E = E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{k}$. Fix a real number $p > 2$. We will consider the completion $\mathscr{A}_{L_1^p}$ of the space of connections $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{A}^E$ on E with respect to the L_1^p norm. This is defined by using a fixed smooth connection $A_0 \in \mathscr{A}$ and then putting $\mathscr{A}_{L_1^p} = A_0 + \Omega^1(\mathfrak{k}_E)_{L_1^p}$. The space $\mathscr{A}_{L_1^p}$ is a Banach manifold, which is independent of the particular choice of A_0. In section 1.1.6 we saw how to construct a complex structure $I(A)$ on $\mathcal{F}$ from any connection A on E. The same thing can be done for connections A lying in $\mathscr{A}_{L_k^p}$. We obtain the following result.

Lemma 3.1.1. *Suppose that* $X = \mathbb{D}$ *is the unit disk and that we have a trivialisation* $E \simeq K \times \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$. *Let us take a connection* $A = d + \alpha$, *where* $\alpha \in \Omega^1(\mathfrak{k}_E)_{L^p_k}$. *Then the complex structure* $I(A)$ *on* $\mathcal{F} \simeq F \times \mathbb{D}$ *lies in* $\Omega^0(\operatorname{End} T(F \times \mathbb{D}))_{L^p_k}$.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^E = E \times_K F$ be the associated bundle and let $\mathscr{S} = \mathscr{S}^E = \Gamma(\mathcal{F})$. Take any embedding $\iota : \mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$. We define the distance $d_{L^p_1}$ between two sections Φ and Φ' to be the sum of the L^p_1 norms of the difference of the components of $\iota \circ \Phi$ and $\iota \circ \Phi'$. This is a metric on $\mathscr{S}$. We consider the completion $\mathscr{S}_{L^p_1}$ of $\mathscr{S}$ with respect to the metric $d_{L^p_1}$. The space $\mathscr{S}_{L^p_1}$ is a Banach manifold. By our choice of p we have a compact embedding $L^p_1 \hookrightarrow C^0$. Consequently, two nearby elements in $\mathscr{S}$ with respect to $d_{L^p_1}$ are nearby pointwise. This implies that all the elements in $\mathscr{S}_{L^p_1}$ are continuous sections. Furthermore, the completion $\mathscr{S}_{L^p_1}$ is independent of the embedding ι. This stems from the fact that any smooth map $F : V \to W$ of vector bundles over an n-dimensional manifold which fixes the zero section induces a continuous map from $\Omega^0(V)_{L^p_k}$ to $\Omega^0(W)_{L^p_k}$ whenever $pk > n$.

Since there is a Sobolev multiplication $L^p_1 \otimes L^p_1 \to L^p$, for any section $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}_{L^p_1}$ and any connection $A \in \mathscr{A}_{L^p_1}$ the covariant derivative $d_A\Phi$ lies in $\Omega^0(\Phi^* T\mathcal{F}_v)_{L^p}$ and $\overline{\partial}_A\Phi$ lies in $\Omega^{0,1}(\Phi^* T\mathcal{F}_v)_{L^p}$.

In a similar way, for any manifold M we define a metric $d_{L^p_1}$ on $\operatorname{Map}(X, M)$ by using an embedding $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ and denote $\operatorname{Map}(X, M)_{L^p_1}$ the completion.

Finally, we consider the completion $\mathscr{G}_{L^p_2}$ of the gauge group $\mathscr{G}$ with respect to the L^p_2 norm. The group $\mathscr{G}_{L^p_2}$ is a Banach Lie group and it acts smoothly on $\mathscr{A}_{L^p_1}$ and on $\mathscr{S}_{L^p_1}$. Its Lie algebra is $\operatorname{Lie}(\mathscr{G}_{L^p_2}) = \Omega^0(\mathfrak{k}_E)_{L^p_2}$.

3.2 The moduli spaces

We begin introducing some notation. Let V and W be two complex vector spaces. We will denote $\operatorname{Hom}^{1,0}(V, W)$ (resp. $\operatorname{Hom}^{0,1}(V, W)$) the set of complex linear (resp. complex antilinear) maps from V to W. We obviously have

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V, W) = \operatorname{Hom}^{1,0}(V, W) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}^{0,1}(V, W).$$

3.2.1 Moduli of σ-holomorphic curves

Let M be a compact almost Kaehler manifold. Let $\Sigma_M = \operatorname{Hom}^{0,1}(TX, TM)$ (these are sections on $X \times M$, and the vector bundles should be taken to be the pullbacks by the two projections). Let $B \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ be any class and let $\sigma \in \Sigma_M$. We define

$$\mathcal{M}_\sigma(B) = \mathcal{M}^M_\sigma(B) = \{\Phi \in \operatorname{Map}(X, M)_{L^p_1} |\ \overline{\partial}\Phi = \sigma,\ \Phi_*[X] = B\}.$$

This is the moduli of σ-perturbed holomorphic curves on M. Following Ruan we define for any $\sigma \in \Sigma_M$ a complex structure I_σ on $X \times M$ as

$$I_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} I_X & 0 \\ \sigma & I_M \end{pmatrix},$$

where I_X and I_M are the complex structures of X and M and where the matrix is given with respect to the splitting $T(X \times M) = TX \oplus TM$ (as always, we omit the pullbacks). One can prove the following lemma (see lemma 3.1.1 in [Ru]), which allows to view perturbed holomorphic curves as genuine holomorphic curves in $X \times M$.

Lemma 3.2.1. *A map $\Phi : X \to M$ satisfies $\overline{\partial}\Phi = \sigma$ if and only if the map $\Phi^{\mathrm{id}} = (\mathrm{id}, \Phi) : X \to X \times M$ is holomorphic with respect to the complex structure I_σ.*

When the perturbation σ is zero we will usually write $\mathcal{M}^M(B)$ instead of $\mathcal{M}^M_\sigma(B)$.

3.2.2 Moduli of σ-twisted holomorphic curves

Recall that we denote by $EK \to BK$ the universal principal K-bundle and $F_K = EK \times_K F$ the Borel construction of F. The equivariant (co)homology of F is by definition the (co)homology of F_K. Denote $\pi_F : F_K \to BK$ the projection.

3.2.2.1 The space of perturbations

Let $E \to X$ be a principal K-bundle and let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^E$. Let

$$\Sigma'(E) = \mathrm{Hom}^{0,1}(\pi_X^{\mathcal{F}*}TX, T\mathcal{F}_v) \oplus \Omega^0(\mathfrak{k}_E),$$

where $\pi_X^{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \to X$ is the projection. The gauge group $\mathscr{G}$ of E acts on $\Sigma'(E)$, and we set $\Sigma(E)$ to be the fixed elements. Eventually, we will consider the completion of $\Sigma(E)$ with respect to suitable C^l norms. Observe that if $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in \Sigma(E)$, then $\sigma_2 \in \Omega^0(Z_{\mathfrak{k}})$, where $Z_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is the center of $\mathfrak{k}$.

3.2.2.2 The moduli space

Let $E \to X$ be a principal K-bundle, and let $\mathscr{G}$ be its gauge group. Let us fix a pair $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in \Sigma(E)$ and a central element $c \in \mathfrak{k}$. We will consider the space of pairs $(A, \Phi) \in \mathscr{A}^E \times \mathscr{S}^E$ which satisfy the following two equations

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\partial}_A\Phi = \sigma_1 \\ \Lambda F_A + \mu(\Phi) = c + \sigma_2. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

We will call any pair satisfying these equations a **σ-twisted holomorphic curve over X (σ-THC** for short). When $\sigma = 0$ we will call the solutions twisted holomorphic curves or THCs. For any homotopy class of sections $[\Phi_0] \in \mathscr{S}^E$ we will write the space of σ-THCs (A, Φ) such that $[\Phi] = [\Phi_0]$ as

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(E, [\Phi_0], c) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{F,K}_\sigma(E, [\Phi_0], c).$$

Since the sections (σ_1, σ_2) are gauge invariant and the complex structure is also invariant under the action of K, it turns out that the space $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(E, [\Phi_0], c)$ is invariant under the action of the identity component of the gauge group. However, it is not necessarily invariant under the action of the full gauge group, since in general there may exist a section Φ and a gauge transformation $g \in \mathscr{G}$ such that Φ and $g\Phi$ are not homotopic. (This, of course, does not happen when F is a vector space.)

Let $P_K(X)$ be the set of (topological isomorphism classes of) K principal bundles over X. Let $\eta : P_K(X) \to H_2(BK; \mathbb{Z})$ be the map which sends a bundle $E \to X$ to $c_{E*}[X]$, where $c_E : X \to BK$ is the classifying map of E. In lemma A.3.2 of the appendix we prove that η is a bijection. There exists a map $\rho_{E*} : H_*(\mathcal{F}) \to H_*(F_K)$ which is invariant under the action of the gauge group $\mathscr{G}$ on $H_*(\mathcal{F})$ and which lifts c_{E*}: it is defined by fixing an isomorphism $\phi : E \simeq c_E^* EK$, taking the induced isomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{F} \simeq c_E^* F_K$ and putting $\rho_{E*} = \psi_*$ (see lemma A.4.2 in the appendix for a proof that this is independent of ϕ and that, consequently, this map is $\mathscr{G}$ invariant).

Let $B \in H_2(F_K)$ be a class such that $\pi_{F*} B = \eta(E)$. We define

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(B,c) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma^{F,K}(B,c) &= \{(A,\Phi) \in \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S} \mid \text{ satisfying (3.1) and } \rho_{E*}\Phi_*[X] = B\} \\ &= \coprod_{\rho_{E*}(\Phi_0)_*[X]=B} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma^{F,K}(E, [\Phi_0], c).\end{aligned}$$

The space $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(B,c)$ is invariant under the action of $\mathscr{G}$. We define the **moduli space of σ-THCs** to be the quotient

$$\mathcal{M}_\sigma(B,c) = \mathcal{M}_\sigma^{F,K}(B,c) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma^{F,K}(B,c)/\mathscr{G}.$$

3.2.3 Extended moduli of σ-twisted holomorphic curves

Here we keep the notation of the preceeding section. Let us fix a base point $x_0 \in X$, and let $\mathscr{G}_0 = \{g \in \mathscr{G} \mid g(x_0) = 1\}$. Note that $\mathscr{G}/\mathscr{G}_0 = K$ and that $\mathscr{G}_0$ acts freely on the space of connections $\mathscr{A}^E$.

For any equivariant homology class $B \in H_2(F_K; \mathbb{Z})$ we define the **extended moduli space of σ-THCs** to be

$$\mathcal{N}_\sigma(B,c) = \mathcal{N}_\sigma^{F,K}(B,c) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma^{F,K}(B,c)/\mathscr{G}_0.$$

3.2.4 The complex structure

All the moduli spaces that we have defined above depend on the complex structure of the almost complex manifold F. Later it will be convenient to stress this dependence, and we will specify the complex structure with a subscript. So for a complex structure I on F we will write $\mathcal{M}_{I,\sigma}(B)$, $\mathcal{M}_{I,\sigma}(B,c)$ and $\mathcal{N}_{I,\sigma}(B,c)$ (note that in the first moduli B is a homology class of F, whereas in the other ones it is a homology class of F_K).

3.3 Local structure

3.3.1 The deformation complex

Let $[(A,\Phi)] \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma(B,c)$ be any gauge equivalence class and consider the sequence of maps

$$\mathcal{C}^\bullet_{A,\Phi} : C^0_{A,\Phi} \xrightarrow{d_1} C^1_{A,\Phi} \xrightarrow{d_2} C^2_{A,\Phi}, \tag{3.2}$$

where (recall that we denote $\mathfrak{k}_E = E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{k}$)

$$\begin{aligned} C^0_{A,\Phi} &= \Omega^0(\mathfrak{k}_E)_{L^p_2}, \\ C^1_{A,\Phi} &= \Omega^1(\mathfrak{k}_E)_{L^p_1} \oplus \Omega^0(\Phi^* T\mathcal{F}_v)_{L^p_1}, \\ C^2_{A,\Phi} &= \Omega^2(\mathfrak{k}_E)_{L^p} \oplus \Omega^{0,1}(\Phi^* T\mathcal{F}_v)_{L^p}, \end{aligned}$$

and where d_1 is the infinitesimal action of $\mathscr{G}_{L^p_2}$ (recall that $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathscr{G}_{L^p_2}) = \Omega^0(\mathfrak{k}_E)_{L^p_2}$), and d_2 is the linearisation of equations (3.1). More precisely, for any $\theta \in \Omega^0(\mathfrak{k}_E)_{L^p_2}$ and for any $(\alpha,\phi) \in \Omega^1(\mathfrak{k}_E)_{L^p_1} \oplus \Omega^0(\Phi^* T\mathcal{F}_v)_{L^p_1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(\theta) &= -d_A\theta + \theta \cdot \Phi, \\ d_2(\alpha,\phi) &= \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda d_A \alpha + \langle d\mu(\Phi), \phi \rangle_{T\mathcal{F}} \\ \overline{\partial}_{A,\nabla}\phi + \alpha \cdot \phi + C(A,\Phi,\sigma)(\alpha,\phi) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

The operator $\overline{\partial}_{A,\nabla}$ is the composition of the covariant derivative

$$d_{A,\nabla} : \Omega^0(\Phi^* T\mathcal{F}_v)_{L^p_1} \to \Omega^1(\Phi^* T\mathcal{F}_v)_{L^p}$$

(see section A.1 in the appendix) with the projection $\Omega^1(\Phi^* T\mathcal{F}_v) \to \Omega^{0,1}(\Phi^* T\mathcal{F}_v)$ (it is a Cauchy-Riemann operator in the vertical direction).

C is a compact operator which depends on the derivative of σ_1, on the connection ∇, on TF, and on how we identify a neighbourhood of $\Phi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F})$ with a neigbourhood of the zero section of $\Omega^0(\Phi^* T\mathcal{F}_v)$. If this identification is made through the exponential map, $\sigma_1 = 0$ and ∇ has torsion equal to $\frac{1}{4}N_J$, where N_J is the Nijenhuis operator of F, then the dependence of C on ∇ can be given in terms of N_J (see [McDS1] p. 28). We will not give a precise form of it because it is unnecessary for our purposes. The point is that the operator $\overline{\partial}_{A,\nabla}\phi + \alpha \cdot \phi$ has the same symbol as the (vertical) Cauchy-Riemann equation, and hence is elliptic and its index can be computed (see below).

On the other hand, $d\mu(\Phi)$ denotes the section of $\Phi^* T\mathcal{F}_v \otimes \mathfrak{k}^*_E$ which arises from extending globally the derivative $d\mu \in \Omega^1(F;\mathfrak{k}^*)$ of the moment map (this is possible thanks to the K-equivariance of μ).

Using the fact that (A,Φ) solves the equations (3.1), one can prove that (3.2) is a complex, that is, $d_2 \circ d_1 = 0$. (Just apply the chain rule to the identity expressing the gauge invariance of the set of solutions to (3.1).) The complex (3.2) is called the deformation complex of $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(B,c)$ at $[(A,\Phi)]$. Denote $H^0_{A,\Phi}$, $H^1_{A,\Phi}$ and $H^2_{A,\Phi}$ its cohomology groups. Using again the fact that the pair (A,Φ) solves (3.1) one can prove that the complex (3.2) is elliptic, so $H^0_{A,\Phi}$, $H^1_{A,\Phi}$ and $H^2_{A,\Phi}$ are finite dimensional vector spaces. We will use them to give local models of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(B,c)$.

3.3.2 Index of the deformation complex

Since the complex (3.2) is elliptic, the operator

$$d_1^* + d_2 : C_1 \to C_0 \oplus C_2$$

is Fredholm, and so it has a well defined index

$$\operatorname{Ind}(d_1^* + d_2) = -\operatorname{Ind}(\mathcal{C}^\bullet_{A,\Phi}) = \dim H^1_{A,\Phi} - (\dim H^0_{A,\Phi} + \dim H^2_{A,\Phi}).$$

This integer can be computed by means of Atiyah-Singer index theorem. It is easier, however, to deform the operator $d_1^* + d_2$ by adding to it a compact operator, and then compute the index of the resulting operator (which will coincide with that of $d_1^* + d_2$). So we take instead of $d_1^* + d_2$ the operator

$$D(\alpha, \phi) = (-{d_A}^*\alpha, \Lambda d_A\alpha, \overline{\partial}_{A,\nabla}\phi)$$

In other words, we are splitting the complex (3.2) as the sum of these two complexes

$$\mathcal{C}^\bullet_A : \Omega^0(\mathfrak{k}_E)_{L^p_2} @ > d_A >> \Omega^1(\mathfrak{k}_E)_{L^p_1} @ > d_A >> \Omega^2(\mathfrak{k}_E)_{L^p}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}^\bullet_\Phi : 0 @ >>> \Omega^0(\Phi^* T\mathcal{F}_v)_{L^p_1} @ > \overline{\partial}_{A,\nabla} >> \Omega^{0,1}(\Phi^* T\mathcal{F}_v)_{L^p}$$

(note that we have changed the sign of the first map in the complex $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_A$ and we have omitted the contraction Λ in the second map; this is irrelevant when computing the index of the complex).

3.3.2.1 The index of the complex $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_A$

From now on we will often omit the subscripts denoting Sobolev completions, which will be implicitly assumed. Let $\mathfrak{g}_E = E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{g}$. Consider the map $f : \Omega^0(\mathfrak{k}_E) \oplus \Omega^2(\mathfrak{k}_E) \to \Omega^0(\mathfrak{g}_E)$ given by $f(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha + \mathbf{i} * \beta$, where $*$ denotes the Hodge star operator, and the map $g : \Omega^{0,1}(\mathfrak{g}_E) \to \Omega^1(\mathfrak{k}_E)$ given by $g(\theta) = \theta + \overline{\theta}$. Both maps are isomorphisms and rend commutative the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega^0(\mathfrak{k}_E) \oplus \Omega^2(\mathfrak{k}_E) \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "d_A + d_A^*"'] & \Omega^0(\mathfrak{g}_E) \arrow[d, "\overline{\partial}_A"'] \\
\Omega^1(\mathfrak{k}_E) & \Omega^{0,1}(\mathfrak{g}_E). \arrow[l, "g"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.4}$$

This implies that the index of the complex $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_A$ is equal to the index of this other complex

$$\mathcal{C}^\bullet_{\overline{\partial}_A} : \Omega^0(\mathfrak{g}_E) \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}_A} \Omega^{0,1}(\mathfrak{g}_E).$$

(We have omitted the Sobolev completions; recall that, thanks to elliptic regularity, the index of the complexes is independent of the chosen Sobolev completion.) The complex $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_{\overline{\partial}_A}$ is the deformation complex for the moduli space of complex structures on

$E_G = E\times_{\mathrm{Ad}}G$ compatible with the complex structure on X. In fact, the commutativity of diagram (3.4) is the infinitesimal version of the isomorphism between the moduli space of flat connections (whose deformation complex is $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_A$) and that of complex structures on E_G (this isomorphism is the Chern map; see section 2.1.2.3).

The index of $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_{\overline{\partial}_A}$ is computed using Riemann-Roch, and one obtains

$$\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{C}^\bullet_A) = \mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{C}^\bullet_{\overline{\partial}_A}) = \langle c_1(\mathfrak{g}_E), [X]\rangle + \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{k}(1-g).$$

3.3.2.2 The index of the complex $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_\Phi$

This is again given by Riemann-Roch, and it is equal to

$$\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{C}^\bullet_\Phi) = -\langle c_1(\Phi^* T\mathcal{F}_v), [X]\rangle - n(1-g),$$

where $2n$ is the real dimension of F (the minus sign accounts for the fact that the complex $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_\Phi$ is the Dolbeaut complex shifted one unit to the right).

3.3.2.3 The index of the complex $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_{A,\Phi}$

We have $\mathrm{Ind}(d_1^* + d_2) = -\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{C}^\bullet_A) - \mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{C}^\bullet_\Phi)$. Summing up our results we obtain that the index $\dim H^1_{A,\Phi} - (\dim H^0_{A,\Phi} + \dim H^2_{A,\Phi})$ (complex dimensions are meant) of the operator $d_1^* + d_2$ is equal to

$$-\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{C}^\bullet_{A,\Phi}) = \langle c_1(\Phi^* T\mathcal{F}_v) - c_1(\mathfrak{g}_E), [X]\rangle + (n - \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{k})(1-g).$$

Using the functoriality of the Chern classes we can write

$$\langle c_1(\Phi^* T\mathcal{F}_v), [X]\rangle = \langle c_1^K(TF), B\rangle,$$

where $c_1^K(TF) \in H^2_K(F)$ is the first equivariant Chern class of the tangent bundle TF, and

$$\langle c_1(\mathfrak{g}_E), [X]\rangle = \langle (\pi^{F_K})^* c_1^K(\mathfrak{g}), B\rangle,$$

where $c_1^K(\mathfrak{g}) \in H^*_K(\{\mathrm{pt}\})$ is the first equivariant Chern class of the bundle $\mathfrak{g} \to \{\mathrm{pt}\}$ viewed as a K bundle using the adjoint action of K on $\mathfrak{g}$.

So the previous formula for the index of $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_{A,\Phi}$ may be rewritten as follows

$$-\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{C}^\bullet_{A,\Phi}) = \langle c_1^K(TF) - (\pi^{F_K})^* c_1^K(\mathfrak{g}), B\rangle + (n - \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{k})(1-g). \tag{3.5}$$

This number is called the **complex virtual dimension** of the moduli space. Under certain transversality conditions to be specified below it coincides with the actual dimension of the moduli.

3.3.3 Local models of the moduli space

In this subsection we give some results on how the deformation complex allows to model neighbourhoods of given elements in the moduli of σ-THCs. The obtained models are called Kuranishi models. These results are standard, and they are proved using the implicit function theorem for Banach manifolds and the fact that the deformation complex is elliptic. For details see for example pp. 137–139 in [DoKr].

Lemma 3.3.1. *Let* $[(A,\Phi)] \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma(B,c)$ *and denote* $\Gamma_{A,\Phi}$ *the stabiliser of* (A,Φ) *in* $\mathscr{G}$*. There exists a neighbourhood* U *of* $0 \in H^1_{A,\Phi}$ *and a* $\Gamma_{A,\Phi}$ *equivariant smooth map*

$$f : U \to H^2_{A,\Phi}$$

with vanishing derivative at 0 *such that the quotient* $f^{-1}(0)/\Gamma_{A,\Phi}$ *models a neighbourhood of* $[(A,\Phi)]$ *in* $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(B,c)$*.*

Lemma 3.3.2. *Let* $[(A,\Phi)] \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma(B,c)$*. Then* $H^0_{A,\Phi} = \operatorname{Lie}\Gamma_{A,\Phi}$*.*

Corollary 3.3.3. *Let us take any* $[(A,\Phi)] \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma(B,c)$*, and let us suppose that* $H^0_{A,\Phi} = H^2_{A,\Phi} = 0$*. Then there is a neighbourhood of* $[(A,\Phi)]$ *in* $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(B,c)$ *which is diffeomorphic to* $\mathbb{R}^N$*, where*

$$N = \dim H^1_{A,\Phi} = 2(\langle c_1^K(TF) - (\pi^{F_K})^* c_1^K(\mathfrak{g}), B\rangle + (n - \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{k})(1-g)).$$

The tangent vector space $T_{A,\Phi}\mathcal{M}_\sigma(B,c)$ *can be canonically identified with* $H^1_{A,\Phi}$*. In particular, the dimensional of the moduli space on a neighbourhood of* $[(A,\Phi)]$ *coincides with the virtual dimension.*

Corollary 3.3.4. *Let* $[(A,\Phi)] \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma(B,c)$ *be such that* $H^0_{A,\Phi} = H^2_{A,\Phi} = 0$*. Then* $T_{A,\Phi}\mathcal{M}_\sigma(B,c)$ *is canonically oriented.*

Proof. Since the deformation complex $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_{A,\Phi}$ is homotopically equivalent to $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_A \oplus \mathcal{C}^\bullet_\Phi$ an orientation of $H^1_{A,\Phi}$ is the same as an orientation of $H^1_A \oplus H^1_\Phi$, where H^*_A and H^*_Φ denote the cohomology of the complexes $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_A$ and $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_\Phi$ respectively. Now, the complex $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_\Phi$ is a complex of modules over $\mathbb{C}$, so its cohomology groups are complex vector spaces and hence have a canonical orientation. So H^1_Φ is canonically oriented. On the other hand, diagram (3.4) shows that $H^1_A = H^1_{\overline{\partial}_A}$ canonically and the complex $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_{\overline{\partial}_A}$ is also one of modules over $\mathbb{C}$, so $H^1_{\overline{\partial}_A}$ (and hence H^1_A) has a canonical orientation as well. □

3.4 Smoothness of $\mathcal{M}^{F,S^1}_\sigma(B,c)$ for semi-free S^1 actions

In the rest of this chapter we will restrict to the case $K = S^1$ and we will assume that the action of S^1 on F is semi-free. (This means that the action on the complementary $F \backslash F^{S^1}$ of the fixed point set is free.) Note that some of the results that follow, however, can be proved in greater generality.

We begin by obtaining some consequences from our assumptions. Since $K = S^1$, we have $Z_{\mathfrak{k}} = \mathfrak{k} = \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}$, $c_1^K(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{k} = 1$. Consequently, formula (3.5) gives in this case the following value for the complex virtual dimension:

$$\langle c_1^{S^1}(TF), B\rangle + (n-1)(1-g). \tag{3.6}$$

On the other hand, for any S^1 principal bundle E the associated bundle $\mathfrak{k}_E = E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}$ is the trivial bundle with fibre $\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}$.

Fix $B \in H_2(F_K;\mathbb{Z})$ and $c \in \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}$, and write $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(c) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(B,c)$ and $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(c) = \mathcal{M}_\sigma(B,c)$. In this section we will study the smoothness of $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(c)$ for generic choices of $c \in \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$. Will omit in the sequel the subscripts denoting the Sobolev completions of the spaces $\mathscr{A}$, $\mathscr{S}$ and $\mathscr{G}$ (which will be implicitly assumed).

Let $E \to X$ be the unique S^1 principal bundle such that $\eta(E) = \pi_{F*}(B)$ (see lemma A.3.2). Let $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{A}^E$ and $\mathscr{S} = \mathscr{S}^E$. Then we have $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(c) \subset \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}$. Let us write

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = X \times F^{S^1} = E \times_{S^1} F^{S^1} \subset \mathcal{F} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathscr{S}^* = \{\Phi \in \mathscr{S} \mid \Phi(X) \nsubseteq \mathcal{F}_0\}.$$

Let

$$C_0 = \mu(F^{S^1}) - 2\pi\mathbf{i}\frac{\deg(E)}{\mathrm{Vol}(X)} \subset \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}.$$

This is a finite subset, since F^{S^1} is a finite union of compact connected submanifolds and μ is locally constant on F^{S^1}.

Lemma 3.4.1. *Let $c \in \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R} \setminus C_0$. For any small enough (in C^0) perturbation σ we have $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(c) \subset \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}^*$. In other words, if (A,Φ) is supported on E and satisfies equations (3.1) then $\Phi(X) \nsubseteq \mathcal{F}_0$.*

Proof. Let $\sigma = (\sigma_1,\sigma_2) \in \Sigma(E)$ be a perturbation and suppose that the pair (A,Φ) is supported on E, $\Phi(X) \subset \mathcal{F}_0$, and that

$$\Lambda F_A + \mu(\Phi) = c + \sigma_2.$$

Since X is connected and $\Phi(X) \subset \mathcal{F}_0$, $\mu(\Phi)$ takes a constant value $c_0 \in \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}$. Integrating the equation above over X and using Chern-Weil theory we deduce

$$c + \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(X)}\int \sigma_2 = c_0 - 2\pi\mathbf{i}\frac{\deg(E)}{\mathrm{Vol}(X)},$$

and if $|\sigma_2|_{C^0} < d(c, C_0)$ this is a contradiction. □

From now on we will take $c \in \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R} \setminus C_0$. Let $\Sigma_c(E) = \{(\sigma_1,\sigma_2) |\ |\sigma_2|_{C^0} < d(c,C_0)\}$.

Corollary 3.4.2. *If $\sigma \in \Sigma_c(E)$ then the action of $\mathscr{G}$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(c)$ is free.*

Proof. The only points in $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}$ whose stabiliser is nontrivial are the pairs (A,Φ) such that $\Phi(X) \subset \mathcal{F}_0$ (these are fixed by the constant gauge transformations). □

Lemma 3.4.3. *Let $\sigma \in \Sigma_c(E)$ and $(A,\Phi) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(c)$. Then $\Phi(X) \cap \mathcal{F}_0$ is a finite set of points.*

Proof. Suppose that $\Phi(X)$ and $\mathcal{F}_0$ meet at infinite points. Let $\theta \in S^1$. Both Φ and $\theta \cdot \Phi$ are perturbed holomorphic curves on $\mathcal{F}$ and by lemma 3.2.1 Φ^{id} and $(\theta \cdot \Phi)^{\mathrm{id}}$ are holomorphic curves on $X \times \mathcal{F}$ with respect to the complex structure obtained from σ_1. By our assumption Φ^{id} and $(\theta \cdot \Phi)^{\mathrm{id}}$ meet in an infinite number of points. But since both curves are everywhere injective, a result of McDuff (see lemma 5.1.4) implies that they have the same image. So $\Phi = \theta \cdot \Phi$ and since this is true for any $\theta \in S^1$, we conclude that $\Phi(X)$ must be included in $\mathcal{F}_0$. □

Theorem 3.4.4. *Let $c \in \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R} \setminus C_0$. There is a subset $\Sigma_c^{\mathrm{reg}}(E) \subset \Sigma_c(E)$ of Baire of the second category*[1] *such that for any $\sigma \in \Sigma_c^{\mathrm{reg}}(E)$ the moduli of σ-THCs $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(c)$ is a smooth oriented manifold of real dimension equal to*

$$2\langle c_1^K(TF), B\rangle + 2(n-1)(1-g).$$

Proof. Our proof will follow the ideas of similar results in Donaldson theory and Gromov theory (see for example [FrUh, McDS1]). Let us take a big positive integer $l > 0$ (later on we will specify how big l has to be) and consider the completion $\Sigma_c(E)^l$ of $\Sigma_c(E)$ with respect to the C^l topology. Let $\mathscr{B}^l = \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}^* \times \Sigma_c(E)^l$. We consider the universal set of THCs

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Sigma(c)^l = \{(A, \Phi, \sigma) \mid \sigma \in \Sigma_c(E)^l,\ (A, \Phi) \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-THC and } \rho_{E*}\Phi_*[X] = B\}.$$

(this is a subset of $\mathscr{B}^l$). We prove that $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Sigma(c)^l$ is a smooth Banach manifold as follows. There is a Banach vector bundle $\mathcal{W} \to \mathscr{B}^l$ whose fibre over (A, Φ, σ) is $\Omega^{0,1}(\Phi^* T\mathcal{F}_v) \oplus \Omega^1(\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R})$ and a section $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}_{c,\sigma} : \mathscr{B}^l \to \mathcal{W}$ which sends any (A, Φ, σ) to $(\overline{\partial}_A \Phi - \sigma_1, \Lambda F_A + \mu(\Phi) - c - \sigma_2)$. We have by definition $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Sigma(c)^l = \mathbf{S}^{-1}(0)$. To prove that $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Sigma(c)^l$ is smooth it is enough to verify that $\mathbf{S}$ is transverse to the zero section.

So let $(A, \Phi, \sigma) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Sigma(c)^l$. The tangent space of (A, Φ, σ) at $\mathscr{B}^l$ is

$$T_{(A,\Phi,\sigma)}\mathscr{B}^l = \Omega^1(\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}) \oplus \Omega^0(s^* T\mathcal{F}_v) \oplus (\mathrm{Hom}^{0,1}(TX, s^* T\mathcal{F}_v)^{S^1}_{C^l} \oplus \Omega^0(\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R})_{C^l}),$$

where $\mathrm{Hom}^{0,1}(TX, s^* T\mathcal{F}_v)^{S^1}_{C^l}$ is the set of C^l sections $s_1 \in \mathrm{Hom}^{0,1}(TX, s^* T\mathcal{F}_v)$ which satisfy $s_1(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}^{0,1}(T_x X, T_{\Phi(x)}\mathcal{F}_v)^{S^1}$ for any $x \in X$ such that $\Phi_0(x) \in \mathcal{F}_0$ (this is so due to the gauge invariance of the elements of $\Sigma_c(E)^l$).

The differential of the map $\mathbf{S}$ at the point (A, Φ, σ) is

$$\begin{array}{rcl} D\mathbf{S} : T_{(A,\Phi,\sigma)}\mathscr{B}^l & @>>> & \Omega^{0,1}(s^* T\mathcal{F}_v)_{L^p} \oplus \Omega^0(\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R})_{L^p} \\ (\alpha, \phi, (s_1, s_2)) & \mapsto & \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\partial}_{A,\nabla}\phi + \alpha \cdot \phi + C(A, \Phi, \sigma)(\alpha, \phi) + s_1 \\ \Lambda d_A \alpha + \langle d\mu(\Phi), \phi\rangle_{T\mathcal{F}} + s_2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{array}$$

(See (3.3).) The image of $D\mathbf{S}$ is closed because modulo the infinitesimal action of the Lie algebra of the gauge group $\mathscr{G}$ it is an elliptic operator. So if $D\mathbf{S}$ were not exhaustive then there would exist a nonzero element $(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \Omega^{0,1}(s^* T\mathcal{F}_v)_{L^q} \oplus \Omega^0(\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R})_{L^q}$ $(1/p + 1/q = 1)$ such that for any $(\alpha, \phi, (s_1, s_2))$

$$\int_X \langle \eta_1, \overline{\partial}_{A,\nabla}\phi + \alpha \cdot \phi + C(A, \Phi, \sigma)(\alpha, \phi) + s_1\rangle = 0 \qquad (3.7)$$

---

[1] We recall that a set of Baire of the second category is by definition any countable intersection of dense open subsets of a topological space.

and

$$\int_X \langle \eta_2, \Lambda d_A\alpha + \langle d\mu(\Phi), \phi\rangle_{T\mathcal{F}} + s_2\rangle = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Let $X_0 = \{x \in X \mid \Phi(x) \in \mathcal{F}_0\}$. Any section $s_1 \in \mathrm{Hom}^{0,1}(TX, s^*T\mathcal{F}_v)$ whose support is in $X \setminus X_0$ lies inside $\mathrm{Hom}^{0,1}(TX, s^*T\mathcal{F}_v)^{S^1}$. This means that η_1 has to be zero in $X \setminus X_0$ because otherwise one could take $\alpha = \phi = 0$ and s_1 a suitable bump function which would make the integral (3.7) nonzero. Now, by lemma 3.4.3 the set X_0 is finite. So η_1 has to vanish identically. Similarly η_2 has to be zero because otherwise one could make $\alpha = \phi = 0$ and s_2 a bump function making the integral in (3.8) nonzero.

This proves that $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Sigma(c)^l$ is a smooth Banach manifold. Now, by corollary 3.4.2 the action of $\mathscr{G}$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Sigma(c)^l$ is free. Uhlenbeck's gauge fixing theorem (see theorem 4.1.2) implies that there are local slices for this action. Hence the quotient $\mathcal{M}_\Sigma(c)^l = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Sigma(c)^l/\mathscr{G}$ is a smooth Banach manifold.

We now consider the projection $\pi_\Sigma : \mathcal{M}_\Sigma(c)^l \to \Sigma_c(E)^l$. The map π_Σ is Fredholm and its index depends on the homology class B (see the computations in section 3.3.2). If $l \geq \mathrm{Ind}(\pi_\Sigma) + 2$ then the Sard-Smale theorem [Sm] tells us that the set of regular values $\Sigma_c^{\mathrm{reg}}(E)^l \subset \Sigma_c(E)^l$ is of the second category of Baire. For any $\sigma \in \Sigma_c^{\mathrm{reg}}(E)^l$ and any $(A, \Phi) \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma(c)$ the second cohomology group $H^2_{A,\Phi}$ vanishes, and hence we can apply lemma (3.3.1) and obtain that the dimension of $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(c)$ is

$$\langle c_1^K(TF), B\rangle + (n-1)(1-g).$$

To finish the argument we deduce from the preceeding reasoning that $\Sigma_c^{\mathrm{reg}}(E) \subset \Sigma_c(E)$ is of the second category with respect to the C^∞ topology. The idea is due to Taubes (see p. 36 in [McDS1]) and goes as follows. One considers for any $K > 0$ the set $\Sigma_c^{\mathrm{reg},K}(E) \subset \Sigma_c(E)$ of perturbations σ such that for any $(A, \Phi) \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma(c)$ which satisfies $|d_A\Phi|_{C^0} \leq K$ the cohomology group $H^2_{A,\Phi}$ vanishes (that is, $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(c)$ is smooth at (A, Φ)). We obviously have

$$\Sigma_c^{\mathrm{reg}}(E) = \bigcap_{K>0} \Sigma_c^{\mathrm{reg},K}(E).$$

The set $\Sigma_c^{\mathrm{reg},K}(E)$ is open for any K. Indeed, its complementary is closed, since for any sequence $\sigma_n \in \Sigma_c(E)$ which converges to σ and $(A_n, \Phi_n) \in \mathcal{M}_{\sigma_n}(c)$ which satisfy $|d_{A_n}\Phi_n|_{C^0} \leq K$ for any n, one can take a subsequence converging to (A, Φ) (using nonlinear elliptic bootstrapping, see p. 192 in [McDS1]) and the property of being exhaustive is open. Then one uses the identity

$$\Sigma_c^{\mathrm{reg},K}(E) = \Sigma_c(E) \cap \Sigma_c^{\mathrm{reg},K}(E)^l$$

and the preceeding arguments to deduce that $\Sigma_c^{\mathrm{reg},K}(E)$ is dense. This concludes the reasoning (see pp. 36-37 in [McDS1] for more details on this last step).

Now, if $\sigma \in \Sigma_c^{\mathrm{reg}}(E)$, for any pair $(A, \Phi) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\sigma(c)$ the infinitesimal stabiliser $H^0_{A,\Phi} = 0$ by corollary 3.4.2 and the obstruction $H^2_{A,\Phi} = 0$ as well. Hence we have a smooth

Kuranish model of a neighbourhood of $[(A, \Phi)]$ in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(c)$. So we may apply corollary 3.3.3 to compute the dimension of the moduli (recall that in our case the formula given in corollary 3.3.3 reduces to formula (3.6)). Finally, corollary 3.3.4 implies that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(c)$ has a canonical orientation. $\square$

A similar argument proves that the cobordism class of $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(c)$ is independent of the particular choice of perturbation $\sigma \in \Sigma_c^{\mathrm{reg}}(E)$ and the invariant complex structure on F, and that it only depends on the connected component of $\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R} \setminus C_0$ in which c lies. More precisely,

Theorem 3.4.5. *Let c_0, c_1 belong to the same connected component of $\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}\setminus C_0$. For any pair of perturbations $\sigma_i \in \Sigma_{c_i}^{\mathrm{reg}}(E)$, $i = 0, 1$, one can find paths $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto c_t \in \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R} \setminus C_0$ and $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto \sigma_t \in \Sigma_{c_t}(E)$ such that*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\sigma_{[0,1]}}(c_{[0,1]}) = \bigcup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma_t}(c_t)$$

is a smooth oriented cobordism between $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma_0}(c_0)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma_1}(c_1)$. Likewise, any two moduli $\mathcal{M}_{I_{F,0},\sigma}(c)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{I_{F,1},\sigma}(c)$ arising from different complex structures $I_{F,0}, I_{F,1}$ on F are oriented cobordant.

3.5 Smoothness of $\mathcal{N}_\sigma^{F,S^1}(B, c)$ for semi-free S^1 actions

Fix a homology class $B \in H_2(F_K; \mathbb{Z})$ and write $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(c)$ for $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(B, c)$. Using exactly the same methods as in the preceeding section one can prove the following.

Theorem 3.5.1. *Let $c \in \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R} \setminus C_0$. For any $\sigma \in \Sigma_c^{\mathrm{reg}}(E)$ the extended moduli space $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(c)$ is a smooth oriented manifold of real dimension equal to*

$$2\langle c_1^{S^1}(TF), B\rangle + 2(n-1)(1-g) + 1$$

and the natural map $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(c) \to \mathcal{M}_\sigma(c)$ is a principal S^1 bundle. Furthermore, if c_0, c_1 belong to the same connected component of $\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R} \setminus C_0$ and $\sigma_i \in \Sigma_{c_i}^{\mathrm{reg}}(E)$, $i = 0, 1$, then there exist paths $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto (c_t, \sigma_t)$ such that $\sigma_t \in \Sigma_{c_t}(E)$ for any t and

$$\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_{[0,1]}}(c_{[0,1]}) = \bigcup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_t}(c_t)$$

is a smooth oriented cobordism between $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_0}(c_0)$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_1}(c_1)$. Likewise, any two extended moduli $\mathcal{N}_{I_{F,0},\sigma}(c)$ and $\mathcal{N}_{I_{F,1},\sigma}(c)$ arising from two different complex structures $I_{F,0}, I_{F,1}$ on F are oriented cobordant.

Chapter 4

Compactification of the moduli

In the previous chapter we defined the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{I,\sigma}(B,c)$ of THCs as a subset of $\mathscr{A}_{L_1^p} \times \mathscr{S}_{L_1^p}/\mathscr{G}_{L_2^p}$. So *a priori* the elements $[(A,\Phi)] \in \mathcal{M}_{I,\sigma}(B,c)$ are not necessarily smooth. In particular, it is not clear to what extent our moduli space depends on the Sobolev norms we have chosed to complete $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}$. In this chapter we will clarify the situation by proving that any pair $(A,\Phi) \in \mathscr{A}_{L_1^p} \times \mathscr{S}_{L_1^p}/\mathscr{G}_{L_2^p}$ which satisfies equations (1.2) is smooth. Moreover, the same thing can be proved if we take Sobolev norms different from the ones we have chosen, provided they are in a certain *reasonable* rank. The conclusion is that at least as a set, the moduli space does not depend on the Sobolev norm. This automatically implies that the structure of the moduli space as a differentiable manifold is intrinsic as well. Indeed, the deformation complex at any point of the moduli is elliptic. Hence, its cohomology groups (which model neighbourhoods of the moduli) are the same for any Sobolev norm we may use to complete the modules appearing in the complex.

The other thing we will do in this chapter is to give a compactification of the moduli space of twisted holomorphic curves. This compactification is inspired in Gromov's compactification theorem for holomorphic curves and makes use of Uhlenbeck's gauge fixing theorem. Note that the construction works for any compact Lie group K and without requiering any condition on the action on F.

4.1 Regularity of THCs

4.1.1 Preliminary results

We will use the following result on regularity of holomorphic curves (see [McDS1] pp. 179–194).

Lemma 4.1.1. *Let $p > 2$ and $k \geq 1$. Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open set with smooth boundary. Let M be a compact manifold with a complex structure $I \in \Omega^0(\operatorname{End} TM)_{L_k^p}$ and let $\phi \in \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma, M)_{L^p_{1,\mathrm{loc}}}$ satisfy $\overline{\partial}\phi = \eta$, where $\eta \in \Omega^{0,1}(\phi^* TM)_{L_k^p}$. Then*

$$\phi \in \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma, M)_{L^p_{k+1,\mathrm{loc}}}.$$

Moreover, for any compact subset $Q \subset \Sigma$ *there is a bound*

$$\|\phi\|_{L^p_{k+1}} \leq c\left(\|\phi\|_{L^p_k} + \|\eta\|_{L^p_k}\right)$$

where c *depends only on* p, Q, Σ *and* $\|I\|_{L^p_k}$.

We will also make use of Uhlenbeck's theorem, which says that any connection on the trivial bundle on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ is gauge equivalent to a connection in Coulomb gauge [Uh] (this is property *i)* in theorem 4.1.2). (Note that Uhlenbeck proves in [Uh] a theorem valid in any dimension.) As before, we write $\mathfrak{k}_E = E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{k}$.

Theorem 4.1.2. *Let* $p \geq 1$ *and consider the trivial principal* K*-bundle* $E = K \times \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ *on the unit disk* $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$. *There exist constants* $\delta > 0$ *and* $\epsilon > 0$ *such that any connection* $A' = d + \alpha'$ *on* P, *where* $\alpha' \in \Omega^1(\mathfrak{k}_E)_{L^p_1}$, *whose curvature* $F_{A'}$ *satisfies* $\|F_{A'}\|_{L^1} \leq \delta$, *is gauge equivalent by an element* $s \in \Omega^0(\mathbb{D}, K)_{L^p_2}$ *to a connection* $A = d + \alpha$ *where* α *satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} i)&\ d^*\alpha = 0, \\ ii)&\ x \cdot \alpha = 0 \text{ for any } x \in \partial\mathbb{D}, \\ iii)&\ \|\alpha\|_{L^p_1} \leq \epsilon \|F\|_{L^p_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.1.3. *Let* $A = d + \alpha$ *be a connection on the trivial principal bundle* $E = K \times \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ *which satisfies conditions i)-iii) in theorem 4.1.2. Suppose that the curvature* $F_A = d\alpha + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha, \alpha]$ *lies in* $\Omega^2(\mathfrak{k}_E)_{L^p_k}$, *where* $k \geq 1$. *Then* $\alpha \in \Omega^1(\mathfrak{k}_E)_{L^p_{k+1}}$ *and there is a bound*

$$\|\alpha\|_{L^p_{k+1}} \leq C(\|F_A\|_{L^p_k} + \|\alpha\|_{L^p_1}),$$

where C *depends on* k.

Proof. For $k \geq 1$ we have in real dimension 2 Sobolev multiplication $L^p_k \otimes L^p_k \to L^p_k$. On the other hand, the operator $d + d^*$ with the boundary condition *ii)* in theorem 4.1.2 is elliptic of degree 1. So, using the generalisation of Gårding's inequality to L^p spaces (see [GiTr]) we get for any k

$$\|\alpha\|_{L^p_{k+1}} \leq C_k(\|(d + d^*)\alpha\|_{L^p_k} + \|\alpha\|_{L^p_k}) = C_k(\|d\alpha\|_{L^p_k} + \|\alpha\|_{L^p_k}.$$

Combining this inequality with Sobolev multiplication and using induction the desired bound follows. □

In our case we will have natural upper bounds for the L^2 norm of the curvature of connections A appearing in pairs $[(A, \Phi)] \in \mathcal{M}_{I,\sigma}(B, c)$ (this bounds are obvious from the equations when F is compact, since then μ is bounded; for noncompact F the Yang–Mills–Higgs functional provides the upper bound, see theorem 1.2.18). Since over a compact domain such as X we have a continuous embedding $L^2 \hookrightarrow L^1$, we will be able to use the preceeding theorem.

4.1.2 Rescaling

Concerning the L^2 norm of the curvature, there is a crucial point which will be used several times in our discussion. In real dimension 2 the L^2 norm of the curvature of connections is not conformally invariant (this is in contrast with the situation of real dimension 4). The situation is even better for our purposes, since the curvature *transforms in the good way* under conformal maps, as the following lemma says.

Lemma 4.1.4. *Let $0 < r < 1$ be a real number. Let $A = d + \alpha$ be a connection on the trivial principal bundle $K \times \mathbb{D}_r \to \mathbb{D}_r$ over the disk $\mathbb{D}_r \subset \mathbb{C}$ of radius r. Consider the homotecy $\lambda_r : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}_r$ which sends any $z \in \mathbb{D}$ to $rz \in \mathbb{D}_r$. Take on $\mathbb{D}$ and $\mathbb{D}_r$ the metric induced by the canonical one on $\mathbb{C}$. Then*

$$\Lambda F_{\lambda_r^* A} = r^2 \Lambda F_A \text{ and } \|F_{\lambda_r^* A}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} = r^2 \|F_A\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{D}_r)}.$$

Proof. We have $F_{\lambda_r^* A} = \lambda_r^* F_A$. So for any $x \in \mathbb{D}$ and any $v_1, v_2 \in T_x\mathbb{D}$ we have

$$F_{\lambda_r^* A}(x)(v_1, v_2) = F_A(rx)(rv_1, rv_2) = r^2 F_A(rx)(v_1, v_2),$$

and this proves the first formula. To prove the second one observe that $|F_{\lambda_r^* A}(x)|^2 = r^4 |F_A(rx)|^2$ and use the change of variables formula. □

We will also use the following lemma, whose claim is analogous to the fact that the energy is conformally invariant in dimension 2. Its proof is an easy exercise.

Lemma 4.1.5. *Using the same notation as in the above lemma, let $\Phi : \mathbb{D}_r \to F$ be a L^p_1 section. Then*

$$\|d_{\lambda_r^* A}(\lambda_r^* \Phi)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} = \|d_A \Phi\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{D}_r)}.$$

These two lemmae imply the following. Let (A, Φ) be a pair consisting of a connection one form A on the trivial bundle $K \times \mathbb{D}_r \to \mathbb{D}_r$ and a section $\Phi : \mathbb{D}_r \to F \times \mathbb{D}_r$. Suppose that $|\mu| < C$. Then

$$\mathcal{YMH}_c(\lambda_r^*(A, \Phi)) \leq \mathcal{YMH}_c(A, \Phi) + \pi(C + |c|)^2,$$

the $\pi(C + |c|)^2$ summand accounting for the term $\|\mu - c\|^2_{L^2}$ in Yang–Mills–Higgs functional.

Another consequence is that if (A, Φ) is a THC on $\mathbb{D}_r$, then $\lambda_r^*(A, \Phi)$ is no longer a THC. This justifies the following definition. For any $\lambda \geq 0$ we will say that (A, Φ) is a λ-THC if the following two equations are satisfied

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\partial}_A \Phi = 0 \\ \Lambda F_A + \lambda \mu(\Phi) = \lambda c. \end{cases}$$

Now, if (A, Φ) is a THC on $\mathbb{D}_r$, then, by lemma 4.1.4, $\lambda_r^*(A, \Phi)$ is a r^2-THC on $\mathbb{D}$.

For any $x \in X$ and any $r > 0$ lower than the injectivity radius of X, $\psi_{x,r} : \mathbb{D} \to D(x; r)$ will denote in the sequel the diffeomorphism

$$\psi_{x,r}(z) = \exp_x(rz)$$

between the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ (viewed as a subset of T_xX) and the geodesic disk of radius r centered at x. The map $\psi_{x,r}$ will be called the geodesic chart of $D(x; r)$.

4.1.3 Regularity

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.1.6. *Suppose that the pair* $(A, \Phi) \in \mathscr{A}_{L^p_1} \times \mathscr{S}_{L^p_1}$ *satisfies the following equations*

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\partial}_A \Phi = \sigma_1 \\ \Lambda F_A + \mu(\Phi) = c + \sigma_2, \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

where $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in \Sigma$ *(so that* σ_1, σ_2 *are smooth). Then there is a gauge transformation* $g \in \mathscr{G}$ *such that both* $g^* A$ *and* $g\Phi$ *smooth.*

Proof. Let $x \in X$ be any point. Consider a geodesic disk $D(x; r)$ centered at x of radius $r > 0$, and write λ for the geodesic chart $\psi_{x,r}$. Denote (A', Φ') the pullback $(\lambda^* A, \lambda^* \Phi)$. Fix a smooth trivialisation $\lambda^* E \simeq K \times \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$. If r has been chosen small enough the metric $\lambda^* g(X)$ is very near to the flat metric on $\mathbb{D}$. Taking this into account, lemma 4.1.4 implies that for small enough r the L^2 norm of the curvature of $A' = \lambda^* A$, computed with the flat metric on $\mathbb{D}$, is smaller than the constant δ in theorem 4.1.2:

$$\|F_{A'}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} < \delta.$$

So we can take a gauge transformation $s \in \Omega^0(\mathbb{D}; K)_{L^p_2}$ such that $s^* A'$ satisfies *i)-iii)* in 4.1.2.

Let us write $(A'', \Phi'') = s(A', \Phi')$ for simplicity. We prove the smoothness of (A'', Φ'') by a two-step bootstrapping, using first lemma 4.1.1 and then lemma 4.1.3. Since the connection A'' lies in $\mathscr{A}_{L^p_1(\mathbb{D})}$, lemma 3.1.1 implies that $I(A'')$ is of class L^p_1. Now lemma 4.1.1 and the first equation in (4.1) gives $\Phi'' \in \mathscr{S}_{L^p_2(\mathbb{D})}$. We now go to the second equation in (4.1). Since $\Phi'' \in \mathscr{S}_{L^p_2(\mathbb{D})}$ we get that $F_{A''}$ has finite L^p_2 norm, and so by lemma 4.1.3 the connection $A'' \in \mathscr{A}_{L^p_3(\mathbb{D})}$. Now, by lemma 3.1.1, $I(A'')$ is of class L^p_3. We use lemma 4.1.1 again, and so on. At the end we deduce that (A'', Φ'') is smooth. Note that, since lemma 4.1.1 gives only interior regularity, we may have to stretch our disk a little bit each step. In any case, we end up with smoothness at any disk smaller that $\mathbb{D}$, say $\mathbb{D}_{1/2}$.

Observe also that if s' is any smooth gauge transformation then $((s's)^* A', (s's)^* \Phi')$ is still smooth. In particular, we can take s' near s^{-1} in the C^0 norm (since s is in L^p_2 and $L^p_2 \hookrightarrow C^0$). This means that the gauge transformation sending (A', Φ') to a smooth pair may be taken arbitrarily small in the C^0 norm.

So far we have proved that for any $x \in X$ there is a ball $D(x)$ centered at x and a gauge transformation $g_x : D(x) \to K$ defined on $D(x)$ which sends (A, Φ) to a smooth pair (over $D(x)$). At the intersection of two overlapping balls $D(x)$ and $D(x')$ the transformations g_x and $g_{x'}$ will differ by a smooth map $g : D(x) \cap D(x') \to K$ (recall that we used smooth trivialisations of the restriction of E to the balls $D(x)$). A standard gluing argument proves then that there exists the desired global gauge transformation.

We show for example how to glue g_x and $g_{x'}$ to a gauge $g_{x,x'}$. Take a smooth function ψ defined in a neighbourhood of $D(x) \cup D(x')$ such that $\psi|_{D(x) \setminus D(x')} = 1$ and

$\psi|_{D(x')\setminus D(x)} = 0$ (we may have to restrict to the complementary of a small tubular neighbourhood of the boundary of $D(x) \cup D(x')$ for this ψ to exist). By a previous comment we may assume, without lose of generality, that $|g_x|$ and $|g_{x'}|$ are small enough so that at $D(x) \cap D(x')$ we can write $g_x g_{x'}^{-1} = \exp(h)$, for h smooth. We then define

$$g_{x,x'} = \begin{cases} g_x & \text{at } D(x) \setminus D(x') \\ \exp(\psi h) g_{x'} & \text{at } D(x) \cap D(x') \\ g_{x'} & \text{at } D(x') \setminus D(x) \end{cases}$$

□

4.2 Equivariant Gromov-Schwartz lemma

Take a connection ∇_0^F on TF which is compatible with the complex structure I_F and whose $(1,1)$-torsion vanishes (that such a connection exists is proved, for example, in [Wo]). Let ∇^F be the K-invariant connection obtained from averaging ∇_0^F. Since I_F is K-invariant, it turns out that the connection ∇^F still has vanishing $(1,1)$-torsion.

Lemma 4.2.1. *Let $c \in \mathfrak{k}$ be a central element. There exist a constant $\epsilon > 0$ with the following property. Let $X = \mathbb{D}_r \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the disk of radius $r > 0$ with the standard metric. Consider a pair (A, Φ), where $A \in \Omega^1(X; \mathfrak{k})$ is a connection one form on the trivial bundle $K \times X \to X$ and $\Phi : X \to F \times X$ is a section of the associated bundle. Suppose that the pair (A, Φ) is a λ-THC, that is, it satisfies the equations*

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\partial}_A \Phi = 0 \\ \Lambda F_A + \lambda\mu(\Phi) = \lambda c, \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

where $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ is a real number. Suppose that $\mathcal{YMH}_c(A, \Phi) < \epsilon$. Then

$$|d_A\Phi(0)|^2 < C(1 + r^{-2})(1 + \|d_A\Phi\|^2_{L^2(X)}),$$

where C is a constant independent of A, Φ and λ.

Proof. Throughout the proof C will denote a constant independent of A, Φ and λ, and will not always be necessarily the same. Let $r' = \min(r, \sqrt{\epsilon/2\pi})$. We will prove that

$$|d_A\Phi(0)|^2 < Cr'^{-2}((1 + \|d_A\Phi\|^2_{L^2(D_{r'})}). \tag{4.3}$$

This clearly implies the lemma.

Take on TX the trivial connection ∇^X. This connection is obviously compatible with the canonical complex structure I_X on $X \subset \mathbb{C}$ and has zero torsion. Let g_X be the canonical metric on X. Let $\pi_X^{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} = X \times F \to X$. The connection A gives a splitting $T\mathcal{F} \simeq \pi_X^{\mathcal{F}*}TX \oplus T\mathcal{F}_v$, which allows to define a complex structure $I(A) = I_X \oplus I_F$ and a metric $g(A) = g_X \oplus g_F$ on $T\mathcal{F}$. On the other hand, since ∇^F is K-invariant, we can extend it fibrewise to obtain a connection on $T\mathcal{F}_v$ (see section A.1). Summing the resulting connection with $\pi_X^{\mathcal{F}*}\nabla^X$ (here we use the splitting $T\mathcal{F} \simeq \pi_X^{\mathcal{F}*}TX \oplus T\mathcal{F}_v$ given by A) we get a connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}} = \nabla^{\mathcal{F}}(A, \nabla^F, \nabla^X)$ on $T\mathcal{F}$. The connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}$ is compatible with $I(A)$ and $g(A)$, that is, $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}} I(A) = \nabla^{\mathcal{F}} g(A) = 0$.

Lemma 4.2.2. *Take a metric on* $\mathfrak{k}$. *With respect to the metrics* g_X, g_F *and* $g(A)$ *we have* $|F_{\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}}| \leq |F_{\nabla^F}| + C|F_A|$, *where* $C > 0$ *is a constant.*

Proof. Since F is compact there is a real number $C > 0$ such that, for any $x \in F$ and for any $s \in \mathfrak{k}$, $|\mathscr{X}_s^F(x)| \leq C|s|$ and $|\Omega^{TF}(s)(x)| \leq C|s|$. Now lemma A.1.4 in the appendix gives the result. ☐

Lemma 4.2.3. *Suppose that the* $(1,1)$ *parts of the torsions of* ∇^F *and* ∇^X *vanish. Then the* $(1,1)$ *part of the torsion of the connection* $\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}$ *is* $-d\pi_X^{\mathcal{F}*}F_{A^{\mathcal{F}}}^{1,1}$.

Proof. This follows from lemma A.1.5 in the appendix. ☐

Let (u,v) be the standard coordinates in $\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$. Let $\mathbf{U} = \partial\Phi/\partial u$ and $\mathbf{V} = \partial\Phi/\partial v$. In the rest of the proof we will write $\nabla = \nabla^{\mathcal{F}}$ and $J = I(A)$.

As defined, the fields $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{V}$ are sections of $\Phi^*T\mathcal{F}$. To give a rigorous sense to the following computations, we extend smoothly $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{V}$ to get fields on $\mathcal{F}$; however, we are only interested on the values they take on $\Phi(X)$, and all the equalities between fields on $\mathcal{F}$ should be understood in this proof as refering only to their restrictions to $\Phi(X)$. For example, we may write $J\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{V}$ and $J\mathbf{V} = -\mathbf{U}$ (no matter how $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{V}$ have been defined outside $\Phi(X)$). We also have $|\mathbf{U}| = |\mathbf{V}|$.

We have $[\mathbf{U},\mathbf{V}] = 0$ (this is always true on $\Phi(X)$, regardless the way we have extended $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{V}$ out of $\Phi(X)$). By lemma 4.2.3 we have $\nabla_{\mathbf{U}}\mathbf{V} - \nabla_{\mathbf{V}}\mathbf{U} = \mathrm{Tor}_{\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathbf{U},\mathbf{V}) = \mathscr{X}_{\Lambda F_A}^F$. Using the second equation in (4.2) we deduce from this the following inequalities

$$|\nabla_{\mathbf{U}}(\nabla_{\mathbf{U}}\mathbf{V} - \nabla_{\mathbf{V}}\mathbf{U})| < C|\mathbf{U}|, \tag{4.4}$$

$$|\nabla_{\mathbf{V}}(\nabla_{\mathbf{U}}\mathbf{V} - \nabla_{\mathbf{V}}\mathbf{U})| < C|\mathbf{U}|, \tag{4.5}$$

where the constant C depends only on the (norm of the) differential $d\mu$ of the moment map μ and on the constant C in the proof of lemma 4.2.2.

Using $\nabla J = 0$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\mathbf{U}|^2 &= |\nabla_{\mathbf{U}}\mathbf{U}|^2 + |\nabla_{\mathbf{V}}\mathbf{U}|^2 + \langle \mathbf{U}, \nabla_{\mathbf{U}}\nabla_{\mathbf{U}}\mathbf{U}\rangle + \langle \mathbf{U}, \nabla_{\mathbf{V}}\nabla_{\mathbf{V}}\mathbf{U}\rangle \\
&\geq \langle \mathbf{U}, \nabla_{\mathbf{U}}\nabla_{\mathbf{U}}\mathbf{U}\rangle + \langle \mathbf{U}, \nabla_{\mathbf{V}}\nabla_{\mathbf{V}}\mathbf{U}\rangle \\
&= -\langle \mathbf{U}, J\nabla_{\mathbf{U}}\nabla_{\mathbf{U}}\mathbf{V}\rangle + \langle \mathbf{U}, \nabla_{\mathbf{V}}\nabla_{\mathbf{U}}\mathbf{V}\rangle - \langle \mathbf{U}, \nabla_{\mathbf{V}}(\nabla_{\mathbf{U}}\mathbf{V} - \nabla_{\mathbf{V}}\mathbf{U})\rangle \\
&= -\langle \mathbf{U}, J\nabla_{\mathbf{U}}\nabla_{\mathbf{V}}\mathbf{U}\rangle + \langle \mathbf{U}, J\nabla_{\mathbf{V}}\nabla_{\mathbf{U}}\mathbf{U}\rangle \\
&+ \langle \mathbf{U}, J\nabla_{\mathbf{U}}(\nabla_{\mathbf{V}}\mathbf{U} - \nabla_{\mathbf{U}}\mathbf{V})\rangle - \langle \mathbf{U}, \nabla_{\mathbf{V}}(\nabla_{\mathbf{U}}\mathbf{V} - \nabla_{\mathbf{V}}\mathbf{U})\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

The first and the second summands in the last expression are equal to $-\langle \mathbf{U}, JF_{\nabla}(\mathbf{U},\mathbf{V})\mathbf{U}\rangle$, which can be bounded by $C|\mathbf{U}|^4$ thanks to lemma 4.2.2 (recall that we write $\nabla = \nabla^{\mathcal{F}}$). Finally, the third and the fourth summands can be bounded by $C|\mathbf{U}|^2$ using the inequalities (4.4) and (4.5). So we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\mathbf{U}|^2 \geq -C|\mathbf{U}|^2 - C|\mathbf{U}|^4. \tag{4.6}$$

On the other hand, we have $|d\Phi|^2 = 2|\mathbf{U}|^2$ and $|d\Phi|^2 = 2 + |d_A\Phi|^2$, so from the bounds $\|d_A\Phi\|_{L^2(D_r)}^2 \leq \mathcal{YMH}_c(A,\Phi) < \epsilon$ and $r' \leq \sqrt{\epsilon/2\pi}$ we deduce $\|d\Phi\|_{L^2(D_{r'})}^2 < 2\epsilon$. Now, as in theorem 2.3 in [PaWo], we deduce from the inequality (4.6) that if ϵ is small enough (with respect to the constants appearing in (4.6)) then

$$|d\Phi|^2 \leq Cr'^{-2} \|d\Phi\|^2_{L^2(D_{r'})},$$

from which (4.3) easily follows. □

4.3 Removability of singularities

Let $r \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{N}$, and write $r = l + \alpha$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Let C^r denote the Hölder $C^{l,\alpha}$ norm. Recall that for any compact n-dimensional manifold M we have a continuous embedding $L^q_k(M) \hookrightarrow C^r(M)$ whenever $r \leq k - \frac{n}{q}$ (here $C^r(M)$ denotes the completion of $C^\infty(M)$ with the C^r norm). Since we are assuming that $p > 2$, it turns out that there exists $r > 0$ and

$$L^p_1(M) \hookrightarrow C^r(M) \tag{4.7}$$

for any Riemann surface M.

We will use the following theorem on removal of singularities for holomorphic curves (see p. 180 in [Sik]).

Theorem 4.3.1. *Let M be a compact manifold with a complex structure I of Hölder class C^r, where $r > 0$. Let $f_0 : \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\} \to M$ be a I-holomorphic map of finite area. Then f_0 can be extended to a I-holomorphic map $f : D \to M$ of class C^{r+1}.*

The following theorem generalises the preceeding result to THCs.

Theorem 4.3.2. *Let $c \in \mathfrak{k}$ be a central element. Let $X = \mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the unit disk centered at 0, and let $X^* = X \setminus \{0\}$. Let $\alpha_0 \in \Omega^1(X; \mathfrak{k})_{L^p_1}$ be a connection one form and take a section $\Phi_0 : X^* \to F \times X^*$ of the bundle $F \times X^* \to X^*$. Let $A_0 = d + \alpha_0$ be the connection induced by α_0. Suppose that on X^**

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{\partial}_{A_0}\Phi_0 = 0 \\ \Lambda F_{A_0} + \lambda\mu(\Phi_0) = \lambda c \end{array} \right. \tag{4.8}$$

where $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, and that $\mathcal{YMH}_c(A_0, \Phi_0) < \infty$. Then there exists a gauge transformation $g : X \to K$ such that $g(A_0, \Phi_0)$ can be extended to a λ-THC (A, Φ) defined on X.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of theorem 4.1.6: we use already existing results alternatively with the first equation and then with the second one. We can assume (maybe after reducing to a smaller disk $\mathbb{D}_r$, $r < 0$, and rescalling), that $\|F_{A_0}\|_{L^2(X^*)} < \delta$, where $\delta > 0$ is the constant in theorem 4.1.2. Then using theorem 4.1.2, we may gauge the pair (A_0, Φ_0) to a pair (A, Φ) such that $A = d + \alpha$ is in Coulomb gauge: $d^*\alpha = 0$. Consider the complex structure $I = I(A)$ on $F \times X$. By the embedding (4.7) the connection A is of class C^r, for $r > 0$. The same applies to the complex structure I, so we may use theorem 4.3.1. Indeed, by the first equation in (4.8), Φ is holomorphic with respect to I and, since $\mathcal{YMH}_c(A,\Phi) < \infty$, the energy $\|d\Phi\|_{L^2} < \infty$. We thus obtain an extension $\Phi : X \to F \times X$ of Φ_0 of class C^{r+1}. We now turn to the second equation in (4.8) and deduce that F_A is of class L^p_1. Since we are in Coulomb gauge this gives a L^p_2 bound on A and hence on I. And so on. □

4.4 Compactness

Let $\sigma \in \Sigma(E)$ be any perturbation.

Definition 4.4.1. *A* **cusp σ-THC** *is the following set of data.*

1. *A connected singular curve X^{c} with only nodal singularities, of the form $X^{\mathrm{c}} = X_0 \cup X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_K$, where $X_0 = X$, and where the other components are rational curves $\mathbb{C}P^1$ and are called* **bubbles***; furthermore, two different components X_i and X_j meet at most at one point. We call X_0 the* **principal component** *of the cusp curve X^{c}.*

2. *A S^1-principal bundle $E \to X_0$, a connection A on E, a section $\Phi_0 : X_0 \to \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^E = E \times_{S^1} F$ and an element $c \in \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}$ satisfying the equations*

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\partial}_A \Phi_0 = \sigma_1 \\ \Lambda F_A + \mu(\Phi_0) = c + \sigma_2. \end{cases}$$

3. *For any $k \neq 0$, a holomorphic map $\Phi_k : X_k \to \mathcal{F}$ whose image is inside a unique fibre $\mathcal{F}_{x_k}$ of $\mathcal{F} \to X$ (note Φ_k is holomorphic with respect to the complex structure on F). The maps $\Phi_0, \Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_K$ are required to glue together to give a map $\Phi : X^{\mathrm{c}} \to \mathcal{F}$.*

We denote cusps with tuples of the form $(E, X^{\mathrm{c}}, A, \Phi, c)$.

Theorem 4.4.2. *Let $B \in H_2(F_K;\mathbb{Z})$ be any homology class. Let $E \to X$ be the unique K principal bundle such that $\eta(E) = (\pi_F)_* B$, where $\pi_F : F_K \to BK$ is the projection (see lemma A.3.2 in the appendix). Let $\mathscr{A}$ be the space of connections on E, $\mathscr{S} = \Gamma(E \times_K F)$, and $\mathscr{G}$ the gauge group of E. Consider a sequence of σ-THCs $(A_j, \Phi_j) \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma(B, c_j)$, where the elements c_j lie in a bounded subset of $\mathfrak{k}$.*

Then, after passing to a subsequence, there exists a cusp σ-THC $(E, X^{\mathrm{c}}, A, \Phi, c)$ and gauge transformations $g_j \in \mathscr{G}$ such that if $(A'_j, \Phi'_j) = g_j(A_j, \Phi_j)$ we have

1. *$c_j \to c$,*

2. *$A'_j \to A$ in C^∞,*

3. *$\rho_{E*}(\Phi_{0*}[X_0] + \sum_{k=1}^K \Phi_{k*}[X_k]) = B$, where the map ρ_{E*} is given in subsection 3.2.2.2 (see also A.4 in the appendix),*

4. *the images* $\Phi'_j(X) \subset \mathcal{F}$ *converge pointwise to* $\Phi(X^{\mathrm{c}})$, *that is, for any sequence* $x_j \in X_j$ *there exists* $x \in X^{\mathrm{c}}$ *such that* $\Phi'_j(x_j) \to \Phi(x)$.

Proof. We prove the theorem in several steps.

4.4.0.1 We may assume that $\sigma_1 = 0$. If it is not, then we substitute F by $X \times F$ with the complex structure I_{σ_1} as defined in subsection 3.2.1 and with the trivial action of S^1 on the first factor. In the rest of the argument we will assume that $\sigma_2 = 0$ just to simplify the notation (the general case is not more difficult, but longer to write).

4.4.0.2 Since $(A_j, \Phi_j) \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma(B, c)$, theorem 1.2.18 gives bounds

$$\|F_{A_j}\|^2_{L^2} \leq C \text{ and } \|d_{A_j}\Phi_j\|^2_{L^2} \leq C, \tag{4.9}$$

where $C > 0$ is independent of j. (Note that theorem 1.2.18 refers to THCs and not to σ-THCs. The needed modifications to deal with σ-THCs are easy and left to the reader.)

4.4.0.3 We next show that there is a finite subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_l\} \subset X$, a subsequence of $\{(A_i, \Phi_i)\}$ (which we call again $\{(A_i, \Phi_i)\}$) and gauge transformations $\{g_i\}$ such that $g_i(A_i, \Phi_i)$ converge uniformly in the C^∞ topology on $X \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_l\}$ to a THC (A_0, Φ_0).

Fix $\epsilon' > 0$ lower than the ϵ in lemma 4.2.1. For any $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$C_{k,n} = \{x \in X |\ \mathcal{YMH}_{c_k}(\psi_{x,2^{-n}}(A_k, \Phi_k)) > \epsilon'\}. \tag{4.10}$$

Lemma 4.4.3. $C_{k,n}$ *can be covered by* N *balls* $\{\psi_{x_l^{k,n},3.2^{-n}}(\mathbb{D})\}_{1 \leq l \leq N}$, *where* N *depends only on* ϵ.

Proof. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Take any point $x_1^{k,n} \in C_{k,n}$ and repeat the following process. Once $X_m = \{x_1^{k,n}, x_2^{k,n}, \dots, x_m^{k,n}\}$ have been selected, take $x_{m+1}^{k,n}$ to be any point in $C_{k,n}$ at distance $\geq 2.2^{-n}$ from any point in X_m. This process must finish at some step. Indeed, if $\{x_1^{k,n}, \dots, x_N^{k,n}\}$ have been selected in this way, then the disks $\{D(x_j^{k,n}; 2^{-n})\}_{1 \leq j \leq N}$ are disjoint, and hence by the definition (4.10) of $C_{k,n}$ we must have $N\epsilon' \leq \mathcal{YMH}_{c_k}(A_k, \Phi_k) = C$. Finally, if $\{x_1^{k,n}, \dots, x_N^{k,n}\}$ is a maximal set, then $C_{k,n}$ is contained in

$$\{D(x_j^{k,n}; 3.2^{-n})\}_{1 \leq j \leq N}.$$

□

Now, by taking a subsequence of (A_k, Φ_k) we can assume that the elements in

$$\{x_1^{k,n}, \dots, x_N^{k,n}\}$$

converge as $k \to \infty$ to $\{x_1^n, \dots, x_N^n\}$. Repeating this for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and using the diagonal argument, we may assume that $\{x_1^n, \dots, x_N^n\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ for all n. Let

$$X_0 = X \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}.$$

Gromov-Schwartz lemma 4.2.1 implies the following. If $x \in X \setminus C_{k,n}$ then

$$|d_{A_k}\Phi_k(x)| < 1 + 2^n C,$$

where C is independent of k and n. As a consequence, for any $x \in X_0$ we have a bound for any k

$$|d_{A_k}\Phi_k(x)| < C(d(x, \{x_1, \dots, x_N\})), \tag{4.11}$$

where $C : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a continuous function.

From the bound on the curvature in (4.9) and lemma 4.1.4 we deduce that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $r = r(\epsilon)$ such that for any $x \in X$ and $0 \le r' \le r$

$$\|F_{\psi^*_{x,r}A}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} \le \epsilon.$$

Taking this into account, we define $r_0 = r(\delta)$, where δ is the constant in Uhlenbeck's theorem 4.1.2.

Cover X with open balls of radius r_0 and take a finite cover $\{D_1, \dots, D_s\}$ such that the collection $\{D'_1, \dots, D'_s\}$ of disks concentric with $\{D_1, \dots, D_s\}$ and with radius $r_0/2$ still covers X. Using Uhlenbeck's theorem 4.1.2 we get for any k, l trivialisations

$$\sigma_{k,l} : E|_{D_l} \to D_l \times K$$

for which the connections $A_k|_{D_l} = d + \alpha_{k,l}$ are in Coloumb gauge. That is, $\alpha_{k,l} \in \Omega^1(D_l; \mathfrak{k})$ has bounded L^p_1 norm:

$$\|\alpha_{k,l}\|_{L^p_1(D_l)} \le C. \tag{4.12}$$

On any nonempty intersection $D_i \cap D_j$ we have transition functions $g_{k,i,j} : D_i \cap D_j \to K$ such that $\sigma_{k,j} = g_{k,i,j}\sigma_{k,i}$ and which satisfy the cocycle condition $g_{k,i,l} = g_{k,i,j}g_{k,j,l}$. On $D_i \cap D_j$ we have $\alpha_{k,j} = g^*_{k,i,j}\alpha_{k,i}$. This is equivalent to $dg_{k,i,j} = g^{-1}_{k,i,j}\alpha_{k,j} - \alpha_{k,i}g^{-1}_{k,i,j}$. The transition functions $g_{k,i,j}$ take values in the compact group K. So using the bound (4.12) and the Sobolev multiplication theorems we get uniform bounds

$$\|g_{k,i,j}\|_{L^p_2(D_i \cap D_j)} \le C'. \tag{4.13}$$

Note that the gauge equivalence class of the pair (E, A_k) is completely determined by the collection of 1-forms $\{\alpha_{k,l}\} \in \Omega^1(D_l; \mathfrak{k})$ and by the transition functions $g_{k,i,j}$.

Using the bounds (4.12) and (4.13) we deduce that there exists a collection of 1-forms $\{\alpha_l \in \Omega^1(D_l; \mathfrak{k})$ and a set of maps $\{g_{i,j} : D_i \cap D_j \to K\}$ and that, after possibly restricting to a subsequence of $\{A_k\}$, we have weak convergences for any i, j, k, l

$$\alpha_{k,l} \rightharpoonup \alpha_l \text{ in } L^p_1 \text{ and } g_{k,i,j} \rightharpoonup g_{i,j} \text{ in } L^p_2.$$

This implies strong convergence $g_{k,i,j} \to g_{i,j}$ in L^p_1. In particular, making $k \to \infty$ in the cocycle equation $g_{k,i,l} = g_{k,i,j}g_{k,j,l}$ we deduce that the set $\{g_{i,j}\}$ satisfies the cocycle condition

$$g_{i,l} = g_{i,j}g_{j,l}$$

and hence defines a K-principle bundle isomorphic to E (since $L^p_1 \hookrightarrow C^0$ and consequently the convergence $g_{k,i,j} \to g_{i,j}$ is uniform; see proposition 3.2 and corollary 3.3 in [Uh]). On the other hand, by the Sobolev multiplications theorems we can make k go to ∞ in the equation $\alpha_{k,j} = g^*_{k,i,j}\alpha_{k,i}$ and deduce that the 1-forms α_i satisfy

$$\alpha_j = g^*_{i,j}\alpha_i,$$

and so they define a connection $A \in \mathscr{A}_{L^p_1}$ on E. Let $A_0 = A|_{X_0}$. Our construction in terms of local trivialisations implies the existence of a sequence of global gauge transformations g_k such that $g^*_k A_k \rightharpoonup A$ weakly in L^p_1.

Let now $x \in X_0$ and suppose that $x \in D'_l$. Take a compact disk $K = D(x;r)$, where

$$r = \min\{r_0/2, d(x, \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}/2)\}.$$

We get from (4.11) a uniform bound

$$|d_{A_k}\Phi_k|_{C^0(K)} \leq C''$$

which only depends on $d(x, \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}/2)$.

Next, we prove that the restriction of Φ_k to K and $\alpha_{k,l}$ are bounded in the C^∞ topology. For that we use the bounds in lemmae 4.1.1 and 4.1.3 alternatively, exactly as in the proof of theorem 4.1.6. So by Ascoli-Arzela and after passing to a subsequence, there exists $\Phi^K_0 : K \to F$ such that $\Phi_k|_K \to \Phi^K_0$, and the convergence $\alpha_{k,l} \to \alpha_l$ is in C^∞. Hence on K the pair $(d + \alpha_l, \Phi^K_0)$ is a THC. Doing this for any $x \in X_0$ we get a smooth section Φ'_0 defined on X_0 such that (A_0, Φ'_0) is a THC on X_0.

4.4.0.4 Using theorem 4.3.2 on removability of singularities we see that the pair (A_0, Φ'_0) extends to a pair (A, Φ_0) defined on the whole X. Indeed, from construction we know that A_0 extends to a connection A with $\|A\|_{L^p_1} \leq \infty$.

4.4.0.5 The total energy $\mathcal{YMH}_{c_0}(A_0, \Phi_0)$ may be lower than $\mathcal{YMH}_{c_k}(A_k, \Phi_k)$ if bubbling occurs. Equivalently, the cohomology class $\rho_{E_*}\Phi_{0*}[X_0]$ need not be B. The last step is to consider this possibility and to study the possible bubbling.

For that one does the following. Fix $x \in \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$. We consider sequences $\{t_k\} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\{z_k\} \in \mathbb{C}$ (on which later certain conditions will be imposed) and the maps $\psi_k : \mathbb{C} \simeq T_x X \to X$ which send any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ to

$$\psi_k(z) = \exp(t_k^{-1}(z - z_k)).$$

(Note that in general ψ_k may fail to be defined in the complementary of a disk in $\mathbb{C}$.) Suppose that $t_k \to \infty$ and that $t_k z_k^{-1}$ remains bounded. Then for any compact $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ and big enough k (with respect to K) the THC

$$(A''_k, \Phi''_k) = \psi_k(A_k, \Phi_k)$$

is defined on K. Furthermore, the energy $\mathcal{YMH}_{c_k}(A''_k, \Phi''_k)$ remains bounded. So we can apply the same reasoning as in the beginning and deduce that out of a set

$y_1, \dots, y_M \subset \mathbb{C}$ the THCs (A''_k, Φ''_k) converge (after conveniently regauging) to a THC $(A''_\infty, \Phi''_\infty)$ defined on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{y_1, \dots, y_M\}$.

The connection A''_∞ is (gauge equivalent to) the trivial one. This follows from the fact that, since $t_k \to \infty$, lemma 4.1.4 implies that $\|F_{A''_k}\|_{L^2} \to 0$. So we end up with a genuine holomorphic curve $\Phi''_0 : \mathbb{C} \setminus \{y_1, \dots, y_M\} \to \mathcal{F}_x = F$ of finite energy. Hence we may use removal of singularities to get a map from $\mathbb{C}P^1$ to F. And now we may repeat the process again.

Now we have to specify how the sequences $\{t_k\}$ and $\{z_k\}$ are to be chosen in all the process. The following two things are necessary:

1. the recursive process ends after a finite number of steps (bounded above by a funtion of $\mathcal{YMH}_{c_k}(A_k, \Phi_k)$ or equivalently by B) and
2. there is no lose of energy, that is, after we finish the process we get a set of bubbles $X_1, \dots, X_R$ such that
$$X^c = X_0 \cup X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_R$$
is an admissible curve and a cusp σ-THC (E, X^c, A, Φ, c) whose energy $\mathcal{YMH}_c(A, \Phi_0) + \sum \|d\Phi_k\|^2_{L^2}$ is equal to $\mathcal{YMH}_c(A_j, \Phi_j)$ for any j and such that $\rho_{E*}(\Phi_{0*}[X_0] + \sum \Phi_{k*}[X_k]) = B$

In [PaWo] a precise algorithm is given to chose the sequences $\{t_k\}$ and $\{z_k\}$ in the case of holomorphic curves. This is done by chosing z_k so that the energy $\psi_k^*\Phi$ is centered in the south pole (here we view $\mathbb{C}P^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ and we identify the south pole with $0 \in \mathbb{C}$) and such that the amount of energy concentrated in the north hemisphere is equal to a certain suitable constant C_0. We now give a sketch of their construction adapted to our situation. See [PaWo] pp. 83-85 for more details.

1. Let $S^2_x \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be the unit sphere centered at $(0,0,0)$, and let $p_+ = (0,0,1)$ and $p_- = (0,0,-1)$ be the north and south pole. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ smaller than the injectivity radius of F. Let $\sigma : S^2_x \to T_xX$ be the stereographic projection which maps $\sigma(p_-)$ to 0 and $\sigma(p_+)$ to ∞.
2. Let us fix a retraction $\rho : \mathcal{F}|_{D(x;\epsilon)} \to \mathcal{F}_x \simeq F$ (for example by radial parallel transport). Identifying a neighbourhood of 0 in T_xX with a neighbourhood of x in X by means of the exponential map we may define
$$f_j = \sigma^*(\rho \circ \Phi_j) : D(\epsilon) \to F,$$
where $D(\epsilon) \subset S^2_x$. Let $T_j : S^2_x \to S^2_x$ be a conformal map such that if $f'_j = f_j \circ T_j$ then the center of mass of the measure $||df'_j|^2 - |df'_0|^2|$ lies in the z-axis.
3. For a fixed suitable constant C_0 (see p. 83 in [PaWo]) we consider a radial dilation $d_j : S^2_x \to S^2_x$ which keeps the north and south poles fixed and such that if $D_j = d_j^{-1}(D(\epsilon))$, $f''_j = f'_j \circ d_j$ and H_- is the south hemisphere in S^2_x, then
$$\int_{D_j \setminus H_-} ||df''_j|^2 - |df''_0|^2| = C_0.$$

4. Then $R_j = \sigma \circ T_j \circ d_j : S^2_x \to S^2_x$ keeps the two poles fixed and its restriction to $\mathbb{C} = S^2_x \setminus \{p_+\}$ is $R_j(x) = t_j x + z_j$, and $t_j \to \infty$. So by our previous reasoning this gives a bubble $B(\epsilon)$ concentrated in $\mathcal{F}_x$. Now we make $\epsilon \to 0$ and we take as our final bubble the limit bubble (again this exists by Gromov compactness theorem).

Translating word by word the argument in [PaWo] we deduce that if we follow this renormalisation method then there is no loss of energy, and consequently the third claim in the theorem follows.

For the last claim we invoque corollary 6.4 in [PaWo], where pointwise convergence is proved for holomorphic curves. Again, the argument applies to our situation.

Another very good reference for the bubble tree compactification is [Pa], where harmonic maps are studied instead of holomorphic curves (which are particular cases of harmonic maps). $\square$

Chapter 5

The choice of the complex structure

In this chapter we will assume $K = S^1$ and we will call $\omega = \omega_F$ the symplectic form on F. In chapter 3 we constructed the moduli space of σ-THCs and proved that for generic σ the moduli was a smooth manifold. In chapter 4 we gave a compactification of the moduli by adding to it what we called cusp σ-THCs. We may view this compactification as adding some pieces to the moduli in order to get a compact stratified topological space. Our ultimate goal is to use the compactification of the moduli to define invariants, and for that we shall need the strata to be smooth. In this chapter we prove that for a generic invariant complex structure on F certain moduli spaces of holomorphic curves are smooth manifolds. This will be used in chapter 6 to prove that the moduli of cusp σ-THCs is a stratified variety with strata admitting ramified coverings by smooth manifolds.

Let $\mathscr{I}_\omega \subset \operatorname{End}(TF)$ be the set of complex structures on F compatible with ω (that is, for any $I \in \mathscr{I}_\omega$, $\omega(\cdot, I\cdot)$ is a Riemannian metric). Our aim is to study compatible complex structures $I \in \mathscr{I}_\omega$ which are invariant under the action of S^1 (recall that invariance was requiered in order to define the equations, see section 1.1 in chapter 1). A first existence result, which was already mentioned in chapter 1 is the following (see lemma 5.49 in [McDS2]).

Lemma 5.0.1. *Let $\mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1} \subset \mathscr{I}_\omega \subset \operatorname{End}(TF)$ be the set of S^1-invariant complex structures on F which are compatible with ω. The space $\mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1}$ is nonempty and contractible.*

We are only interested on some elements of $\mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1}$, namely, those for which certain spaces of holomorphic curves are smooth. If we forget the action of S^1 and we take all compatible complex structures, then for a generic structure we get a smooth moduli of simple holomorphic curves. Multicovered curves (that is, those which are not simple) cannot be included in the moduli without possibly giving rise to singular points, no matter what complex structure we consider. Due to S^1-invariance, in our setting there will appear more *problematic* types of curves, appart from multicovered ones. In fact, in order to get smooth moduli spaces of simple curves we will have to restrict ourselves to curves with a fixed isotropy pair (see subsection 5.3.3).

5.1 Isotropy pairs

Definition 5.1.1. *Let $s : \Sigma \to F$ be any smooth map. We define the* **isotropy pair** *of s, $(L(s), H(s))$, to be the pair of closed subgroups $L(s) \subset H(s) \subset S^1$ defined as follows*

$$H(s) := \{\theta \in S^1 |\ \theta \cdot s(\Sigma) = s(\Sigma)\}$$
$$L(s) := \{\theta \in H(s) |\ \theta|_{s(\Sigma)} = \mathrm{Id}\}.$$

Let $s : \Sigma \to F$ be a simple map, and let $g \in H(s)$ be any element. Let Σ_i be the set of injective points of s, that is,

$$\Sigma_i = \{x \in \Sigma |\ ds(x) \neq 0,\ \sharp s^{-1}s(x) = 1\}.$$

The action of g on $s(\Sigma)$ induces a holomorphic bijection $\gamma_i(g) : \Sigma_i \to \Sigma_i$ which can be extended to a homeomorphism $\gamma(g) : \Sigma \to \Sigma$. Now, since the map s is simple, the noninjective points $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_i$ can only accumulate at a finite set of points (namely, the critical points $\mathrm{Ker}\, ds$), and hence the map $\gamma(g)$ is holomorphic by standard removability of singularities. This way we have defined a map $\gamma : H(s) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma)$. Obviously, $\mathrm{Ker}\,\gamma = L(s)$. Let $\Gamma = \gamma(H(s))$. Since L and H are closed subgroups of S^1, the extension

$$1 \to L \to H \to \Gamma \to 1 \tag{5.1}$$

is uniquely determined from L and Γ.

On the other hand, note that $s(\Sigma)$ is contained by definition in $F^{L(s)}$, the fixed point set of the action of $L(s)$ on F. We define

$$\mathrm{Map}^L(\Sigma, F) = \{s \in \mathrm{Map}(\Sigma, F^L) | L(s) = L\} \subset \mathrm{Map}(\Sigma, F^L).$$

This inclusion is not an equality in general, since there might be some $s \in \mathrm{Map}(\Sigma, F^L)$ such that $L(s)$ strictly contains L. The following technical results will be crucial in proving the smoothness of certain moduli spaces for generic choices of invariant complex structure $I \in \mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1}$.

Theorem 5.1.2. *Let us take a complex structure $I \in \mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1}$, and let $s : \Sigma \to F$ be a simple holomorphic map. Let $H = H(s)$. Then there exists a disk $D \subset \Sigma$ such that*

$$S^1 \cdot s(D) \cap s(\Sigma) = H \cdot s(D).$$

Theorem 5.1.3. *Let us take a complex structure $I \in \mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1}$, and let $s \in \mathrm{Map}^L(\Sigma, F^L)$ be a simple holomorphic map. Then the set $\{x \in \Sigma |\ L \neq \mathrm{Stab}_{S^1}\, s(x)\}$ is finite.*

5.1.1 Proof of theorem 5.1.2

In order to prove the theorem we will use the following result on holomorphic curves (see lemma 2.2.3 in [McDS1]).

Lemma 5.1.4. *Let $I \in \mathscr{I}_\omega$ be any complex structure. Let $s_1, s_2 : \Sigma \to F$ be two simple holomorphic maps with respect to I. Let $K \subset \Sigma$ be a closed subset of noninjective points for both s_1 and s_2. If the intersection $s_1(K) \cap s_2(K)$ contains infinite points, then $s_1 = s_2$.*

From now on we fix a complex structure $I \in \mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1}$, and we take on F the metric $\omega(\cdot, I\cdot)$. This metric is S^1-invariant because of the invariance of ω and I.

Definition 5.1.5. *Let $\mathscr{X} \in \Gamma(TF)$ be the vector field generated by $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R} = \mathrm{Lie}(S^1)$. For any $x \in \Sigma$ and any smooth map $s : \Sigma \to F$ we define*

$$\theta_s(x) := \mathrm{dist}(\mathscr{X}(x), ds(T\Sigma)).$$

We assume for simplicity that $H = \{1\}$, and at the end we will say some words about the general case. We proceed as follows: we assume that the claim of the theorem is not true and we show that this leads to a contradiction. So we suppose that for any open set $U \subset \Sigma$ there exists a point $x \in U$ and $\alpha \in S^1$ such that $\alpha \cdot s(x) \in s(\Sigma)$.

5.1.1.1 Let $Z = s^{-1}(\{s(z) | z \in \Sigma,\ ds(z) = 0\})$ be the set of critical points. This is a finite set (see lemma 2.2.1 in [McDS1]). So $s(\Sigma)$ is not contained in $S^1 \cdot s(Z)$, since the latter is a disjoint union of points and circles. Let T be a S^1-invariant tubular neighbourhood of $S^1 \cdot s(Z)$. Put $\Sigma' = s^{-1}(F \setminus T)$.

The set of noninjective points $Z' = \{z \in \Sigma | \sharp s^{-1}s(z) > 1\}$ can only accumulate at critical points (see the comment before lemma 2.2.3 in [McDS1]), so $Z'' = Z' \cap \Sigma'$ is finite. Hence, $s(\Sigma')$ is not contained in $S^1 \cdot s(Z'')$ and so we may take a S^1-invariant tubular neighbourhood T'' of $S^1 \cdot s(Z'')$ so that $\Sigma'' = s^{-1}(F \setminus T'') \cap \Sigma'$ has nonempty interior.

Let $Y = \{z \in \Sigma | \theta_s(z) = 0\}$. This is a closed set. If the interior $\mathrm{int}\, Y \neq \emptyset$ then for $\alpha \in S^1$ near the identity $\alpha \cdot s(\Sigma)$ and $s(\Sigma)$ meet at an open set and hence, by lemma 5.1.4, they coincide. But this is implies that $H(s) \neq \{1\}$, and this finishes the argument. So we may suppose that there is a small open disk $D_a \subset \Sigma''$ such that $\inf \theta_s|_{D_a} = a > 0$. Suppose also that $S^1 \cdot s(D_a) \subset W \subset F$, where W is open and S^1-invariant, and all points in W have the same stabiliser, so that W/S^1 is a smooth manifold.

5.1.1.2 The composition

$$D_a \xrightarrow{s} W \xrightarrow{\pi} W/S^1$$

is an embedding if D_a is chosen small enough. Let $N \subset W/S^1$ be an open neighbourhood of $\pi s(D_a)$ with a submersion $p : N \to \pi s(D_a)$ which is a left inverse for the inclusion $\pi s(D_a) \hookrightarrow N$. Let $Y_N = Y \cap (\pi s)^{-1}(N)$. The critical points of

$$\Sigma \cap (\pi s)^{-1}(N) \xrightarrow{s} N \xrightarrow{p} \pi s(D_a)$$

contain Y_N. Hence, by Sard's theorem $\pi s(Y_N) \subset \pi s(D_a)$ has measure zero. Since $\pi s(Y_N)$ is closed, its complementary contains a closed disk Σ_0. Furthermore, there exists $b > 0$ such that for any $x \in \Sigma_0$ and $\alpha \in S^1$ if $\alpha \cdot s(x) = s(y) \in s(\Sigma)$, then $\theta_s(y) \geq b$.

From the construction of Σ_0 we deduce the following result.

Proposition 5.1.6. *There exist real positive numbers r, η, ϵ such that for any $x \in \Sigma_0$ and $\alpha \in S^1$ if $z = \alpha \cdot s(x) \in s(\Sigma)$, $s^{-1}(z)$ has a unique element $y \in \Sigma$ and if $D_y = D(y; r)$ is the disk centered at y of radius r,*

1. *If $w \in s(\Sigma)$ and $d(w, y) < \eta$, then $w \in s(D_y)$.*
2. *There exists an open neighbourhood $V \subset F$ of $s(y)$ containing $s(D_y)$ and a chart $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{2n}) : V \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with $\phi(s(y)) = 0$ such that*
    - *2.a. For any $v \in D_y$, $\phi_3(s(v)) = \cdots = \phi_{2n}(s(v))$.*
    - *2.b. If $\beta \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon] \subset S^1$, then for any $v \in D_y$, $\beta \cdot s(v) \in V$ and*

$$\phi(\beta \cdot s(v)) = \phi(s(v)) + (0, 0, \beta, 0, \dots, 0).$$

5.1.1.3 We assume for the rest of the argument that $\operatorname{diam}(s(\Sigma_0)) < \eta/2$. Let us identify $S^1 \simeq [0, 2\pi)$ so that 0 is the identity and consider

$$I = \{(\alpha, x) \in (0, 2\pi) \times \Sigma_0 | \alpha \cdot s(x) \in s(\Sigma)\}. \tag{5.2}$$

Thanks to the inequality $\theta_s|_{\Sigma_0} \geq b$ we know that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $I \subset [\delta, 2\pi - \delta] \times \Sigma_0$. Clearly I is closed. By our assumption the image of the projection $\pi_\Sigma : I \to \Sigma_0$ is dense and so (since it is also closed) coincides with Σ_0. Let now $[0, \mu] \subset [-\epsilon, \epsilon]$ be a subset such that for any $\nu \in [0, \mu]$ and for any $x \in F$, $d(x, \nu \cdot x) < \eta/2$.

5.1.1.4 Cover $[\delta, 2\pi - \delta]$ with closed intervals $A_1, \dots, A_r$ of length $< \mu$ and let $I_k = I \cap A_k \times \Sigma_0$. Since $\pi_\Sigma(I_1) \cup \cdots \cup \pi_\Sigma(I_r) = \Sigma_0$ and $\pi_\Sigma(I_l)$ is closed for any l, there exists a $\pi_\Sigma(I_k)$ with nonempty interior. Let $D \subset \operatorname{int} \pi_\Sigma(I_k)$ be a disk, and take $x \in D$. By assumption there exists $\alpha \in A_k$ such that $\alpha \cdot s(x) = s(y)$, $y \in \Sigma$. By property 2 in 5.1.6 there exists an open set $V \subset F$ containing $s(D_y) = s(D(y, r))$ and a chart

$$\phi : V \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}.$$

For any $z \in D$ there exists $\beta \in A_k$ such that $\beta \cdot s(Z) \in s(\Sigma)$. On the other hand, since $d(\alpha \cdot s(z), \alpha \cdot s(x)) = d(s(z), s(x)) < \eta/2$ and $|\alpha - \beta| < \mu$ we have

$$d(\beta \cdot s(z), s(y)) < \eta.$$

Hence, by property 1 in proposition 5.1.6, $\beta \cdot s(z) \in s(D_y)$. So by 2.b in 5.1.6, if $w = s(z)$, then $\phi_3(w) = \alpha - \beta$, $\phi_4(w) = \cdots = \phi_{2n}(w) = 0$. This implies that for any $z \in D$, $\sharp I_k \cap \{z\} \times A_k = 1$. Let $(z, h(z))$ be the unique element of this set. The function $h : D \to A_k$ is $h(z) = \alpha - \phi_3(s(z))$ and so is continuous. Hence there exists $c \in A_k$

such that $\sharp h^{-1}(c) = \infty$ (see lemma 5.1.7 below). From this we see that $c \cdot s(\Sigma) \cap s(\Sigma)$ has infinite points which do not accumulate on critical points (since $s(\Sigma_0)$ is at positive distance from the S^1-orbit of the image of any critical point of s). Finally, using lemma 5.1.4 we deduce that $c \cdot s(\Sigma) = s(\Sigma)$, in contradiction with the assumption $H = \{1\}$. This finishes the proof of the case $H = \{1\}$.

5.1.1.5 In the general case we proceed as follows. Since the case $H = S^1$ is trivial, we suppose that $\sharp H < \infty$. We assume that for any open set $U \subset \Sigma$ there exists $x \in U$ and $\alpha \in S^1 \setminus H$ such that $\alpha \cdot s(x) \in s(\Sigma)$. We do exactly the same thing as in the case $H = \{1\}$ to get a subset $\Sigma_0 \subset \Sigma$ (note that the function $\theta_s(x)$ is equivariant under the action of H). Now, the set I defined in (5.2) is at positive distance from $H \times \Sigma_0$. So the element $c \in S^1$ found at the end of the reasoning does not belong to H, and hence the fact that $c \cdot s(\Sigma) = s(\Sigma)$ leads to a contradiction.

5.1.1.6 The following lemma finishes the proof of the theorem.

Lemma 5.1.7. *Let* $I = [0, 1]$*, and let* $h : I^2 \to I$ *be a continuous map. There exists* $c \in I$ *such that* $\sharp h^{-1}(c) = \infty$*.*

Proof. The image $h(I^2)$ is an interval $[a, b] \subset I$. If $a = b$ we put $c = a = b$, and the proof is finished. Otherwise, let $a < c < b$. Assume that $C = h^{-1}(c)$ is a finite set of points. Let $x, y \in I^2$ such that $h(x) = a$ and $h(y) = b$. We can find a path $\gamma : I \to I^2 \setminus C$ from x to y. Now the sandwich principle implies that there exists $m \in I$ such that $h(\gamma(m)) = c$, in contradiction with the definition of C. (In fact, $C = h^{-1}(c)$ will neither be countable. If it were, then $I^2 \setminus C$ would be arc connected as in the finite case, and the same reasoning would lead to a contradiction.) □

5.1.2 Proof of theorem 5.1.3

Let $\Sigma' = \{x \in \Sigma |\ L \subsetneqq \operatorname{Stab}_{S^1} s(x)\}$ and suppose that $\sharp\Sigma' = \infty$. Since the set

$$\{\operatorname{Stab}_{S^1} x |\ x \in F\}$$

of stabilizers is finite (see lemma A.2.3 in the appendix), we may assume that there exists a group L'' strictly containing L such that

$$\Sigma'' = \{x \in \Sigma |\ \operatorname{Stab}_{S^1} s(x) = L''\}$$

has infinite elements. Let now $\theta \in L'' \setminus L$. Then $s(\Sigma)$ and $\theta \cdot s(\Sigma)$ intersect at an infinite set Σ'' of points. Hence by theorem 5.1.4 they coincide, and so $\theta \in H(s)$. But now $\gamma(\theta) \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Sigma)$ has infinitely many fixed points (all the points in Σ''), and so it must be the identity. So we deduce from the exact sequence (5.1) that $\theta \in L$, which is a contradiction.

5.2 Smoothness of moduli of holomorphic curves

Let us take a closed subgroup $L \subset S^1$. Recall that the fixed point set $F^L \subset F$ is a compact symplectic submanifold (with possibly several connected components of different dimension). The action of S^1 on F gives an action of the Lie group S^1/L on F^L.

Fix a compact Riemann surface Σ and a group of automorphisms $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma)$. Assume that there is an injection $\rho : \Gamma \to S^1/L$ with closed image. The morphism ρ allows us to speak about Γ-equivariant maps $s : \Sigma \to F^L$. These are simply the maps s which satisfy $s(g \cdot x) = \rho(g) \cdot s(x)$ for any $x \in \Sigma$. We will denote

$$\mathrm{Map}^L(\Sigma, F)^{\Gamma,\rho}_{L^p_1} \tag{5.3}$$

the set of Γ-equivariant maps $s \in \mathrm{Map}^L(\Sigma, F)_{L^p_1}$.

Let us take a S^1-invariant complex structure $I \in \mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1}$. Let $p > 2$ be any real number. We define the moduli of (L, Γ, ρ) equivariant curves with respect to I to be

$$\mathcal{M}^{L,\Gamma,\rho}_I(\Sigma, F) = \{s \in \mathrm{Map}^L(\Sigma, F)^{\Gamma,\rho}_{L^p_1} | \ \overline{\partial}_I s = 0,\ s \text{ simple and } \Gamma\text{-equivariant } \}.$$

For any $A \in H_2(F; \mathbb{Z})$, let also $\mathcal{M}^{L,\Gamma,\rho}_I(\Sigma, F; A) = \{s \in \mathcal{M}^{L,\Gamma,\rho}_I(\Sigma, F) \mid s_*[\Sigma] = A\}$.

Theorem 5.2.1. *There is a subset $\mathscr{I}^{L,\Gamma,\rho} \subset \mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1}$ of Baire second category such that for any $I \in \mathscr{I}^{L,\Gamma,\rho}$ the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^{L,\Gamma,\rho}_I(\Sigma, F)$ is smooth and oriented.*

Proof. The proof, with due modifications, is exactly like that of theorem 3 or of theorem 3.1.2 in [McDS1]. We start considering the completion $\mathscr{I}^l_{\omega,S^1}$ (resp. $\mathscr{I}^l_\omega$) of $\mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1}$ (resp. $\mathscr{I}^l_\omega$) in the C^l norm, where $l > 0$ is a big enough integer, and we define, for any $A \in H_2(F; \mathbb{Z})$, the A**-universal moduli space** to be

$$\mathcal{M}^{L,\Gamma,\rho}_{\mathscr{I}^l}(\Sigma, F; A) = \left\{ (s, I) \in \mathrm{Map}^L(\Sigma, F)^{\Gamma,\rho}_{L^p_1} \times \mathscr{I}^l_{\omega,S^1} \middle| \begin{array}{l} \overline{\partial}_I s = 0,\ s_*[\Sigma] = A, \\ \text{and } s \text{ simple} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proposition 5.2.2. *The A-universal moduli space $\mathcal{M}^{L,\Gamma,\rho}_{\mathscr{I}^l}(\Sigma, F; A)$ is a smooth Banach manifold.*

Proof. Let $(s, I) \in \mathcal{M}^{L,\Gamma,\rho}_{\mathscr{I}^l}(\Sigma, F; A)$ be any point. We will prove that the A-universal moduli space is smooth at (s, I). For that we have to check that the linearisation

$$D\mathcal{F}(u, I) : \Omega^0(s^*TF^L)^\Gamma_{L^p_1} \times T_I\mathscr{I}^l_{\omega,S^1} \to \Omega^1(s^*TF^L)^\Gamma_{L^p}$$

of the equation at (s, I) is surjective. Here we write $\Omega^i(s^*TF^L)^\Gamma$ the Γ-invariant sections of $\Lambda^{0,i}T\Sigma \otimes_I s^*TF^L$ (this bundle has an action of Γ through the representation ρ). The tangent space $T_I\mathscr{I}^l_{\omega,S^1} \subset T_I\mathscr{I}^l_\omega$ is equal to the subspace of Γ-invariant elements in $T_I\mathscr{I}^l_\omega$. This latter space is the set of C^l sections of the bundle $\mathrm{End}(TF, I, \omega)$ whose fibre at $x \in F$ is the space of linear maps $Y : T_xF \to T_xF$ which satisfy

$$YI + IY = 0 \text{ and } \omega(Y\cdot, \cdot) + \omega(\cdot, Y\cdot) = 0$$

(see p. 34 in [McDS1]).

We now follow the notation (and the ideas) of the proof of proposition 3.4.1 in [McDS1]. We may write the differential

$$D\mathcal{F}(s,I)(\xi,Y) = D_s\xi + \frac{1}{2}Y(s)\circ ds\circ j,$$

where j is the complex structure in Σ and D_s is a first order differential operator whose symbol coincides with that of Cauchy-Riemann operator. Hence D_s is elliptic and consequently Fredholm. So if it were not exhaustive there would exist a nonzero element $\eta\in\Omega^1(s^*TF^L)^{\Gamma}_{L^q}$ (where $1/p+1/q=1$) such that for any $\xi\in\Omega^0(s^*TF^L)^{\Gamma}$ and for any $Y\in T_I\mathscr{I}^l_{\omega,S^1}$

$$\int_{\Sigma}\langle\eta,D_s\xi\rangle = 0 \text{ and } \int_{\Sigma}\langle\eta,Y(s)\circ ds\circ j\rangle = 0. \tag{5.4}$$

We now invoque theorem 5.1.2 and obtain a disk $D\subset\Sigma$ such that

$$S^1\cdot s(D)\cap s(\Sigma) = H\cdot s(D).$$

Using theorem 5.1.3 we deduce that (after possibly shrinking D) all the elements in $s(D)$ have stabiliser equal to L. Then η vanishes on an open subset of D. For suppose that $\eta(x)\neq 0$, where $x\in D$. One can always find an endomorphism $Y_0\in\mathrm{End}(T_{s(x)}F,I_{s(x)},\omega_{s(x)})^L$ such that

$$\langle\eta(x),Y_0\circ ds(x)\circ j(x)\rangle\neq 0,$$

since $\eta(x)\in T_{s(x)}F^L$. We extend Y_0 to $S^1\cdot s(x)$ in a S^1 equivariant way (we can do this because $\mathrm{Stab}_{S^1}s(x)=L$ and we took Y_0 to be L-invariant) and then we use a S^1-invariant smooth cutoff function to extend Y_0 to a small neighbourhood of $S^1\circ s(x)$. It turns out that this can be done in such a way that, being η Γ-equivariant, the right hand side integral in (5.4) does not vanish. And this is a contradiction.

Consequently η vanishes in D. Since it also satisfies the left hand side equation in (5.4), Aronszajn's theorem [Ar] (see theorem 2.1.2 in [McDS1]) implies that η vanishes identically. So the linearisation $D\mathcal{F}(s,I)$ must be exhaustive. This finishes the proof. □

Now the proof of theorem 5.2.1 is resumed as that of theorem 3 or in p. 36 in [McDS1]. One uses the Sard-Smale theorem (for that l has to be big enough, depending on the index of the linearisation $D\mathcal{F}$, which on its turn is a function of $A\in H_2(F;\mathbb{Z})$) to prove the existence of a subset $(\mathscr{I}^{L,\Gamma,\rho})^{A,l}\subset\mathscr{I}^l_{\omega,S^1}$ of the second category such that for any $I\in(\mathscr{I}^{L,\Gamma,\rho})^{A,l}$ the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^{L,\Gamma,\rho}_I(\Sigma,F;A)$ is smooth. Then a trick of Taubes allows to deduce from this, making $l\to\infty$, that there exists a subset $(\mathscr{I}^{L,\Gamma,\rho})^A\subset\mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1}$ of the second category with the same property, but consisting of smooth complex structures and not of C^l ones as before. Since the set of homology classes $A\in H_2(F;\mathbb{Z})$ is countable, the intersection

$$\mathscr{I}^{L,\Gamma,\rho} = \bigcap_{A\in H_2(F;\mathbb{Z})}(\mathscr{I}^{L,\Gamma,\rho})^A$$

is again of the second category.

To finish the proof, note that the linearisation of the equations is, modulo a compact operator, the Cauchy-Riemann operator. Hence the cohomology groups of the deformation complex carry natural orientations (because they are complex vector spaces) and consequently so does the moduli space (see also section 3.3.3 on Kuranishi models). □

Let us write for simplicity

$$\mathcal{M}_I^{L,\Gamma,\rho}(A)=\mathcal{M}_I^{L,\Gamma,\rho}(\Sigma,F;A) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{M}_{\mathscr{I}}^{L,\Gamma,\rho}(A)=\mathcal{M}_{\mathscr{I}}^{L,\Gamma,\rho}(\Sigma,F;A).$$

We now define

$$\mathscr{I}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\omega,S^1}=\bigcap_{L,\Gamma,\rho}\mathscr{I}^{L,\Gamma,\rho},$$

where the intersection is taken for the triples (L,Γ,ρ) such that the moduli $\mathcal{M}_{\mathscr{I}}^{L,\Gamma,\rho}(A)$ is nonempty for some $A\in H_2(F;\mathbb{Z})$. Again, this is a Baire set of the second category.

Using the same methods as above one can prove the following theorem, which says that the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_I^{L,\Gamma,\rho}(A)$ obtained from different complex structures I in $\mathscr{I}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\omega,S^1}$ are smooth oriented cobordant.

Theorem 5.2.3. *Let us fix a triple (L,Γ,ρ) and a homology class $A\in H_2(F;\mathbb{Z})$. Let $I_0,I_1\in\mathscr{I}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\omega,S^1}$. There exists a path $[0,1]\ni\lambda\mapsto I_\lambda\in\mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1}$ such that the space*

$$\bigcup_{\lambda\in[0,1]}\mathcal{M}_{I_\lambda}^{L,\Gamma,\rho}(A)$$

is a smooth oriented cobordism between $\mathcal{M}_{I_0}^{L,\Gamma,\rho}(A)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{I_1}^{L,\Gamma,\rho}(A)$.

5.3 Dimension of the moduli (case $\Sigma=\mathbb{C}P^1$)

In this section we will compute the dimensions of the moduli $\mathcal{M}_I^{L,\Gamma,\rho}(\Sigma,F;A)$ for $I\in\mathscr{I}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\omega,S^1}$. We will restrict to the case $\Sigma=\mathbb{C}P^1$ (this will be enough for our purposes, since we will use the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_I^{L,\Gamma,\rho}(\Sigma,F;A)$ to parametrise bubbles). We will also make the assumption that the action of S^1 on F is almost free. Recall that this means that the action of S^1 on $F\setminus F^{S^1}$ is free and that it implies that the action of S^1 on the normal bundle of $F^{S^1}\subset F$ has weights belonging only to $\{-1,1\}$ (see lemma A.2.5 in the appendix).

5.3.1 Preliminaries

Let us fix a triple (L,Γ,ρ). Recall that L is a subset of S^1, Γ is a compact subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}P^1)$ and $\rho:\Gamma\to S^1/L$ is an injection. This latter condition implies that Γ is abelian. Since $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}P^1)=\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C})$, any element in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}P^1)$ which spans a compact subgroup must fix two points of $\mathbb{C}P^1$. And since Γ is abelian, there must exist two points x_+ and x_- which are fixed by all the elements of Γ.

Using one of the fixed points, say x_+, we get an injection $\Gamma \to S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ by assigning to any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ the induced endomorphism $\iota(\gamma) \in \mathrm{GL}(T_{x_+}\mathbb{C}P^1)$. In the sequel we will identify Γ with its image in S^1. There are two possibilities. Either Γ is a finite group or $\Gamma \simeq S^1$. When Γ is a finite group, the map ι fixes an isomorphism $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, and when Γ is infinite ι gives an identification with S^1.

On the other hand, if $\Gamma \neq \{1\}$ then, for any Γ-equivariant map $s : \mathbb{C}P^1 \to F$, the fixed points $x_\pm$ are mapped by s to the fixed point set F^{S^1} (because the action on $F \setminus F^{S^1}$ is free). Let z be a holomorphic coordinate in $\mathbb{C}P^1$ centered at x_+. Taking S^1-equivariant coordinates in a neighbourhood of $s(x_+)$ the map s can be written (see p. 16 in [McDS1])

$$s(z) = az^l + O(|z|^{l+1}), \tag{5.5}$$

and the constant a can be identified with an element of $T_{s(x_+)}F$. We call l the **index** of s at x_+. Let $T^P_{x_\pm}F$ (resp. $T^Z_{x_\pm}F$, $T^N_{x_\pm}Z$) be the subspace of $T_{x_\pm}F$ spanned by vectors of weight 1 (resp. 0, -1) under the action of S^1. Since there are no more weights, a must lie in $T^P_{x_\pm}F \cup T^Z_{x_\pm}F \cup T^N_{x_\pm}F$ (otherwise it would not be invariant under the action of Γ). Using (5.5) we may write for any $\theta \in \Gamma$ and z near x_+

$$s(\theta z) = \rho(\theta) \cdot s(z) = \theta^l s(z)$$

modulo $O(|z|^{l+1})$. The $\cdot$ in the second term refers to the action of S^1 on $T_{x_+}F$. From this we deduce the following

- a cannot belong to $T^Z_{x_\pm}F$,
- if $a \in T^P_{x_\pm}F$ then $\rho(\theta) = \theta^l$,
- if $a \in T^N_{x_\pm}F$ then $\rho(\theta) = \theta^{-l}$.

In fact, after possibly composing s with the holomorphic map $r : \mathbb{C}P^1 \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ defined $r([x : y]) = [y : x]$ in coordinates for which $x_+ = [0 : 1]$ and $x_- = [1 : 0]$, we may assume that $a \in T^P_{x_\pm}F$. Hence $\rho(\theta) = \theta^l$ for any $\theta \in \Gamma$, where l is a positive integer. If $\Gamma = S^1$, then l must be 1, and if $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ then l and m must be coprime and the representation ρ only depends on the class of l modulo m.

In the sequel we will write $\mathcal{M}^{L,\Gamma,l}_I(A)$ instead of $\mathcal{M}^{L,\Gamma,\rho}_I(\Sigma, F; A)$. When $\Gamma = 1$ we will write $\mathcal{M}^L_I(A)$ and when $L = \Gamma = 1$ we will write $\mathcal{M}_I(A)$.

5.3.2 The deformation complex

Let $A \in H_2(F; \mathbb{Z})$ be any cohomology class, take a complex structure $I \in \mathscr{I}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\omega,S^1}$, and let $s \in \mathcal{M}^{L,\Gamma,l}_I(A)$. The deformation complex of the moduli at s is

$$D^\Gamma_s : \Omega^0(s^*TF^L)^\Gamma \to \Omega^1(s^*TF^L)^\Gamma,$$

where D_s^Γ is equal to the Cauchy-Riemann operator modulo a compact operator (see p. 28 in [McDS1]). Since $I \in \mathscr{I}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\omega,S^1}$, this operator is exhaustive and consequently the dimension of $\mathcal{M}_I^{L,\Gamma,l}(A)$ at s is equal to $\dim(\operatorname{Ker} D_s^\Gamma)$. To compute this dimension we consider the natural extension of D_s^Γ

$$D_s : \Omega^0(s^*TF^L) \to \Omega^1(s^*TF^L) \tag{5.6}$$

(this is the deformation complex of the moduli of holomorphic curves in F^L). The operator D_s is Γ-equivariant, and hence acts on the cohomology groups H_s^i of the complex. We have $\operatorname{Ker} D_s^\Gamma = (H_s^0)^\Gamma$ and $\operatorname{Coker} D_s^\Gamma = (H_s^1)^\Gamma = 0$. So the complex dimension at s is equal to

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_s\mathcal{M}_I^{L,\Gamma,l}(A) = \dim(H_s^0)^\Gamma - \dim(H_s^1)^\Gamma. \tag{5.7}$$

This dimension can be computed putting instead of D_u any Dolbeaut operator on s^*TF^L, since they have the same symbol. Because the action of S^1 on F is almost-free, we need only distinguish these possibilities.

Case 1. $L = S^1$, $\Gamma = \{1\}$. Let $F^{S^1} = F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_r$ be the connected components of the fixed point set. Suppose that $A \in H_2(F_k;\mathbb{Z}) \subset H_2(F;\mathbb{Z})$. Then by Riemann-Roch the moduli space has dimension

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}_I^{S^1}(A) = \langle c_1(TF_k), A\rangle + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} F_k.$$

Case 2. $L = \{1\}$, $\Gamma \neq \{1\}$. As we said in the preceeding section, there exist two fixed points $x_\pm \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ of the action of Γ. Since the map s is Γ-equivariant, we have a natural lift of the action ρ of Γ on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ to $E = s^*TF \to \mathbb{C}P^1$. Let us write it

$$\gamma : \Gamma \to \operatorname{Aut}(E),$$

where $\operatorname{Aut}(E)$ denotes the automorphisms of E as vector bundle. The map γ induces representations $\gamma_\pm$ of Γ on the fibres $E_{x_\pm}$ over $x_\pm$. The weights of this representation are l times the weights of the representation of S^1 on $TF_{s(x_\pm)}$. Since the action of S^1 is almost-free, the weights of S^1 acting on $TF_{s(x_\pm)}$ belong to $\{-1, 0, 1\}$. Let $P_\pm$ (resp. $Z_\pm$, $N_\pm$) be the number of weights of the representation $\gamma_\pm$ which are equal to 1 (resp. 0, -1). Using the Riemann-Roch theorem and the Atiyah-Bott fixed point formula one can compute the dimension (5.7) in terms of $P_\pm$, $Z_\pm$, $N_\pm$ and l. Let us write the dimension

$$\operatorname{Ind}_\gamma(E) = \dim(H^0(E))^\Gamma - \dim(H^1(E))^\Gamma.$$

We will compute the dimensions $\operatorname{Ind}_\gamma(E)$ in the next two sections. The results obtained may be summarized in the following two theorems, which correspond respectively to theorems 5.4.1 and 5.5.1.

Theorem 5.3.1. *Suppose that* $\Gamma = S^1$. *The degree of* E *is* $\deg(E) = P_+ + N_- - P_- - N_+$ *and the index is*

$$\operatorname{Ind}_\gamma(E) = (P_+ + Z_+) + (N_- + Z_-) - \operatorname{rk}(E).$$

Theorem 5.3.2. *Suppose that* $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ *and take* $l' = l + km$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, *such that* $1 \le l' \le m-1$. *Then*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_\gamma(E) = \frac{1}{m}(\deg(E) + m\,\mathrm{rk}(E) - m(P_- + N_+) + l'(P_- + N_+ - P_+ - N_-)).$$

Note that corollary A.2.7 in the appendix implies that

$$P_+ + N_+ + P_- + N_- \ge 2. \tag{5.8}$$

From these theorems we deduce the following result.

Theorem 5.3.3. *Let* $I \in \mathscr{I}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\omega,S^1}$. *If* F *is positive with respect to the complex structure* I *then for any* $\Gamma \ne \{1\}$ *and* l

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_s\mathcal{M}^{1,\Gamma,l}_I(A) \le \dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_s\mathcal{M}_I(A) - 2.$$

Proof. Let $\Gamma \ne \{1\}$ and let $s \in \mathcal{M}^{1,\Gamma,l}_I(A)$. Let us write $E = s^*TF$. Since $I \in \mathscr{I}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\omega,S^1}$ the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}^{1,\Gamma,l}_I(A)$ and $\mathcal{M}_I(A)$ are smooth, and their dimensions are equal to their virtual dimensions. Hence, what we have to prove is $\mathrm{Ind}_\gamma(E) \le \mathrm{Ind}(E) = \deg(E) + \mathrm{rk}(E)$. Note that, since F is positive, we have by definition $\deg(E) = \deg(s^*TF) \ge 1$.

Suppose that $\Gamma = S^1$. In this case we have to prove that

$$(P_+ + Z_+) + (N_- + Z_-) - \mathrm{rk}(E) \le \deg(E) + \mathrm{rk}(E) - 2.$$

Writing $2\,\mathrm{rk}(E) = P_+ + N_+ + Z_+ + P_- + N_- + Z_-$ the inequality gets transformed into $2 \le \deg(E) + (N_+ + P_-)$. Now, summing the inequalities (5.8) and $\deg(E) \ge 1$ (and using $\deg(E) = P_+ + N_- - P_- - N_+$) we obtain $3/2 \le \deg(E) + (N_+ + P_-)$. This implies our inequality because $\deg(E) + (N_+ + P_-)$ is an integer.

Now suppose that $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. Let l' be as in theorem 5.3.2, so that $1 \le l' \le m-1$. Since the indices $\mathrm{Ind}_\gamma(E)$ and $\mathrm{Ind}(E)$ are integers, it is enough for our purposes to prove

$$\mathrm{Ind}_\gamma(E) \le \deg(E) + \mathrm{rk}(E) - (1 + 1/m).$$

Writing the value of $\mathrm{Ind}_\gamma(E)$ given by theorem 5.3.2, multiplying by m and simplifying we arrive at the (equivalent) inequality

$$m + 1 \le (m-1)\deg(E) + (m - l')(P_- + N_+) + l'(P_+ + N_-),$$

which is a consequence of (5.8) and $\deg(E) \ge 1$, taking into account that $m - l' \ge 1$ and $l' \ge 1$. ☐

5.3.3 Comments on the case $\Gamma \neq \{1\}$

When $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \subset S^1$ the topological space F/Γ has the structure of an orbifold, and ω together with any $I \in \mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1}$ provide F/Γ with the structure of an almost Kaehler orbifold. The curves $s : \mathbb{C}P^1 \to F$ for which $H(s) = \Gamma$ give multicovered curves when composed with the projection $F \to F/\Gamma$. This *explains* why they give problems when trying to obtain smooth moduli for invariant complex structures.

One can interpret the curves in $\mathcal{M}_I^{1,S^1,1}(A)$ in the following terms. Let $s \in \mathcal{M}_I^{1,S^1,1}(A)$, and take coordinates $[x : y]$ on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ for which $x_+ = [1 : 0]$ and $x_- = [0 : 1]$. Then the action of S^1 on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ is given by multiplication of the second coordinate: $\theta[a : b] = [a : \theta b]$.

Let C be the cilinder $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$. Consider the embedding $\iota : C \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ which maps (t, α) to $[1 : e^t\alpha]$. This is a conformal map, so that $s \circ \iota : C \to F$ is holomorphic. Furthermore, $s \circ \iota$ is S^1 equivariant with respect to the S^1 action on $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$ given by multiplication on the second factor.

Let $\mathscr{X}^C$ (resp. $\mathscr{X}^F$) be the vector field generated by the infinitesimal action of $\mathbf{i} \in \mathrm{Lie}(S^1)$ on C (resp. on F). By equivariance we have

$$d(s \circ \iota)\mathscr{X}^C = \mathscr{X}^F. \tag{5.9}$$

Let I_C (resp. I_F) denote the complex structure on C (resp. on F). The lines

$$l_\alpha = \mathbb{R} \times \{\alpha\} \subset C$$

are integral curves of the field $-I_C\mathscr{X}^C$. Hence, by (5.9) and holomorphicity, $(s \circ \iota)l_\alpha$ are integral lines of $-I_F\mathscr{X}^F$. But the field $-I_F\mathscr{X}^F$ is the gradient of the function $f = \mu(\mathbf{i})$ with respect to the metric $g = \omega(\cdot, I_F\cdot)$ (see lemma 2.1.2). So for any $\alpha \in S^1$ the curve $(s \circ \iota)l_\alpha$ is a line of steepest descent joining the critical points $s(x_+)$ and $s(x_-)$. Furthermore, the energy of s is

$$E(s) = 2\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\gamma_\alpha'(t)|^2 dt = 2\pi|\mu(\mathbf{i})(s(x_+)) - \mu(\mathbf{i})(s(x_-))|,$$

where $\gamma_\alpha(t) = (s \circ \iota)(t, \alpha)$. Assigning to any $s \in \mathcal{M}_I^{1,S^1,1}(A)$ the path γ_1 we get the following result.

Proposition 5.3.4. *Let F_+, F_- be two connected components of the fixed point set of the S^1 action on F. There is a one to one correspondence between holomorphic S^1 equivariant maps $s : \mathbb{C}P^1 \to F$ with $s(x_\pm) \in F_\pm$ and lines of steepest descent of μ from F_+ to F_- with respect to $g = \omega(\cdot, I\cdot)$. Furthermore, all these maps have energy*

$$2\pi|\mu(\mathbf{i})(s(x_+)) - \mu(\mathbf{i})(s(x_-))|.$$

Let us take now two connected components F_+ and F_- of the fixed point set F^{S^1}. Let $Z_\pm = \dim F_\pm$. Consider the gradient flow $\phi^t : F \to F$ of the function f, which is defined by $\phi^0 = \mathrm{Id}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi^t = \nabla f$. Let W_+ be the stable set of F_+

$$W_+ = \{x \in F \mid \lim_{t\to\infty} \phi^t(x) \in F_+\}$$

and W_- the unstable set of F_-

$$W_- = \{x \in F \mid \lim_{t \to -\infty} \phi^t(x) \in F_-\}.$$

The sets $W_\pm$ are locally closed and their dimensions are $\dim W_+ = Z_+ + P_+$ and $W_- = Z_- + N_-$, where P_+ (resp. N_-) is number of positive (resp. negative) weights of the action of S^1 on the normal bundle of F_+ (resp. F_-). The union of the lines of steepest descent connecting F_+ and F_- is $W_+ \cap W_-$. It is well known that for a generic metric the resulting (un)stable sets intersect transversely, and hence the dimension of their intersection is

$$\dim W_+ \cap W_- = \dim(W_+) + \dim(W_-) - \dim(F) = Z_+ + P_+ + Z_- + N_- - \dim(F).$$

This number coincides with the dimension of $\mathcal{M}^{1,S^1,1}$ given in theorem 5.3.1. In fact, if $I \in \mathscr{I}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\omega,S^1}$ and we take the metric $g = \omega(\cdot, \cdot I)$, then the (un)stable sets $W_\pm$ intersect transversely. Moreover, this metric is S^1-invariant.

As a final comment, we recall that the function $f = \mu(\mathbf{i})$ is an equivariantly perfect Morse function (see for example [Ki]). It seems interesting to pursue these ideas relating lines of steepest descent and S^1-invariant holomorphic curves.

5.4 Index computations: S^1 actions

Let us identify the projective line $\mathbb{C}P^1$ with the sphere S^2 and consider the standard embedding $S^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$. Define the morphism

$$\rho : S^1 \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}P^1)$$

by sending any $\theta \in S^1$ to the rotation of angle θ and axis $x = y = 0$. Let $x_+ = (0,0,1)$ and $x_- = (0,0,-1)$ be the north and south pole. These are the fixed points of the action ρ.

Let $E \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ be a holomorphic vector bundle. Suppose that there is a lift

$$\alpha : S^1 \to \mathrm{Aut}(E)$$

of the action ρ ($\mathrm{Aut}(E)$ is defined to be the automorphisms of E which are linear on fibres). Then there is an induced action of S^1 on $H^0(E)$ and $H^1(E)$. Let us write

$$\mathrm{Ind}_\alpha(E) = \dim H^0(E)^{S^1} - \dim H^1(E)^{S^1}.$$

On the other hand, since $x_\pm$ are kept fixed by the action of ρ, the morphism α induces an action of S^1 on the fibres over $x_\pm$. Hence we have two representations

$$\alpha_\pm : S^1 \to \mathrm{GL}(E_{x_\pm}),$$

where E_x denotes the fibre over $x \in \mathbb{C}P^1$.

In this section we will compute $\mathrm{Ind}_\alpha(E)$ in terms of the degree and rank of E and the weights of the representations $\alpha_\pm$. Our tool will be Grothendieck theorem on vector bundles over the projective line. We will prove the following

Theorem 5.4.1. *Let $P_\pm$ (resp. $Z_\pm$, $N_\pm$) be the number of strictly positive (resp. zero, strictly negative) weights in the representation $\alpha_\pm$. Then* $\deg(E) = P_+ + N_- - P_- - N_+$ *and*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_\alpha(E) = (P_+ + Z_+) + (N_- + Z_-) - \mathrm{rk}(E).$$

5.4.1 Preliminaries

In this subsection we define some natural actions of S^1 on $\mathscr{O}(k) \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ which lift the composition of ρ with the map $m_2 : S^1 \ni \theta \mapsto \theta^2 \in S^1$. For this action we will be able to completely determine the S^1-module structure of $H^i(\mathscr{O}(k))$.

5.4.1.1 What follows is probably the most simple case of Borel-Weil theorem. Define

$$B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \right\}.$$

This is a Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, and the corresponding homogeneous space is isomorphic to the projective line:

$$\mathbb{C}P^1 \simeq \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})/B. \tag{5.10}$$

Define also the nilpotent subgroups

$$N_+ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \right\} \text{ and } N_- = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \right\}.$$

The principal $\mathbb{C}^*$-bundle P associated to the tautological line bundle $\mathscr{O}(-1) \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ is also isomorphic to a homogeneous space:

$$P \simeq \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})/N_+. \tag{5.11}$$

Using the isomorphism (5.10) we get a left action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbb{C}P^1$. This action admits a canonical lift to P (and hence to $\mathscr{O}(-1)$) thanks to isomorphism (5.11). Tensoring we get canonical lifts to any bundle of the form $\mathscr{O}(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

5.4.1.2 Let us fix from now on an integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let $L_k = \mathscr{O}(k)$. The cohomology groups $H^0(L_k)$ and $H^1(L_k)$ are acted on linearly by $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. They are in fact irreducible representations. One can prove this as follows. Take the subgroup of diagonal matrices

$$T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \right\}$$

as a maximal torus of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. Suppose for example that the representation $H^0(L_k)$ is not irreducible. Then there are two linearly independent elements $v_1, v_2 \in H^0(L_k)$ of maximal weight. Since they are elements of maximal weight, v_1 and v_2 are invariant under the action of the nilpotent subgroup N_-. The action of N_- on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ has orbits which are Zariski dense. But the ratio v_1/v_2 must be constant along any such orbit, and since v_1 and v_2 are holomorphic, by density the ratio must be the same everywhere. Hence v_1 and v_2 are not linearly independent.

5.4.1.3 Consider the inclusion $\iota : S^1 \to T$ defined as

$$\iota(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \theta & 0 \\ 0 & \theta^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let ρ_2 (resp. τ_k) be the composition of ι with the restriction to T of the canonical action of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ (resp. L_k). The dimensions of the representations $H^i(L_k)$ are

$$\dim H^0(L_k) = \max\{0, k+1\} \text{ and } \dim H^1(L_k) = \max\{0, -k-1\}.$$

An irreducible representation of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ of dimension n splits as a representation of T into a sum of n one-dimensional representations of weights $\{n, n-2, \dots, -n+2, -n\}$ (see for example [FH]). Thus we obtain a description of the action of S^1 on $H^i(L_k)$ for any i and k. For example, the weights of τ_k acting on $H^0(L_k)$ for $k \geq 0$ are $\{k, k-2, \dots, -k+2, -k\}$. From this we deduce the following formula

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{\tau_k}(L_k) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } k \text{ is even and } k \geq 0 \\ -1 \text{ if } k \text{ is even and } k \leq -2 \\ 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{5.12}$$

Note that if $m_2 : S^1 \to S^1$ sends θ to θ^2, then $\rho_2 = \rho \circ m_2$. In fact, the action τ_k descends to a lift of ρ only when k is even. Indeed, if it descends then $\tau_k(-\mathrm{Id}) = \mathrm{Id}$, so that the representation of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ on $H^i(L_k)$ descends to a representation of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C})$. But all the weights of the representations of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ are even, so by the classification of representations of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ k has to be even.

Finally observe that restricting τ_k to the fixed points $x_\pm$ of ρ we get representations $(\tau_k)_\pm : S^1 \to \mathrm{GL}((L_k)_{x_\pm})$. In fact, if we write $\lambda : S^1 \to \mathbb{C}^*$ the standard inclusion, then

$$(\tau_k)_\pm = \lambda^{\pm k}. \tag{5.13}$$

5.4.2 Proof of theorem 5.4.1

Using Grothendieck theorem we may decompose

$$E = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} E_k, \text{ where } E_k = \bigoplus_{r_k} \mathscr{O}(\lambda_k). \tag{5.14}$$

We sort the summands so that $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_n$. By means of the splitting (5.14) we may use the maps $\tau_k : S^1 \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathscr{O}(k))$ defined in the previous subsection to define a lift $\tau : S^1 \to \mathrm{Aut}(E)$ of ρ_2. Let $\alpha_2 = \alpha \circ m_2$. This is another lift of ρ_2. Note that we have

$$\mathrm{Ind}_\alpha(E) = \mathrm{Ind}_{\alpha_2}(E)$$

and the weights of the representations $(\alpha_2)_\pm$ are twice the weights of $\alpha_\pm$.

5.4.2.1 We define a map $\Delta : S^1 \to H^0(\operatorname{End} E)$ as $\Delta = \tau^{-1} \circ \alpha_2$. Note that this need not be a morphism of groups, since τ and α do not necessarily commute. Since $H^0(\mathscr{O}(k)) = 0$ for $k < 0$, the map Δ takes the following form in terms of the splitting $E = \bigoplus E_k$ (we will have this splitting in mind in all the matrices which we will write in the sequel)

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_1 & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & \Delta_2 & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \Delta_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Furthermore (since $H^0(\mathscr{O}) = \mathbb{C}$), $\Delta_k(\theta)$ is a constant matrix for any k and $\theta \in S^1$. Now let $c(t)$ be the diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}(1, t, t^2, \dots, t^n)$. Then the representations $\alpha_2^t = c(t^{-1})\alpha_2 c(t)$ converge as $t \to 0$ to a diagonal representation $\alpha_2' = \operatorname{diag}(A_1, \dots, A_n)$ with constant terms. Then $\Delta' = \tau^{-1} \circ \alpha_2'$ is equal to $\operatorname{diag}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_n)$. By the deformation invariance of the (equivariant) index, we have

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{\alpha_2}(E) = \operatorname{Ind}_{\alpha_2'}(E).$$

So we will compute the right hand side. Observe also that the weights of the representations $(\alpha_2)_\pm$ are the same as those of $(\alpha_2')_\pm$.

5.4.2.2 The representations $(\alpha_2')_+$ and τ_+ commute, and hence Δ' is really a morphism of groups. So we may diagonalise each block Δ_k and deduce the result from the case $\operatorname{rk}(E) = 1$. Let

$$(w^{k,1}, w^{k,2}, \dots, w^{k,r_k})$$

be the weights of the representations Δ_k. Using formula (5.13) we deduce that the weights of the representation $(A_k)_\pm$ are

$$(2a_\pm^{k,1}, \dots, 2a_\pm^{k,r_k}) = (w^{k,1} \pm \lambda_k, \dots, w^{k,r_k} \pm \lambda_k).$$

Observe that, since $\alpha_2 = \alpha \circ m_2$, the weights of $\alpha_\pm$ are $a_\pm^{k,j}$. This proves the equality $\deg(E) = P_+ + N_- - P_- - N_+$, since we obviously have $\deg(E) = \sum r_k \lambda_k$.

5.4.2.3 We prove now the formula giving $\operatorname{Ind}_\alpha(E)$. Let us work out the case $\operatorname{rk}(E) = 1$. Suppose that $E = \mathscr{O}(k)$. The weight of $(\alpha_2)_\pm$ is $2a_\pm = w \pm k$, where w is the weight of Δ. So w and k have the same parity. Hence

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{\alpha_2}(L_k) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } k \geq 0 \text{ and } |w| \leq |k| \\ -1 \text{ if } k \leq -2 \text{ and } |w| \leq |-k-2| \\ 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{5.15}$$

Using the formulae $k = a_+ - a_-$ and $w = a_+ + a_-$ we may rewrite the above conditions as

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{\alpha_2}(L_k) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } a_+ \geq 0 \text{ and } a_- \leq 0 \\ -1 \text{ if } a_+ \leq -1 \text{ and } a_- \geq 1 \\ 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{5.16}$$

5.4.2.4 In the general case, let $\{a_\pm^1, \dots, a_\pm^N\}$ be the weights of the representations $\alpha_\pm$. Suppose that after writing E as sum of line bundles and diagonalising the action of S^1 the two weights a_+^k and a_-^k correspond to the same line bundle. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ind}_\alpha(E) = \mathrm{Ind}_{\alpha_2'}(E) &= \sharp\{k |\ a_+^k \geq 0,\ a_-^k \leq 0\} - \sharp\{k |\ a_+^k \leq -1,\ a_-^k \geq 1\} \\
&= \min(P_+ + Z_+, N_- + Z_-) - \min(N_+, P_-) \\
&= \mathrm{rk}(E) + \min(-N_+, -P_-) - \min(N_+, P_-) \\
&= \mathrm{rk}(E) - \max(N_+, P_-) - \min(N_+, P_-) \\
&= \mathrm{rk}(E) - (N_+ + P_-) \\
&= (P_+ + Z_+) + (N_- + Z_-) - \mathrm{rk}(E),
\end{aligned}$$

which is what we wanted to prove (here we have used several times that $\mathrm{rk}(E) = P_+ + Z_+ + N_+ = P_- + Z_- + N_-$).

5.5 Index computations: $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ actions

Let us fix a natural number $m \geq 2$. Let $\iota_m : \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \to S^1$ be the morphism which sends 1 to $e^{2\pi \mathbf{i}/m}$. Let $E \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ be a holomorphic vector bundle and suppose that there is an action $\beta : \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \to \mathrm{Aut}(E)$ which lifts $\rho_m = \rho \circ \iota_m$ (we keep the notation of the preceeding section). We then get an induced action of $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ on $H^i(E)$ and, just as before, our aim is to relate

$$\mathrm{Ind}_\beta(E) = \dim H^0(E)^{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} - \dim H^1(E)^{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}$$

to the weights of the representations $\beta_\pm : \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \to \mathrm{GL}(E_{x_\pm})$.

Note that whereas the character ring of S^1 is $\mathbb{Z}$, that of the group $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. However, in this section we will take the extra assumption that the weights of the representations $\beta_\pm$ belong to $\{-l, 0, l\} \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, for $m \nmid l$. We will prove the following

Theorem 5.5.1. *Let $P_\pm$ (resp. $Z_\pm$, $N_\pm$) be the number of weights of $\beta_\pm$ which are l (resp. 0, $-l$). Take a representative $l' \in \mathbb{Z}$ of l such that $1 \leq l' \leq m-1$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_\beta(E) = \frac{1}{m}(\deg(E) + m\,\mathrm{rk}(E) - m(P_- + N_+) + l'(P_- + N_+ - P_+ - N_-)).$$

5.5.1 Proof of theorem 5.5.1

We may write

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ind}_\beta(E) &= \frac{1}{m}\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Tr}(\beta(k), H^0(E)) - \mathrm{Tr}(\beta(k), H^1(E))\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{m}\left(\mathrm{Ind}(E) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \mathrm{Tr}(\beta(k), H^0(E)) - \mathrm{Tr}(\beta(k), H^1(E))\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{Tr}(\beta(k), H^i(E))$ denotes the trace of $\beta(k)$ acting on $H^i(E)$. The index $\mathrm{Ind}(E)$ is equal to $\deg(E) + \mathrm{rk}(E)$ by Riemann-Roch. We will compute the value of

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\beta(k), H^0(E)) - \mathrm{Tr}(\beta(k), H^1(E))$$

for $1 \leq k \leq m-1$ using Atiyah-Bott fixed point theorem (see [BeGeV]).

Theorem 5.5.2 (Atiyah-Bott). *Let M be a compact complex manifold and $W \to M$ a holomorphic vector bundle. Let $\gamma : M \to M$ be a complex diffeomorphism which lifts to $\gamma : W \to W$. Suppose that the fixed points of γ are isolated. Then*

$$\sum_i (-1)^i \,\mathrm{Tr}(\gamma, H^i(W)) = \sum_{x_0 \in M^\gamma} \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(\gamma^W_{x_0})}{\det_{T^{1,0}_{x_0}M}(1-\gamma^{-1}_{x_0})},$$

where $H^i(W)$ is the i-th Dolbeault cohomology group and $\gamma^W_{x_0} : W_{x_0} \to W_{x_0}$ is the linear endomorphism of the fibres over the fixed points induced by γ.

In our case we have for any $1 \leq k \leq m-1$ a complex diffeomorphism $\rho_m(k) \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}P^1)$ whose fixed points are $x_\pm$. Let $\theta = \exp(2\pi \mathbf{i}/m)$. We then have

$$\det(1 - \rho_m(k)^{-1}_{x_\pm}) = (1 - \theta^{\mp 1}).$$

Let $N = \mathrm{rk}(E)$ and let $b^1_\pm, \dots, b^N_\pm \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ be the weights of $\beta_\pm$. Then

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\beta(k)_\pm) = \sum_{j=1}^N \theta^{b^j_\pm k}.$$

So using theorem 5.5.2 we conclude that

$$\mathrm{Ind}_\beta(E) = \frac{1}{m}\left(\deg(E) + \mathrm{rk}(E) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\theta^{b^j_+ k}}{1-\theta^{-k}} + \frac{\theta^{b^j_- k}}{1-\theta^{k}}\right)\right). \tag{5.17}$$

Lemma 5.5.3. *Let $\theta = \exp(2\pi \mathbf{i}/m)$. Then for $1 \leq w \leq m-1$*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{1-\theta^k} = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{1-\theta^{-k}} = \frac{m-1}{2}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{\theta^{wk}}{1-\theta^k} = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{\theta^{-wk}}{1-\theta^{-k}} = -\frac{m-1}{2} + w - 1.$$

Proof. Let $f(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{m-1}(x - \theta^k)$. We have $f(x) = 1 + x + \cdots + x^{m-1}$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{1-\theta^k} = \frac{f'(1)}{f(1)} = \frac{m(m-1)/2}{m} = \frac{m-1}{2}.$$

In general, for any $1 \leq w \leq m-1$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{\theta^{wk}}{1-\theta^k} &= \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \left(-\frac{1-\theta^{wk}}{1-\theta^k} + \frac{1}{1-\theta^k} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} -(1+\theta^k+\cdots+\theta^{(w-1)k}) + \frac{m-1}{2} \\ &= -\frac{m-1}{2} + w - 1,\end{aligned}$$

since, for any $w \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \theta^{wk}$ is $m-1$ if $m \mid w$ and -1 otherwise. ☐

Now, combining the above lemma with (5.17) we get

$$\mathrm{Ind}_\beta(E) = \frac{1}{m}(\deg(E) + m\,\mathrm{rk}(E) - m(P_- + N_+) + l'(P_- + N_+ - P_+ - N_-)),$$

which is what we wanted to prove.

Remark 5.5.4. *If the weights of the representations $\alpha_\pm$ induced by an action $\alpha : S^1 \to \mathrm{Aut}(E)$ which lifts ρ belong to $\{-1,0,1\}$, then theorem 5.4.1 may be deduced from theorem 5.5.1 by taking subgroups of the form $\mathbb{Z}/2^r\mathbb{Z} \subset S^1$ and making $r \to \infty$.*

It would be interesting to give a proof of theorem 5.5.1 in the lines of the proof of theorem 5.4.1, using only Grothendieck's theorem.

Chapter 6

The invariants Φ and $\overline{\Phi}$

In this chapter the Lie group K will be S^1 and its action on F will be assumed to be almost-free. We will explain how to define invariants of the symplectic manifold F and the Hamiltonian action of S^1 by means of the moduli of THCs. All the (co)homology groups appearing in this chapter will be with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$.

6.0.2 The invariant Φ

To define this invariant we will use the extended moduli space $\mathcal{N}$. Let $E \to X$ be a principal S^1 bundle, $\mathscr{A}$ the space of connections on E, and $\mathscr{G} = \mathrm{Map}(X, S^1)$ the gauge group of E. As before, $\mathscr{G}_0 = \{g : X \to S^1 \mid g(x_0) = 1\} \subset \mathscr{G}$, where $x_0 \in X$. Let $\mathcal{F} = E \times_{S^1} F$. We will write $\mathscr{S} = \Gamma(\mathcal{F})$, $\mathscr{S}^* = \Gamma(\mathcal{F}) \setminus \Gamma(\mathcal{F})^{\mathscr{G}}$ and $\mathscr{B}_0 = (\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}^*)/\mathscr{G}_0$.

The group $\mathscr{G}_0$ acts freely on $\mathscr{A} \times E \to \mathscr{A} \times X$ (by that we mean that it acts freely both on the base $\mathscr{A} \times X$ and on the total space $\mathscr{A} \times E$ in a way compatible with the fibration) with the diagonal actions (the action on X is the trivial one). Let $\mathbb{E}_J = (\mathscr{A} \times E)/\mathscr{G}_0 \to \mathscr{A}/\mathscr{G}_0 \times X$ be the quotient and $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{E}_J} = \mathbb{E}_J \times_{S^1} F = (\mathscr{A} \times \mathcal{F})/\mathscr{G}_0$. Let us consider the map $\overline{\mathrm{ev}}_J : \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}^* \times X \to \mathscr{A} \times \mathcal{F}$ which sends (A, Φ, x) to $(A, \Phi(x))$. This map is $\mathscr{G}_0$ equivariant, so it descends to give a map

$$\mathrm{ev}_J : \mathscr{B}_0 \times X \to \mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{E}_J},$$

which we will call the **evaluation map**.

We have a map $\rho^*_{\mathbb{E}_J} : H^*_{S^1}(F) \to H^*(\mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{E}_J})$ defined as follows. Let $\phi : \mathbb{E}_J \to ES^1$ be any S^1 equivariant map (so that ϕ is a lift of the classifying map $\mathscr{A}/\mathscr{G}_0 \times X \to BS^1$ of the bundle $\mathbb{E}_J$). This induces a map $\psi : \mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{E}_J} \to F_{S^1}$, and $\rho^*_{\mathbb{E}_J}$ is the morphism in cohomology induced by ψ. In lemma A.4.2 in the appendix we prove that $\rho^*_{\mathbb{E}_J}$ is independent of the choice of ϕ. Let then $\mu_i : H^*_{S^1}(F) \to H^*(\mathscr{B}_0)$, $i = 0, 2$, be the maps defined as

$$\mu_2(\delta) := \mathrm{ev}_J^* \, \rho^*_{\mathbb{E}_J} \delta/[X] \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mu_0(\delta) := \mathrm{ev}_J^* \, \rho^*_{\mathbb{E}_J} \delta/[\mathrm{pt}],$$

where $\delta \in H^*_{S^1}(F)$, and $[X] \in H_2(X)$ (resp. $[\mathrm{pt}] \in H_0(X)$) is the fundamental class of X (resp. the class of a point). Let finally

$$\nu : H^*(\mathscr{A}/\mathscr{G}_0) \to H^*(\mathscr{B}_0)$$

be the map induced by the projection $\mathscr{B}_0 \to \mathscr{A}/\mathscr{G}_0$.

Let us take a homology class $B \in H_2(F_{S^1})$ such that $\pi_{F*}B = \eta(E)$, where $\pi_F : F_{S^1} \to BS^1$ is the projection and where η is the map of lemma A.3.2 in the appendix. Let $c \in \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R} \setminus C_0$, $I \in \mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1}$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_c^{\mathrm{reg}}(E)$. Then the extended moduli of σ-THCs

$$\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_{I,\sigma}^{F,S^1}(B,c) \subset \mathscr{B}_0$$

is a smooth and oriented manifold. Let us assume for the moment that there exists a fundamental class $[\mathcal{N}] \in H_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathcal{N})$. In this case we define, for cohomology classes

$$\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_p,\beta_1,\dots,\beta_q \in H^*_{S^1}(F) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma \in H^*(\mathscr{A}/\mathscr{G}_0),$$

the **Hamiltonian Gromov-Witten** invariant $\Phi_{B,c}^{X,F}$ to be

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{B,c}^{X,F}&(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_p \mid \beta_1,\dots,\beta_q \mid \gamma)\\ &= \langle \mu_2(\alpha_1)\cup\cdots\cup\mu_2(\alpha_p)\cup\mu_0(\beta_1)\cup\cdots\cup\mu_0(\beta_q)\cup\nu(\gamma),[\mathcal{N}]\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Assuming that the cobordisms given by theorem 3.5.1 also support fundamental classes, one could prove that the invariant Φ only depends on the positive deformation class of the symplectic form of F, of the action of S^1, and of the connected component of $\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R} \setminus C_0$ on which c lies. Hence, it is independent of the perturbation σ and of the invariant and compatible complex structure I.

If the extended moduli space $\mathcal{N}$ is compact and so are the cobordisms between the moduli arising from different perturbations and complex structure, then the fundamental classes needed above do exist automatically. However, most of the time the moduli space $\mathcal{N}$ will be noncompact, and we shall need the results in chapter 4. Furthermore, the compactification of $\mathcal{N}$ obtained by adding cusp THCs given by theorem 4.4.2 does not have a priori so good a structure to necessarily carry a fundamental class. It will be a stratified space with strata admitting (for generic complex structure) a ramified covering by smooth manifolds, and the only thing we will be able to prove is that the extra strata in the compactification will have codimension at least 2 when F is a positive manifold and a certain conditions are satisfied by the fixed point data. In this situation we will be able to define the Hamiltonian Gromov-Witten invariants.

There are some cases where one can be sure that there is a fundamental class $[\mathcal{N}]$. For example, if there is a complex structure $I \in \mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1}$ on F for which there are no rational curves, then for any complex structure $I \in \mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ near I the moduli $\mathcal{N}_I$ is automatically compact. Indeed, by theorem 4.4.2 the only cause of noncompactness is the appearance of bubbles, which are rational curves. Furthermore, the energy the bubbles is bounded above by the Yang–Mills–Higgs functional. Finally, the property of having no rational curve of bounded energy is open in $\mathscr{I}$ thanks to Gromov compactness. (See remark 6.1.6.) Hence in this situation the above definition of the mixed Hamiltonian Gromow-Witten invariants makes perfect sense.

6.0.3 The invariant $\overline{\Phi}$

To define this other invariant we will used the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$. Following the notation above, let $\mathscr{B} = (\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}^*)/\mathscr{G}$. The gauge group $\mathscr{G}$ acts freely on $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}^* \times E \to \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}^* \times X$. Let $\mathbb{E} \to \mathscr{B} \times X$ be the quotient and $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{E}} = \mathbb{E} \times_{S^1} F = (\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}^* \times \mathcal{F})/\mathscr{G}$.

Let $\overline{\mathrm{ev}} : \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}^* \times X \to \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}^* \times \mathcal{F}$ be the universal section, defined as $\overline{\mathrm{ev}}(A, \Phi, x) = (A, \Phi, \Phi(x))$. The section $\overline{\mathrm{ev}}$ is $\mathscr{G}$ equivariant, so it descends to give a section

$$\mathrm{ev} : \mathscr{B} \times X \to \mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{E}}.$$

Just as before we have a map $\rho^*_{\mathbb{E}} : H^*_{S^1}(F) \to H^*(\mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{E}})$ and we define $\overline{\mu}_i : H^*_{S^1}(F) \to H^*(\mathscr{B})$, $i = 0, 2$, as

$$\overline{\mu}_2(\delta) := \mathrm{ev}^* \rho^*_{\mathbb{E}}\delta/[X] \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overline{\mu}_0(\delta) := \mathrm{ev}^* \rho^*_{\mathbb{E}}\delta/[\mathrm{pt}],$$

where $\delta \in H^*_{S^1}(F)$ and $[X] \in H_2(X)$ (resp. $[\mathrm{pt}] \in H_0(X)$) is the fundamental class of X (resp. the class of a point). Finally, let

$$\overline{\nu} : H^*(\mathscr{A}/\mathscr{G}) = H^*(\mathscr{A}/\mathscr{G}_0) \to H^*(\mathscr{B})$$

be the map induced by the projection $\mathscr{B} \to \mathscr{A}/\mathscr{G}$.

Let us take $B \in H_2(F_{S^1})$ such that $\pi_{F*}B = \eta(E)$, $c \in \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R} \setminus C_0$, $I \in \mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1}$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma^{\mathrm{reg}}_c(E)$. Then

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}^{F,S^1}_{I,\sigma}(B, c) \subset \mathscr{B}$$

is a smooth oriented manifold. Let us suppose as before that there is a fundamental class $[\mathcal{M}] \in H_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathcal{M})$. In this situation we define, for cohomology classes

$$\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_p, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q \in H^*_{S^1}(F) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma \in H^*(\mathscr{A}/\mathscr{G}),$$

the Hamiltonian Gromov-Witten invariant $\overline{\Phi}^{X,F}_{B,c}$ to be

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\Phi}^{X,F}_{B,c}&(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_p \mid \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q \mid \gamma)\\ &= \langle \overline{\mu}_2(\alpha_1) \cup \cdots \cup \overline{\mu}_2(\alpha_p) \cup \overline{\mu}_0(\beta_1) \cup \cdots \cup \overline{\mu}_0(\beta_q) \cup \overline{\nu}(\gamma), [\mathcal{M}]\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

As before, if the cobordisms between the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}$ obtained from different choices of I and σ have fundamental classes, then the numbers $\overline{\Phi}$ are invariant. Here the same comments as in the preceeding subsection are valid: our assumptions on existence of fundamental classes will be satisfied when $\mathcal{M}$ is compact, but when we need to compactify $\mathcal{M}$, then we will have to study the added strata.

6.1 Conditions

In some part of this work we have made several assumptions on F and the action of S^1. In order to give a perfect sense to our invariants we will need to add still some extra conditions on the fixed point set and on the complex structure of F. We state here all these conditions. The first one has been assumed several times before, and the next three are new.

Condition 6.1.1. *F is a compact symplectic manifold. The Hamiltonian action of S^1 on F is almost free. As a consequence, the action on the complementary $F \setminus F^{S^1}$ of the fixed point set is free.*

This condition is equivalent to all Marsden-Weinstein quotients at regular values of the moment map μ being smooth. That is, if we do not assume that the action is almost free then there are quotients which are orbifolds.

There are two cases in which we can define the invariants.

6.1.0.1 First case

In the first situation there is simply no bubbling, and this happens if the following condition is satisfied.

Condition 6.1.2. *The symplectic form ω vanishes on the set of spherical homology classes in $H_2(F;\mathbb{Z})$ (these are the classes which belong to the image of the map $\pi_2(F) \to H_2(F;\mathbb{Z})$).*

When there is no bubbling the extended moduli spaces is automatically compact and hence there is fundamental class in the homology of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{M}$. Therefore, we can define the invariants as in the introduction.

6.1.0.2 Second case

If we allow bubbling to occur, we need to control the strata added to the moduli spaces $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ in theorem 4.4.2. The following three conditions will be assumed in the sequel, and they will be used when proving that these strata have codimension greater than two.

Condition 6.1.3. *(F, I) has to be* **positive***. This means that for any I-holomorphic map $s : \mathbb{C}P^1 \to F$ the pullback s^*TF has positive degree*

$$\deg(s^*TF) > 0.$$

This condition is fulfilled for example when F is a Fano manifold, that is, when the anticanonical bundle $\Lambda^{\text{top}}TF$ is ample. This means that there exists and integer $k > 0$ such that $(\Lambda^{\text{top}}TF)^k$ is very ample. In this case, for any I-holomorphic map $s : \mathbb{C}P^1 \to F$ and different points $x, y \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ there is a section $\sigma \in H^0((\Lambda^{\text{top}}TF)^k)$ which vanishes on $s(x)$ but not on $s(y)$. So the restriction $s^*\sigma$ is a nonzero holomorphic section of $s^*(\Lambda^{\text{top}}TF)^k$. Hence, this bundle has positive degree, and consequently the same happens to $\Lambda^{\text{top}}TF$. As examples of Fano manifolds we have the projective spaces $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and any hypersurface of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ of degree $< n$.

Condition 6.1.4. *For any connected component F_0 of the fixed point set F^{S^1} and any I-holomorphic $s : \mathbb{C}P^1 \to F_0$,*

$$\deg(s^*TF_0) \leq \deg(s^*TF).$$

Condition 6.1.5. *All the connected components* F_0 *of the fixed point set* F^{S^1} *have complex codimension at most 3.*

Remark 6.1.6. *Due to our need of taking generic complex structures* I *to get smooth strata in the compactification of* $\mathcal{N}_I$*, it is desirable to know whether conditions 6.1.3 and 6.1.4 are deformation invariant with respect to the complex structure on* F*. The answer is no. However, something weaker but still enough for our purposes is true. Suppose we restrict our atention in conditions 6.1.3 and 6.1.4 to maps* $s : \mathbb{C}P^1 \to F$ *of bounded energy* $K > 0$*. Then the resulting conditions are open in* $\mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1}$ *(this follows from Gromov compactness theorem, see lemma 5.1.2 in [McDS1]). On the other hand, in the compactifications of* $\mathcal{N}_{I,\sigma}(B,c)$ *and* $\mathcal{M}_{I,\sigma}(B,c)$ *the energy of bubbles is bounded above by the value of* $\mathcal{YMH}_c$ *on any pair* $(A,\Phi) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}I}, \sigma(B,c)$ *(which only depends on* B *and on* $|\sigma|_{C^0}$*). So if the conditions are fulfilled by a complex structure* $I_0 \in \mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1}$ *then the invariants obtained from* $\mathcal{N}_{I,\sigma}(B,c)$ *and* $\mathcal{M}_{I,\sigma}(B,c)$ *will be well defined for any complex structure* I *near enough* I_0 *(how near it must be depends on* $B \in H_2(F_{S^1})$*).*

In particular, if F *is a Fano manifold, then condition 6.1.3 can be granted.*

Example 6.1.7. *Consider the action of* S^1 *on* $\mathbb{C}P^4$ *such that an element* $\lambda \in S^1$ *maps* $[x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4]$ *to* $[\lambda x_0 : \lambda x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4]$*. The connected components of the fixed point locus are the following subspaces*

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= \{x_2 = x_3 = x_4 = 0\}, \\ F_2 &= \{x_0 = x_1 = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

This action is almost free, the projective space $\mathbb{C}P^4$ *is a Fano manifold and condition 6.1.4 is easily seen to be satisfied (considering the standard complex structure on* $\mathbb{C}P^4$*). Finally, the codimension condition 6.1.5 also holds. Hence the invariants are well defined in this case.*

Of course, if we consider the product of $\mathbb{C}P^4$ by any compact positive symplectic manifold M and take the diagonal action of S^1 (with the trivial action on M) then we get a symplectic manifold with a Hamiltonian action of S^1 which also satisfies the conditions.

6.2 Moduli of cusp σ-THCs

6.2.1 Evaluation maps are submersions

In this subsection we will generalise the result in section 6.1 of [McDS1] for curves in $\mathcal{M}^{L,\Gamma,\rho}(A)$, where $A \in H_2(F;\mathbb{Z})$. For any $x \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ we have an evaluation map

$$\mathrm{ev}_x : \mathcal{M}_{\mathscr{I}} = \mathcal{M}^{L,\Gamma,\rho}_{\mathscr{I}}(A) \to F$$

which sends any $s \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathscr{I}}$ to $\mathrm{ev}_x(s) = s(x)$. When $\Gamma = 1$ theorem 6.1.1 in [McDS1] says that the map ev_x is a submersion. When $\Gamma \neq 1$ this need not hold any longer. In fact, we must distinguish two possibilities. If $x \neq x_{\pm}$, then the map $\mathrm{ev}_x : \mathcal{M}_{\mathscr{I}} \to F$ is a submersion, and if $x = x_{\pm}$ then the evaluation map ev_x takes values in F^{S^1} and the map $\mathrm{ev}_x : \mathcal{M}_{\mathscr{I}} \to F^{S^1}$ is a submersion. We state this in the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2.1. *Suppose that $\Gamma \neq S^1$. Given $I \in \mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1}$, a curve $s \in \mathcal{M}_I^{L,\Gamma,\rho}(A)$ and a point $x \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ different from $x_{\pm}$ (resp. equal to $x_{\pm}$) —note that the second condition only makes sense when $\Gamma \neq 1$— there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $v \in T_{s(x)}F^L$ (resp. for any $v \in T_{s(x)}F^{S^1}$) and every $0 < \rho < r < \delta$ there exists a smooth Γ-equivariant vector field $\xi \in \Omega^0(s^*TF^L)^\Gamma$ and an infinitesimal variation of almost complex structure $Y \in T_I\mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1}$ (see proposition 5.2.2) such that the following holds*

i) $D_s\xi + \frac{1}{2}Y(s) \circ ds \circ j = 0$ (that is, the pair (ξ, Y) belongs to $T_{(s,I)}\mathcal{M}_{\mathscr{I}}$),

ii) $\xi(x) = v$ and

iii) ξ is supported in $\Gamma \circ B_\delta(x)$ and Y is supported in and arbitrarily small neighbourhood of $s(\Gamma \cdot (B_r(x) \setminus B_\rho(x))$.

Proof. Since the proof is almost the same as that of lemma 6.1.2 in [McDS1], we will just give a sketch and mention the differences. The first thing to do is to find a local solution ξ_0 of $D_s\xi = 0$ in $B_\delta(x)$ satisfying $\xi_0(x) = v$. This is done by solving a boundary value problem (see proposition 4.1 in [McD] and the references therein). Then one multiplies ξ by a cutoff function with support in a neighbourhood of $B_r(x) \setminus B_\rho(x)$ to extend ξ_0 to a section of s^*TF^L. One then averages ξ_0 by the action of Γ and obtains a section $\xi \in \Omega^0(s^*TF^L)^\Gamma$. Finally, one must modify I by a suitable infinitesimal $Y \in T_I\mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1}$ so that *i)* is satisfied (in order to take Y Γ-equivariant one needs to be careful with the fixed point locus of the action of S^1; this may be done using theorem 5.1.3, as was done in the proof of proposition 5.2.2). This Y can be taken fulfilling property *iii)*, repeating the argument in [McDS1] but taking into account Γ-equivariance. □

When $\Gamma = S^1$ the result is even easier. As before, we distinguish two possibilities. If $x = x_{\pm}$ then the same result as above holds. When $x = x_{\pm}$, then it can be improved in the following sense. The perturbation Y of the complex structure may be chosen with support in a neighbourhood of $S^1 \cdot x_a \cap S^1 \cdot x_b$, where $d(x_+, S^1 \cdot x_a) < d(x_+, S^1 \cdot x) < d(x_+, S^1 \cdot x_b)$. This is a straightforward consequence of the interpretation of $\mathcal{M}_I^{1,S^1,1}$ in terms of lines of steepest descent of the moment map with respect to the metric $\omega(\cdot, I\cdot)$ (see subsection 5.3.3).

Definition 6.2.2. *We will say that a point $x \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ is* **critical** *with respect to the tuple (L, Γ, ρ) if either $L = S^1$ or $\Gamma \neq 1$ and $x = x_{\pm}$.*

6.2.2 Framings

6.2.2.1 Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and fix points $y_1, \dots, y_q \in X$. We will call these points the **marked points**. Recall that we denote cusps THCs with tuples of the form (E, X^c, A, Φ, c) (see definition 4.4.1). For any bubble $X_k \subset X^c$ the image $\Phi_k(X_k) \subset \mathcal{F}$ is contained in a unique fibre. Let $x_k \in X$ be the base point corresponding to this fibre.

The gauge groups $\mathscr{G}_0$ and $\mathscr{G}$ act on the set of cusp curves. On the other hand, any bubble X_k has a reparameterisation group A_k. This is the subset of $\mathrm{Aut}(X_k) = \mathrm{PSL}(2;\mathbb{C})$ given by automorphisms with keep fixed the intersections of X_k with the other irreducible components of X^{c}. We call **moduli of cusp curves** (resp. **extended moduli of cusp curves**) the set of orbits of the action of $\mathscr{G}$ (resp. $\mathscr{G}_0$) and the reparameterisation groups A_k of the bubbles.

6.2.2.2 The maps Φ_k in a cusp curve may be multicovered. This means that Φ_k factors as $\Phi'_k \circ r_k$, where $r_k : \mathbb{C}P^1 \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ is a ramified covering. If r_k has maximal degree, we will call Φ'_k the **simplification** of Φ. This will be a simple map.

Let us write $F_1, \dots, F_r$ for the connected components of the fixed point set F^{S^1}. We will follow this notation. For any natural number K, $\mathbf{K}$ will denote the set $\{1, \dots, K\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{K}_0 = \{0\} \cup \mathbf{K}$. We define the framing $D = D(E, X^{\mathrm{c}}, A, \Phi, c)$ of the cusp σ-THC $(E, X^{\mathrm{c}}, A, \Phi, c)$ to be the following set of data:

1. The class $B_0 = \rho_{E*}\Phi_{0*}[X_0] \in H_2(F_{S^1};\mathbb{Z})$.
2. The number K of bubbles in X^{c}.
3. Homology classes $B_1, \dots, B_K \in H_2(\mathcal{F};\mathbb{Z})$ describing the image of the bubbles X_k in $\mathcal{F}$.
4. For every $k \in \mathbf{K}$ the tuple (L_k, Γ_k, ρ_k) such that, after identifying $\mathcal{F}_{x_k} \simeq F$, the simplification Φ'_k of Φ_k belongs to $\mathcal{M}^{L_k,\Gamma_k,\rho_k}(B_k)$.
5. For any $k \in \mathbf{K}$ such that $L_k = S^1$, the number $c(k)$ such that, for all $s \in \mathcal{M}^{L_k,\Gamma_k,\rho_k}(B_k)$, $s(\mathbb{C}P^1) \subset F_{c(k)}$.
6. For any $k \in \mathbf{K}$ such that $\Gamma_k \neq 1$, numbers $c(k)_+$ and $c(k)_-$ such that, for all $s \in \mathcal{M}^{L_k,\Gamma_k,\rho_k}(B_k)$, $s(x_\pm) \in F_{c(k)_\pm}$.
7. A set $C \subset \mathbf{K}_0^2$ containing the pairs (i,j) such that $i < j$ and $X_i \cap X_j \neq \emptyset$ and the following.
    (a) A partition $C = C_{00} \cup C_{01} \cup C_{10} \cup C_{11}$ defined as follows. For any pair $(i,j) \in C$, let $x = X_i \cap X_j$. Put $\epsilon(i)$ to be 1 if $x \in X_i$ is a critical point with respect to (L_i, Γ_i, ρ_i) and 0 otherwise (see definition 6.2.2), and define $\epsilon(j)$ similarly. Then (i,j) belongs to $C_{\epsilon(i)\epsilon(j)}$.
    (b) A set $C'' \subset \mathbf{K}^3$ containing the sets (i,j,k) such that $\Gamma_i(X_i \cap X_j) \cap (X_i \cap X_k) \neq \emptyset$ (this is a subset of X_i).
8. An element $c \in \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}$.
9. A set $S \subset \mathbf{K}^2$ containing the pairs (i,j) such that $\Phi_i(X_i) = \Phi_j(X_j)$.
10. The set of $k \in \mathbf{K}$ such that $x_k \in \{y_1, \dots, y_q\}$ (that is, such that $\Phi_k(X_k)$ is contained in the fibre over a marked point) and the marked point $y_{m(k)} = x_k$.

Definition 6.2.3. *We will denote $\mathcal{M}'_{I,\sigma}(D)$ the moduli of cusp σ-THCs with framing D. The extended moduli of cusp σ-THCs will be denoted $\mathcal{N}'_{I,\sigma}(D)$.*

6.2.2.3 We define the **total homology class of the frame** D to be the equivariant homology class $B(D) = B_0+B_1+\cdots+B_K \in H_2(F_{S^1};\mathbb{Z})$. Lemma A.4.1 in the appendix says that there is a natural map $H_*(F) \to H_*(\mathcal{F})$. In the sequel the cohomology classes B_k will mean either the element $\Phi_{k*}[X_k] \in H_*(\mathcal{F})$ or any of their preimages in $H_*(F)$ by that map. For example, we may write for any k

$$\langle c_1(TF), B_k\rangle = \langle c_1^{S^1}(TF), B_k\rangle, \tag{6.1}$$

where on the left hand side we view $B_k \in H_2(F)$ and on right hand side we view B_k as an equivariant homology class.

6.2.2.4 For any cusp σ-THC with frame D we make the following reduction process. First we substitute the bubble maps Φ_k by their simplifications Φ'_k, and then we identify bubbles with the same image in $\mathcal{F}$. Finally, if necessary we forget some intersection points in order that no two irreducible components of the cusp we have obtained intersect at more than one point.

After this process we end up with another cusp σ-THC with frame $\overline{D}$. We call the resulting cusp a **reduced cusp** and $\overline{D}$ a **reduced frame**. We will denote $\mathcal{M}_{I,\sigma}(\overline{D}) \subset \mathcal{M}'_{I,\sigma}(\overline{D})$ (resp. $\mathcal{N}_{I,\sigma}(\overline{D}) \subset \mathcal{N}'_{I,\sigma}(\overline{D})$) the moduli (resp. extended moduli) of reduced cusp curves with framing $\overline{D}$.

Note that the total homology class of $\overline{D}$ will not necessarily be equal to that of D. If $\overline{B}_0, \overline{B}_1, \ldots, \overline{B}_K$ are the homology classes of $\overline{D}$ we will have

$$B(D) = \overline{B}_0 + r_1\overline{B}_1 + \cdots + r_K\overline{B}_K,$$

where $r_k \geq 1$ are integers. This motivates the following definition.

Definition 6.2.4. *If $B \in H_2(F_{S^1};\mathbb{Z})$ and the homology classes $B_0, B_1, \ldots, B_K$ of a frame D satisfy $B = B_0 + r_1B_1 + \cdots + r_KB_K$ for some integers $r_k \geq 1$, then we will say that the frame D is B-**admisible**.*

We will denote $\mathcal{D}(B, c)$ the set of B-admisible framings D such that $c(D) = c$. This is obviously a numerable set. It contains a distinguished element D^T which represents the cusp curve with no bubbling. We will call D^T the **top framing** of B.

6.2.2.5 The main result of this subsection is the following theorem.

Theorem 6.2.5. *Let D be a reduced frame. Suppose that the element $c = c(D) \in \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}$ lies in the complementary of C_0. For generic $\sigma \in \Sigma_c^{\mathrm{reg}}(E)$ and complex structure $I \in \mathscr{I}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\omega,S^1}$ the moduli $\mathcal{M}_{I,\sigma}(D)$ of reduced cusp σ-THC with frame D is branchedly covered by a smooth manifold. Furthermore, if the positivity conditions in 6.1 are satisfied and if D is B-admisible for some $B \in H_2(F_{S^1};\mathbb{Z})$ then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_{I,\sigma}(D) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_{I,\sigma}(B, c) - 2(1 + b(D)),$$

where $b(D)$ is the number of marked points whose fibre contains a bubble.

Proof. Through all the proof dim and codim will denote real dimension and codimension. Let $2n$ be the dimension of F.

6.2.2.6 For any tuple (L,Γ,ρ) and bundle $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}^E\to X$ denote $\mathrm{Map}^L(\mathbb{C}P^1,\mathcal{F})^{\Gamma,\rho}_{\mathrm{fibr}}$ the set of maps $\Phi:\mathbb{C}P^1\to\mathcal{F}$ whose image is included in a single fibre $\mathcal{F}_x$ and such that $\Phi\in\mathrm{Map}^L(\mathbb{C}P^1,\mathcal{F}_x)^{\Gamma,\rho}$ (see (5.3)). We have

$$\mathrm{Map}^L(\mathbb{C}P^1,\mathcal{F})^{\Gamma,\rho}_{\mathrm{fibr}}=E\times_{S^1}\mathrm{Map}^L(\mathbb{C}P^1,F)^{\Gamma,\rho}.$$

Define for any homology class $B\in H_2(F;\mathbb{Z})$

$$\mathcal{M}^{L,\Gamma,\rho}_{\mathscr{I},\mathrm{fibr}}(B)=\left\{(\Phi,I)\in\mathrm{Map}^L(\mathbb{C}P^1,F)^{\Gamma,\rho}_{\mathrm{fibr}}\times\mathscr{I}^l_{\omega,S^1}\,\middle|\,\begin{array}{l}\overline{\partial}_I\Phi=0,\ \Phi_*[\Sigma]=B\\ \Phi\text{ simple}\end{array}\right\}.$$

Incidentally, this is the moduli space used to defined fibrewise and equivariant quantum cohomology by Givental, Kim and Lu (see [Lu]). Note that we have $\mathcal{M}^{L,\Gamma,\rho}_{\mathscr{I},\mathrm{fibr}}(B)=E\times_{S^1}\mathcal{M}^{L,\Gamma,\rho}_{\mathscr{I}}(B)$. In particular,

$$\dim\mathcal{M}^{L,\Gamma,\rho}_{\mathscr{I},\mathrm{fibr}}(B)=\dim\mathcal{M}^{L,\Gamma,\rho}_{\mathscr{I}}(B)+2.\tag{6.2}$$

6.2.2.7 Fix a reduced frame D and suppose that the element $c=c(D)\in\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}$ lies in the complementary of C_0. Let K be the number of bubbles, $C\subset\{0,1,\dots,K\}^2$ the set of pairs describing which irreducible components intersect, $B_0,B_1,\dots,B_K$ the homology classes of D, and (L_k,Γ_k,ρ_k) the tuples telling the moduli in which Φ_k sits. We denote $X=X_0,X_1,\dots,X_K$ the irreducible components of the cusps with frame D.

6.2.2.8 Define for any k the group G_k to be $\mathbb{C}^*$ if $\Gamma_k\neq 1$ and $\mathrm{PSL}(2;\mathbb{C})$ if $\Gamma_k=1$. These groups act effectively on $\mathcal{M}^{L_k,\Gamma_k,\rho_k}_I(B_k)$ as follows: any $s\in\mathcal{M}^{L_k,\Gamma_k,\rho_k}_I(B_k)$ is mapped by $g\in G_k$ to $g(s):=s\circ g:\mathbb{C}P^1\to F$. Note that when $\Gamma_k\neq 1$ the group $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ keeping fixed $x_\pm$.

6.2.2.9 Let us write

$$\mathcal{M}^*_{\mathscr{I}}(D)=\prod_{k=1}^K\mathcal{M}^{L_k,\Gamma_k,\rho_k}_{\mathscr{I},\mathrm{fibr}}(B_k)\setminus\Delta,$$

where Δ is the multidiagonal, that is, the set of elements $(s_1,\dots,s_K)$ such that $s_i=s_j$ for some $i\neq j$. $\mathcal{M}^*_{\mathscr{I}}(D)$ parameterizes tuples of K different holomorphic maps $\Phi_k:\mathbb{C}P^1\to\mathcal{F}$ whose image is contained in any fibre. Reasoning exactly like in proposition 5.2.2 one proves that $\mathcal{M}^*_{\mathscr{I}}(D)$ is a smooth manifold of finite dimension on which the gauge group $\mathscr{G}$ acts smoothly. Note that $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{M}^*_{\mathscr{I}}(D)/\mathscr{G}=\dim_{\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{M}^*_{\mathscr{I}}(D)-1$. We will prove that the universal moduli of reduced cusp σ-THCs with frame D

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathscr{I},\Sigma}(D)=\bigcup_{I\in\mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1},\sigma\in\Sigma_c(E)}\mathcal{M}_{I,\sigma}(D)$$

is a smooth Banach submanifold of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathscr{I},\Sigma}(B_0,c)\times\mathcal{M}^*_{\mathscr{I}}(D)/(\mathscr{G}\times\prod G_k)$.

6.2.2.10 Recall that $\mathcal{F}_0 = E \times_{S^1} F^{S^1}$. For any pair $e=(i,j)\in C$ we define

$$\mathcal{F}_e = \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}\times\mathcal{F} & \text{if } e\in C_{00},\\ \mathcal{F}\times\mathcal{F}^0 & \text{if } e\in C_{01},\\ \mathcal{F}^0\times\mathcal{F} & \text{if } e\in C_{10},\\ \mathcal{F}^0\times\mathcal{F}^0 & \text{if } e\in C_{11}, \end{cases}$$

and we write $\Delta_e \subset \mathcal{F}_e$ for the diagonal in $\mathcal{F}_e$. We also define $X_e = X_i^e \times X_j^e$, where: if $i=0$ and $x_j = y_{m(j)}$ is a marked point then $X_i^e = y_{m(j)}$ and $X_j^e = x_\pm^j$ if $X_i \cap X_j = x_\pm^j$ and X_j otherwise; and if $i \neq 0$ then X_i^e is $x_\pm^i \in X_i$ if $X_i\cap X_j = x_\pm^i$ and X_i otherwise, and X_j^e is defined similarly.

We then have an evaluation map

$$\mathrm{ev}_C : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathscr{I},\Sigma}(B_0,c)\times\mathcal{M}^*_{\mathscr{I}}(D)\times\prod_{e\in C} X_e \to \prod_{e\in C}\mathcal{F}_e.$$

Consider the projection

$$\Theta_{\mathscr{I}} : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathscr{I},\Sigma}(B_0,c)\times\mathcal{M}^*_{\mathscr{I}}(D)\times\prod_{e\in C} X_e \to \mathscr{I}^{K+1}_{\omega,S^1}$$

and write $\Delta_{\mathscr{I}}$ for the diagonal in $\mathscr{I}^{K+1}_{\omega,S^1}$. Since $c\in \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}\setminus C_0$, theorem 3 and 5.2.1 imply that $\Theta^{-1}_{\mathscr{I}}(\Delta_{\mathscr{I}})$ is a smooth Banach manifold (this is exactly like the proof of lemma 4.9 in [RuTi]). Let us define

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathscr{I},\Sigma}(D) = (\Theta^{-1}_{\mathscr{I}}(\Delta_{\mathscr{I}})\cap \mathrm{ev}_C^{-1}(\prod_{e\in C}\Delta_e)/\mathscr{G})/\prod G_k. \tag{6.3}$$

Now, the results in subsection 6.2.1 imply that the evaluation map ev_C restricted to $\Theta^{-1}_{\mathscr{I}}(\Delta_{\mathscr{I}})$ is a submersion. Furthermore, the action of $\mathscr{G}$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathscr{I},\Sigma}(B_0,c)\times\mathcal{M}^*_{\mathscr{I}}(D)\times\prod_{e\in C}X_e$ is free, so $\mathcal{R}_{\mathscr{I},\Sigma}(D)$ is a smooth Banach manifold. Finally, the projection

$$p:\mathcal{R}_{\mathscr{I},\Sigma}(D)\to\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1}\times\Sigma_c(E)$$

is a Fredholm map. Hence, the theorem of Sard-Smale implies that there is a Baire set of the second category $\mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}\subset\mathscr{P}$ such that for any $(I,\sigma)\in\mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ the set

$$p^{-1}(I,\sigma)=\mathcal{R}_{I,\sigma}(D)$$

is a smooth manifold. The set of cusp curves is equal to $\mathcal{M}_{I,\sigma}(D)=\pi_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{R}_{I,\sigma}(D))$, where

$$\pi_{\mathcal{M}}:\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{I,\sigma}(B_0,c)\times\mathcal{M}^*_I(D)\times\prod_{e\in C}X_e\to\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{I,\sigma}(B_0,c)\times\mathcal{M}^*_I(D)$$

is the projection. This is a ramified covering, and the fibre over any element in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathscr{I},\Sigma}(D)$ is just the set of points of X^{c} whose images in $\mathcal{F}$ coincide.

6.2.2.11 To prove the claim on the dimension of $\mathcal{M}_{I,\sigma}(D)$, we will prove that

$$\dim \mathcal{R}_{I,\sigma}(D) \leq \dim \mathcal{M}_{I,\sigma}(B,c) - 2.$$

Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Theta_{\mathscr{I}}^{-1}(\Delta_I) \cap \mathrm{ev}_C^{-1}(\prod \Delta_e) \arrow[r, "\tilde{\iota}"] \arrow[d] & \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{I,\sigma}(B_0,c) \times \mathcal{M}_I^*(D) \times \prod X_e \arrow[d] \\
\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{I,\sigma}(D) := \Theta_{\mathscr{I}}^{-1}(\Delta_I) \cap \mathrm{ev}_C^{-1}(\prod \Delta_e)/\mathscr{G} \arrow[r, "\iota"] & \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{I,\sigma}(B_0,c) \times \mathcal{M}_I^*(D)/\mathscr{G} \times \prod X_e
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which the horizontal maps $\tilde{\iota}$ and ι are embeddings and the vertical maps are the projections to the sets of $\mathscr{G}$ orbits.

Since $\Theta_{\mathscr{I}}^{-1}(\Delta_I) \cap \mathrm{ev}_C^{-1}(\prod \Delta_e)$ is $\mathscr{G}$ invariant, the codimension of the embeddings $\tilde{\iota}$ and ι is the same. Now, $\Theta_{\mathscr{I}}^{-1}(\Delta_I) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{I,\sigma}(B_0,c) \times \mathcal{M}_I^*(D) \times \prod X_e$ and the map ev_C restricted to $\Theta_{\mathscr{I}}^{-1}(\Delta_I)$ is a submersion. Consequently,

$$\operatorname{codim} \tilde{\iota} = \operatorname{codim}_{\Theta_{\mathscr{I}}^{-1}(\Delta_I)} \mathrm{ev}_C^{-1}\Big(\prod \Delta_e\Big) = \sum \operatorname{codim}_{\mathcal{F}_e} \Delta_e.$$

Combining (6.3) and the above reasoning we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim \mathcal{R}_{I,\sigma}(D) &= \dim \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{I,\sigma}(D) - \sum \dim G_k \\
&= \dim \mathcal{M}_{I,\sigma}(B_0,c) + \dim \mathcal{M}_I^*(D)/\mathscr{G} \\
&\quad + \sum \dim X_e - \sum \operatorname{codim}_{\mathcal{F}_e} \Delta_e - \sum \dim G_k \\
&= \dim \mathcal{M}_{I,\sigma}(B_0,c) + \dim \mathcal{M}_I^*(D) - 1 \\
&\quad + \sum \dim X_e - \sum \operatorname{codim}_{\mathcal{F}_e} \Delta_e - \sum \dim G_k.
\end{aligned}$$

To bound this dimension we divide the set of bubbles $\mathbf{K}$ in three subsets. Let $\mathbf{S}$ (resp. $\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{U}$) denote the set of $k \in \mathbf{K}$ such that $L_k = 1$, $\Gamma_k = 1$ (resp. $L_k = 1$, $\Gamma_k \neq 1$ and $L_k = S^1$, $\Gamma_k = 1$). Let $S = |\mathbf{S}|$, $T = |\mathbf{T}|$ and $U = |\mathbf{U}|$. Theorems 5.3.1 and 5.3.2, and formula (6.1), imply the following.

- If $k \in \mathbf{S}$ then $\dim G_k = 6$ and

  $$\dim \mathcal{M}_{I,\mathrm{fibr}}^{L_k,\Gamma_k,\rho_k}(B_k) = 2 + 2\langle c_1(TF), B_k\rangle + 2n = 2 + 2\langle c_1^{S^1}(TF), B_k\rangle + 2n,$$

  where in the last term we view B_k as an element of $H_*(F_{S^1})$ (see 6.2.2.3).

- If $k \in \mathbf{T}$ then $\dim G_k = 2$ and

  $$\dim \mathcal{M}_{I,\mathrm{fibr}}^{L_k,\Gamma_k,\rho_k}(B_k) \leq 2 + 2\langle c_1(TF), B_k\rangle + 2n - 4 = 2\langle c_1^{S^1}(TF), B_k\rangle + 2n - 2,$$

  by theorem 5.3.3.

- If $k \in \mathbf{U}$ then $\dim G_k = 6$ and
$$\begin{aligned}\dim \mathcal{M}_{I,\mathrm{fibr}}^{L_k,\Gamma_k,\rho_k}(B_k) &= 2 + 2\langle c_1(TF^{S^1}), B_k\rangle + \dim F_{c(k)} \\ &\leq 2 + 2\langle c_1(TF), B_k\rangle + \dim F_{c(k)} \\ &= 2 + 2\langle c_1^{S^1}(TF), B_k\rangle + \dim F_{c(k)},\end{aligned}$$
by condition 6.1.4.

On the other hand, since D is B-admissible and condition 6.1.3 is satisfied, we have
$$\sum_{k=1}^{K}\langle c_1^{S^1}(TF), B_k\rangle \leq \langle c_1^{S^1}(TF), B\rangle.$$

Hence,
$$\begin{aligned}\dim \mathcal{R}_{I,\sigma}(D) \leq{}& 2\langle c_1^{S^1}(TF), B\rangle + 2(n-1)(1-g) \\ &+ (S+T)2n + \sum_{k\in\mathbf{U}} \dim F_{c(k)} - 4K + \sum_{e\in C}(\dim X_e - \operatorname{codim}_{\mathcal{F}_e}\Delta_e).\end{aligned}$$

To find an upper bound for the last two terms we proceed as follows. Suppose to begin with that $b(D) = 0$. Since for any e we have $\dim X_e - \operatorname{codim}_{\mathcal{F}_e}\Delta_e \leq 0$, an upper bound for $\sum_{e\in C'}$ where $C' \subset C$ will also give a bound on $\dim \mathcal{R}_{I,\sigma}(D)$. So we take any subset $C' \subset C$ of K elements with the following property. The graph whose vertices are the elements of $\mathbf{K}_0$ and which has an edge joining i to j if either (i,j) or (j,i) belong to C' is connected. This implies that $C' \nsubseteq C_{11}$ (because otherwise the vertex $0 \in \mathbf{K}_0$ would be disconnected from the rest). Take an injective map
$$v : C' \to \mathbf{K}$$
which assigns to (i,j) either i or j. Let $k \in \mathbf{K}$ and $e = v^{-1}(k)$.

- If $k \in \mathbf{S}$ then $\dim X_e - \operatorname{codim}_{\mathcal{F}_e}\Delta_e = -2n + 2$.
- If $k \in \mathbf{T}$ and $e \notin C_{11}$ then $\dim X_e - \operatorname{codim}_{\mathcal{F}_e}\Delta_e \leq -2n+2$ and if $e \in C_{11}$ then
$$\dim X_e - \operatorname{codim}_{\mathcal{F}_e}\Delta_e \leq \max\{-\dim F_{c(k)_+}, -\dim F_{c(k)_+}\} - 2 \leq -2n+4,$$
by condition 6.1.5.
- If $k \in \mathbf{U}$ then $\dim X_e - \operatorname{codim}_{\mathcal{F}_e}\Delta_e = -\dim F_{c(k)} + 2$.

Since $C' \nsubseteq C_{11}$, we get
$$\dim \mathcal{R}_{I,\sigma}(D) \leq 2\langle c_1^{S^1}(TF), B\rangle + 2(n-1)(1-g) - 2 = \dim \mathcal{M}_{I,\sigma}(B,c) - 2,$$
which is what we wanted to prove. If $b(D) > 0$ then the same reasoning as above works. Just observe that we have to substract at the end $2b(D)$ (that is, two units for each $e = (0,j)$ such that $x_j = y_{m(j)}$). □

6.3 Extended moduli of cusp σ-THCs

Using the same techniques as in the proof of theorem 6.2.5 one proves the following theorem on the extended moduli of reduced cusp σ-THCs.

Theorem 6.3.1. *Let D be a reduced frame. Suppose that the element $c = c(D) \in \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}$ lies in the complementary of C_0. For $\sigma \in \Sigma_c^{\mathrm{reg}}(E)$ and complex structure $I \in \mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ the extended moduli $\mathcal{N}_{I,\sigma}(D)$ of reduced cusp σ-THC with frame D is branchedly covered by a smooth manifold. If the positivity conditions in 6.1 are satisfied and if D is B-admissible for some $B \in H_2(F_{S^1};\mathbb{Z})$ then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{N}_{I,\sigma}(D) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{N}_{I,\sigma}(B,c) - 2(1 + b(D)),$$

where $b(D)$ is the number of marked points whose fibre contains the image of some bubble. Furthermore, for any pair $I_0, I_1 \in \mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ and $\sigma_0, \sigma_1 \in \Sigma_c^{\mathrm{reg}}(E)$ we can find paths $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto (I_t, \sigma_t) \in \mathscr{I}_{\omega,S^1} \times \Sigma_c(E)$ such that

$$\bigcup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathcal{N}_{I_t,\sigma_t}(D)$$

is a smooth cobordism between $\mathcal{N}_{I_0,\sigma_0}(D)$ and $\mathcal{N}_{I_1,\sigma_1}(D)$.

6.4 Definition of the invariants

6.4.1 A retraction of $\mathscr{B}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{E}}$

Let $E \to X$ be a S^1 principal bundle of degree d, and let $\mathscr{A}$ be the set of connections on E. Let $\mathscr{G}_0 \subset \mathscr{G} = \mathrm{Map}(X, S^1)$ be the set of gauge transformations of E fixing the fibre over $x_0 \in X$.

Let

$$\mathrm{Jac}_d(X) = \{A \in \mathscr{A} \mid F_A = -\mathbf{i}2\pi d\omega_X / \mathrm{Vol}(X)\}/\mathscr{G}_0$$

be the Jacobian of degree d (here as usual we write ω_X for the symplectic form in X). This is a torus of real dimension twice the genus of X. We will construct a retraction $\mathscr{A}/\mathscr{G}_0 \to \mathrm{Jac}_d(X)$. Recall that we have a metric on X, which induces metrics on the exterior algebra of forms $\Omega^*(X)$. Let $\mathcal{H}^j$ be the space of harmonic j-forms with respect to this metric.

Let

$$F : \mathscr{A}/\mathscr{G}_0 \to \Omega^2(\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R})$$

be the map which sends any $[A]$ to $F_A + \mathbf{i}2\pi d\omega_X/\mathrm{Vol}(X)$. It is easy to see, using Hodge theory, that the image of F is the orthogonal of $\mathbf{i}\mathcal{H}^2(X)$ in $\Omega^2(\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R})$. The preimage of $0 \in \Omega^2(\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R})$ is precisely $\mathrm{Jac}_d(X)$. In fact, $F : \mathscr{A}/\mathscr{G}_0 \to \mathbf{i}\mathcal{H}^2(X)^{\perp}$ is a smooth fibration with fibres diffeomorphic to $\mathrm{Jac}_d(X)$. We will construct a connection on this fibration by specifying its horizontal distribuition.

Given any $[A] \in \mathscr{A}/\mathscr{G}_0$, the tangent space $T_{[A]}\mathscr{A}/\mathscr{G}_0$ can be canonically identified with $\operatorname{Ker} d_1^*$, where $d_1 : \Omega^0(\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}) \to \Omega^1(\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R})$ is the exterior derivation. Then we set the horizontal space at $[A]$ to be

$$(T_{[A]}\mathscr{A}/\mathscr{G}_0)_h := \operatorname{Ker} d_1^* \cap (\operatorname{Ker} d_2)^\perp,$$

where $d_2 : \Omega^1(\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}) \to \Omega^2(\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R})$ is the exterior derivation. Now, using parallel transport along lines going through $-\mathbf{i}2\pi d\omega_X / \operatorname{Vol}(X) \in \Omega^2(\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R})$ we get the desired retraction

$$R : \mathscr{A}/\mathscr{G}_0 \to \operatorname{Jac}_d(X).$$

Let $\mathscr{G}^{\mathbb{C}} = \operatorname{Map}(X, \mathbb{C}^*)$. This is the complexification of $\mathscr{G}$ and it acts on $\mathscr{A}$ holomorphically (see 2.1.2). Each $\mathscr{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$ orbit in $\mathscr{A}$ contains exactly one $\mathscr{G}$ orbit giving an element in $\operatorname{Jac}_d(X)$ (this is the most simple case of Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence).

Lemma 6.4.1. *The map R factors through the projection $\mathscr{A}/\mathscr{G}_0 \to \mathscr{A}/\mathscr{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$.*

Proof. Suppose for simplicity that $d = 0$. The vector field we have used to construct the retraction is the gradient of the Yang–Mills functional $YM(A) = \|F_A\|^2_{L^2}$. Now, $\mu(A) = F_A$ is a moment map for the action of $\mathscr{G}$ on $\mathscr{A}$, and so $YM(A) = \|\mu(A)\|^2_{L^2}$. But it is a general fact that $\nabla\|\mu\|^2 = -2\mathbf{i}\mathscr{X}_\mu$, where we identify $\operatorname{Lie}(\mathscr{G}) \simeq \operatorname{Lie}(\mathscr{G})^*$ to view $\mu \in \operatorname{Lie}(\mathscr{G})$ and where $\mathscr{X}$ is the field on $\mathscr{A}$ generated by μ. Hence the integral lines of the gradient of $\|F_A\|^2_{L^2}$ are contained in the orbits of the action of $\mathscr{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$. □

We now show how to lift the above retraction to a retraction of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{E}_J}$ onto its restriction on $\operatorname{Jac}_d(X) \times X$. Let $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{E}_J}_J = \mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{E}_J}|_{\operatorname{Jac}_d(X)\times X}$. The universal connection $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$ on $\pi_X^* E \to \mathscr{A} \times X$ (which is by definition equal to $\pi_X^* A$ on the slice $\{A\} \times X$) descends to a connection $\mathbb{A}$ on $\mathbb{E}_J$. Using the induced connection on $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{E}_J}$ and the connection on $\mathscr{A}/\mathscr{G}_0 \to \mathbf{i}\mathcal{H}^2(X)^\perp$ we get a connection on the fibration $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{E}_J} \to \mathbf{i}\mathcal{H}^2(X)^\perp$ which allows to define the retraction

$$R^{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{E}_J} \to \mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{E}_J}_J.$$

6.4.2 Pseudocycles in smooth manifolds

The following definitions (with some modifications) are taken from chapter 7 in [McDS1]. Let M be any oriented smooth manifold.

Definition 6.4.2. *Given a manifold V and a continuous map $f : V \to M$, the* **omega-limit-set** *of f is*

$$\Omega_f = \bigcap_{K \subset V K \text{ compact}} \overline{f(V \setminus K)}.$$

The omega-limit-set of f is, in a certain sense, the boundary of $f(V)$. More precisely, it consists of the limit points of sequences $f(x_n)$, where $\{x_n\} \subset V$ has no convergent subsequence.

Definition 6.4.3. *A* **pseudocycle** *of real dimension k in M is a pair of maps $(f, g) : (V, W) \to M$, where V and W are σ-compact* [1] *oriented smooth manifolds, with V of real dimension k and with all the components in W having real dimension at most $k - 2$, such that $\Omega_f \subset g(W)$.*

Definition 6.4.4. *Two pseudocycles $(f_i, g_i) : (V_i, W_i) \to M$, $i = 1, 2$, are said to be* **bordant** *if there exists a pseudocycle $(f_{\mathbb{V}}, g_{\mathbb{W}}) : (\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{W}) \to M$ such that $\partial\mathbb{V} = V_1 - V_2$ and $f_{\mathbb{V}}|_{V_i} = f_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.*

In particular, two pseudocycles $(f_i, g_i) : (V_i, W_i) \to M$, $i = 1, 2$, such that $V_1 = V_2$ and $f_1 = f_2$ are trivially bordant. Note that, since what we are really interested in is bordism classes of pseudocycles, we could have defined pseudocycles, following [McDS1], as maps $f : V \to M$ from an oriented manifold V whose omega-limit-set may be covered by the image of a map from a manifold of real dimension at most that of V minus two to M.

Definition 6.4.5. *Two pseudocycles $(f_i, g_i) : (V_i, W_i) \to M$, $i = 1, 2$, are said to be* **transverse** *if all the intersections $f_{V_1}(V_1) \cap f_{V_2}(V_2)$, $f_{V_1}(V_1) \cap f_{W_2}(W_2)$, $f_{W_1}(W_1) \cap f_{V_2}(V_2)$ and $f_{W_1}(W_1) \cap f_{W_2}(W_2)$ are transverse in M.*

Lemma 6.4.6. *If two pseudocycles $(f_i, g_i) : (V_i, W_i) \to M$, $i = 1, 2$, are transverse and of complementary dimension in M, then $\Omega_{f_i} \cap \overline{g_j(W_j)} = \emptyset$ for $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$ and the set $\{(v_1, v_2) \in V_1 \times V_2 \mid f_1(v_1) = f_2(v_2)\}$ is finite. Let us define*

$$f_1 \cdot f_2 = \sum_{(v_1,v_2)\in V_1\times V_2 f_1(v_1)=f_2(v_2)} \nu(v_1, v_2),$$

where $\nu(v_1, v_2)$ is the intersection number of $f_1(V_1)$ and $f_2(V_2)$ at the point $f_1(v_1) = f_2(v_2)$ (since the intersection is transverse, this number is ± 1, depending on the orientations). Then the number $f_1 \cdot f_2$ only depends on the cobordism class of f_1 and f_2.

Proof. This is lemma 7.1.3 in [McDS1]. □

Lemma 6.4.7. *Given two pseudocycles $(f_i, g_i) : (V_i, W_i) \to M$, $i = 1, 2$, there is a subset $\mathrm{Diff}(M, V_1, V_2, W_1, W_2)^{\mathrm{reg}} \subset \mathrm{Diff}(M)$ of Baire of the second category such that the pseudocycles $(\phi \circ f_{V_1}, \phi \circ f_{W_1})$ and (f_{V_2}, f_{W_2}) are transverse for any $\phi \in \mathrm{Diff}(M, V_1, V_2, W_1, W_2)^{\mathrm{reg}}$.*

Proof. Given two σ-compact manifolds V, W and two smooth maps $f, g : V, W \to M$, it is well known that there is a subset $\mathrm{Diff}(M, V, W)^{\mathrm{reg}} \subset \mathrm{Diff}(M)$ of Baire of the second category such that the maps $\phi \circ f$ and g are transverse for any $\phi \in \mathrm{Diff}(M, V, W)^{\mathrm{reg}}$. Then we set

$$\mathrm{Diff}(M, V_1, V_2, W_1, W_2)^{\mathrm{reg}} = \bigcap_{i,j} \mathrm{Diff}(M, V_i, W_j)^{\mathrm{reg}},$$

which is of course of the second category. □

---

[1] Recall that this means that they can be covered by countably many compact sets.

Lemma 6.4.8. *Let* $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = m$*, and let* $(f, g) : (V, W) \to M$ *be an* $(m - d)$ *dimensional pseudocycle. Any homology class* $\beta \in H_d(M; \mathbb{Z})$ *can be represented by a pseudocycle* $(f_\beta, g_\beta) : (V_\beta, W_\beta) \to M$ *in the sense that* V_β *carries a fundamental class* $[V_\beta]$ *of dimension* d *and that* $(f_\beta)_*[V_\beta] = \beta$*. Furthermore, the map*

$$\Psi_f : H_d(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}$$

which sends β *to* $f \cdot f_\beta$ *is well defined (that is, it does not depend on the chosen pseudocycle representative of* β*) and only depends on the bordism class of* f*.*

Proof. This is a consequence of the preceeding lemma together with remark 7.1.1 and lemma 7.1.5 in [McDS1]. □

For convenience, we extend the map Ψ_f by zero to the rest of the homology of M.

6.4.3 Definitions of the Hamiltonian Gromov-Witten invariants

6.4.3.1 The invariant Φ

Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $c_{p+q} : (F^{p+q})_{S^1} = ES^1 \times_{S^1} (F^{p+q}) \to (F_{S^1})^{p+q} = (ES^1 \times_{S^1} F)^{p+q}$ be the natural map (we consider on F^{p+q} the diagonal action of S^1). Given cohomology classes $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q \in H^*_{S^1}(F)$ we will write

$$c(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q) = c^*_{p+q}(\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_p \otimes \beta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \beta_q).$$

Let us consider the map

$$\overline{\mathrm{ev}}^{p,q}_J : \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}^* \times X^p \to \mathscr{A} \times E \times_{S^1} (F^{p+q})$$

which sends $(A, \Phi, (x_1, \dots, x_p))$ to $(A, \Phi(x_1), \dots, \Phi(x_p), \Phi(y_1), \dots, \Phi(y_q))$. This map is $\mathscr{G}_0$ equivariant, so it descends to give a map

$$\mathrm{ev}^{p,q}_J : \mathscr{B}_0 \times X^p \to \mathcal{F}^{p+q,\mathbb{E}_J},$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{p+q,\mathbb{E}_J} = \mathbb{E}_J \times_{S^1} (F^{p+q})$. On the other hand, the retraction $R^{\mathcal{F}}$ can easily be generalised to a retraction $R^{p+q,\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F}^{p+q,\mathbb{E}_J} \to \mathcal{F}^{p+q,\mathbb{E}_J}_J$, where $\mathcal{F}^{p+q,\mathbb{E}_J}_J = \mathcal{F}^{p+q,\mathbb{E}_J}|_{\mathrm{Jac}_d(X) \times X}$. Let $f^{p,q}_{\mathcal{N}} = R^{p+q,\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathrm{ev}^{p,q}_J : \mathcal{N} \times X^p \to \mathcal{F}^{p+q,\mathbb{E}_J}_J$.

Lemma 6.4.9. *The map* $f^{p,q}_{\mathcal{N}}$ *is a pseudocycle.*

Proof. Observe first of all that $\mathcal{F}^{p+q,\mathbb{E}_J}_J$ is a smooth and oriented manifold. Let $D \neq D^T$ be a B-admissible framing, different from the top one. For any $1 \leq j \leq q$ let us write

$$T_j = \{y_j\} \amalg \coprod_{x_k = y_j} X_k,$$

that is, T_j is the disjoint union of the marked point y_j and all the bubbles which are mapped to the fibre over y_j by the cusp σ-THCs with framing D. Note that the Cartesian product $T_1 \times \cdots \times T_q$ is a disjoint union of manifolds whose (complex) dimensions are at most $b(D)$. Let $B_0 \in H_2(F_{S^1})$ be the homology class of the principal component of a cusp with framing D. Consider the evaluation map

$$\overline{\mathrm{ev}}^{p,q,D} : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{I,\sigma}(B_0, c) \times \mathcal{M}_I^*(D) \times (X_0 \amalg \cdots \amalg X_k)^p \times (T_1 \times \cdots \times T_q) \to \mathscr{A} \times E \times_{S^1} (F^{p+q})$$

defined as follows: the point

$$((A, \Phi_0), (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_K), (x_1, \dots, x_p), (z_1, \dots, z_q))$$

is mapped to $(A, \Phi(x_1), \dots, \Phi(x_p), \Phi(z_1), \dots, \Phi(z_q))$, where $\Phi : X^c \to \mathcal{F}$ is the map obtained from gluing the maps $\Phi_0, \Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_K$ (of course, we view $T_j \subset X_0 \amalg \cdots \amalg X_K$). Quotienting the map $\overline{\mathrm{ev}}^{p,q,D}$ by the reparameterisation groups G_k and the gauge group $\mathscr{G}_0$, restricting to $\mathcal{R}_{I,\sigma}(D)$ and composing with $R^{p+q,\mathcal{F}}$ we get a map $f_{\mathcal{N}}^{p,q,D}$ with target $\mathcal{F}_J^{p+q,\mathbb{E}_J}$. Since $\dim T_1 \times \cdots \times T_q \leq 2b(D)$, we deduce (using theorem 6.2.5) that the domain of $f_{\mathcal{N}}^{p,q,D}$ has dimension $\leq \dim \mathcal{N} \times X^p - 2$. Finally, the compactness theorem 4.4.2 implies that

$$\Omega_{f_{\mathcal{N}}^{p,q}} \subset \bigcup_{D \neq D^T} \operatorname{Im} f_{\mathcal{N}}^{p,q,D}$$

(here we implicitly use the fact that the image of a cusp σ-THC in $\mathcal{F}$ coincides with the image of its reduction). This proves the lemma. □

Let $\pi : \mathcal{N} \to \mathrm{Jac}_d(X)$ be the projection to $\mathscr{A}/\mathscr{G}_0$ composed with the retraction R. Then $f_{\mathcal{N}}^{p,q} \times \pi$ is also a pseudocycle. Finally, the Hamiltonian Gromov-Witten invariant $\Phi_{B,c}^{X,F}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q \mid \gamma)$ is defined as

$$\Psi_{f_{\mathcal{N}}^{p,q} \times \pi}(PD(\rho_{\mathbb{E}_J}^* c(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q)) \times PD(\gamma)),$$

where PD denotes Poincaré dual.

This construction gives the invariants sketched in section 6.0.2. Indeed, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{F}^{p+q,\mathbb{E}_J} \arrow[r, "R^{p+q,\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{F}_J^{p+q,\mathbb{E}_J} \arrow[d] \\
\mathscr{A}/\mathscr{G}_0 \times X \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Jac}_d(X) \times X
\end{tikzcd}$$

is induced by a diagram of S^1 principal bundles

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{E}_J \arrow[r, "R^E"] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{E}_{J,J} \arrow[d] \\
\mathscr{A}/\mathscr{G}_0 \times X \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Jac}_d(X) \times X,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{J,J} = \mathbb{E}_J|_{\mathrm{Jac}_d(X) \times X}$ (this follows from the construction of $R^{p+q,\mathcal{F}}$). Consequently, the map $\rho_{\mathbb{E}_J}^* : H_{S^1}^*(F) \to H^*(\mathcal{F}^{p+q,\mathbb{E}_J})$ is equal to $(R^{p+q,\mathcal{F}})^* \rho_{\mathbb{E}_{J,J}}^*$, where $\rho_{\mathbb{E}_{J,J}}^* : H_{S^1}^*(F) \to H^*(\mathcal{F}_J^{p+q,\mathbb{E}_J})$ (thanks to lemma A.4.5 in the appendix).

Theorem 6.4.10. *The invariant* $\Phi^{X,F}_{B,c}$ *is well defined, and it only depends on the manifold* F*, its symplectic structure, the action of* S^1 *and the connected component of* $\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}\setminus C_0$ *in which* c *lies. Furthermore, the invariant*

$$\Phi^{X,F}_{B,c}(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_p\mid\beta_1,\dots,\beta_q\mid\nu)$$

is zero unless the following relation holds, where $|\alpha|$ *denotes the degree of any cohomology class* $\alpha\in H^*_{S^1}(F)$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p}|\alpha_j|-2p\sum_{j=1}^{q}|\beta_j|+|\nu|=2\langle c_1^K(TF),B\rangle+2(n-1)(g-1)+1. \tag{6.4}$$

Proof. The first claim follows from our discussion on pseudocycles, lemma 6.4.9 and theorem 3.5.1. The second claim follows from dimension counting. Just observe that the right hand side of formula (6.4) is the dimension of the extended moduli space $\mathcal{N}_{I,\sigma}(B,c)$. □

6.4.3.2 The invariant $\overline{\Phi}$

To define the invariant Φ we have composed the map $\mathrm{ev}^{p,q}_J$ with the retraction $R^{p+q,\mathcal{F}}$, thus getting a pseudocycle $f_{\mathcal{N}}:\mathcal{N}\times X^p\to\mathcal{F}^{p+q,\mathbb{E}_J}_J$, where $\mathcal{F}^{p+q,\mathbb{E}_J}_J$ is a smooth oriented and compact fibration with fibre F^{p+q}. We have then used Poincaré duality to express the product of cohomology classes in terms of intersection of subvarieties.

Let $\overline{\mathrm{ev}}^{p,q}:\mathscr{A}\times\mathscr{S}^*\times X^p\to\mathscr{A}\times\mathscr{S}^*\times E\times_{S^1}(F^{p+q})$ be the map which sends $(A,\Phi,x_1,\dots,x_p)$ to $(A,\Phi,\Phi(x_1),\dots,\Phi(x_p),\Phi(y_1),\dots,\Phi(y_q))$. This map is $\mathscr{G}$ equivariant. The map $\mathrm{ev}^{p,q}=\overline{\mathrm{ev}}^{p,q}/\mathscr{G}$ goes from $\mathscr{B}\times X$ and takes values in $\mathcal{F}^{p+q,\mathbb{E}}=\mathbb{E}\times_{S^1}(F^{p+q})=\mathscr{A}\times\mathscr{S}^*\times(F^{p+q})/\mathscr{G}$. In contrast with what happens with $\mathcal{F}^{p+q,\mathbb{E}_J}$, it is not clear whether $\mathcal{F}^{p+q,\mathbb{E}}$ admits as a retract a smooth oriented compact submanifold. To use the technique of pseudocycles we will consider the following construction.

Let us denote to simplify $M=\mathcal{M}\times X^p$, $N=\mathcal{N}\times X^p$ and $B=\mathrm{Jac}_d(X)$. Let also $F_M=\mathcal{F}^{p+q,\mathbb{E}}$, $F_N=\mathcal{F}^{p+q,\mathbb{E}_0}=\mathbb{E}_0\times_{S^1}(F^{p+q})$, where $\mathbb{E}_0=(\mathscr{A}\times\mathscr{S}^*\times E)/\mathscr{G}_0$ and $F_B=\mathcal{F}^{p+q,\mathbb{E}_J}_J$. We then have the following diagram of fibrations

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_M \arrow[d, "\pi_M"'] & & F_N \arrow[ll, "\pi_1"'] \arrow[rr, "R^{p+q,\mathcal{F}}\pi_3"] \arrow[d, "\pi_N"'] & & F_B \arrow[d, "\pi_B"] \\
M \arrow[u, dotted, bend right=10, "\mathrm{ev}^{p,q}"'] & & N \arrow[ll, "\pi_0"] \arrow[rr, "R\pi_2"'] \arrow[u, dotted, bend right=10, "\mathrm{ev}_0^{p,q}"'] \arrow[rru, dotted, "f_{\mathcal{N}}^{p,q}"'] & & B,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\mathrm{ev}^{p,q}_0=\overline{\mathrm{ev}}^{p,q}/\mathscr{G}_0$, π_0 and π_1 denote the quotients of the action of $S^1=\mathscr{G}/\mathscr{G}_0$, and π_2 and π_3 are induced (taking quotient of the $\mathscr{G}_0$ action) respectively by the projections $\mathscr{A}\times\mathscr{S}^*\times X\to\mathscr{A}\times X$ and $\mathscr{A}\times\mathscr{S}^*\times F\to\mathscr{A}\times F$ (so that $\mathrm{ev}^{p,q}_J=\pi_3\,\mathrm{ev}^{p,q}_0$). Suppose now that we have a smooth oriented and compact manifold W with a free action of S^1

and a S^1 equivariant map $g : N \to W$. Then S^1 acts on the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} F_N \arrow[r, "(R^{p+q,\mathcal{F}}\pi_3, g\pi_N)"] \arrow[d] & F_B \times W \arrow[d] \\ N \arrow[r, "(R\pi_2, g)"] & B \times W, \end{tikzcd}$$

and quotienting we get a diagram of fibrations

$$\begin{tikzcd} F_M \arrow[r, "R_M"] \arrow[d] & (F_B \times W)/S^1 \arrow[d] \\ M \arrow[r] & (B \times W)/S^1. \end{tikzcd}$$

Now, $(F_B \times W)/S^1$ is a smooth compact oriented fibration with fibre F^{p+q}. Furthermore, the map $f^{p,q}_{\mathcal{M}} = R_M \circ \mathrm{ev}^{p,q}$ is a pseudocycle. Repeating the construction of Φ but using $(F_B \times W)/S^1$ instead of F_B we get a rigorous definition of the invariant $\overline{\Phi}$.

It remains now to construct W and the S^1 equivariant map $g : N \to W$. Let $P \subset X$ be a finite set of points, and let $\overline{\mathrm{ev}}_P : \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}^* \times X \to \mathscr{A} \times F^{|P|}$ be the map which sends (A, Φ, x) to $(A, \Phi, \prod_{p \in P} \Phi(p))$. This map is $\mathscr{G}_0$ equivariant, so it descends to give a map $\mathrm{ev}_P : N \to W_0 = (\mathscr{A} \times F^{|P|})/\mathscr{G}_0$. The group $S^1 = \mathscr{G}/\mathscr{G}_0$ acts on W_0.

Lemma 6.4.11. *One can take $P \subset X$ so that $\overline{\mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{ev}_P} \cap W_0^{S^1} = \emptyset$.*

Proof. For any $\epsilon > 0$ we will denote $P_\epsilon \subset X$ any finite subset such that the union of the disks of radius ϵ centered at the points $p \in P_\epsilon$ covers X. Suppose that the claim of the lemma is not true. Then there exists a sequence $\epsilon_j \to 0$, sets P_{ϵ_j} and σ-THCs $(A_j, \Phi_j) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma,I}(B, c)$ so that for any j the image of the points in P_{ϵ_j} by the section Φ_j is contained in $\mathcal{F}_0 = E \times_{S^1} F^{S^1}$. By the compactification theorem 4.4.2 one may take a subsequence of (A_j, Φ_j) which, after suitably regauging, converge pointwise to a cusp σ-THC. Now, by construction, the image of the principal component X_0 of this limit cusp must be inside $\mathcal{F}_0$. But this is in contradiction with our assumption that $\sigma \in \Sigma_c(E)$ (see lemma 3.4.1). $\square$

On the other hand, generalizing the construction of $R^{p+q,\mathcal{F}}$ we can construct a S^1 equivariant retraction $R^{W_0} : W_0 \to W' = W_0|_{\mathrm{Jac}_d(X) \times X^p}$. Then $g' = R^{W_0}\,\mathrm{ev}_P$ does not meet ${W'}^{S^1}$. Finally, let T be a S^1 invariant tubular neighbourhood of ${W'}^{S^1} \subset W$ whose closure does not meet the closure of $\mathrm{Im}\, g$. Then we set $W = (W \setminus T) \cup_{\partial T} -(W \setminus T)$. This is a smooth compact and oriented manifold with a free action of S^1 and the map g' induces $g : N \to W$ with the desired properties.

Theorem 6.4.12. *The invariant $\overline{\Phi}^{X,F}_{B,c}$ is well defined, and it only depends on the manifold F, its symplectic structure, the action of S^1 and the connected component of $\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R} \setminus C_0$ in which c lies. Furthermore, the invariant*

$$\overline{\Phi}^{X,F}_{B,c}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q \mid \nu)$$

is zero unless the following relation holds, where $|\alpha|$ *denotes the degree of any cohomology class* $\alpha \in H^*_{S^1}(F)$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} |\alpha_j| - 2p \sum_{j=1}^{q} |\beta_j| + |\nu| = 2\langle c_1^K(TF), B\rangle + 2(n-1)(g-1). \tag{6.5}$$

6.5 An example

In this section we will study a particular case of our construction in which the invariant $\overline{\Phi}$ is nonzero. We will take F to be the sphere S^2 with the action of S^1 given by rotation through a fixed axis.

6.5.1 The data

Consider on S^2 the metric obtained identifying S^2 with the sphere of radius 1 in $\mathbb{R}^3$. This metric (as any other one in S^2) is Kaehler. With this choice the symplectic structure on F is just the induced volume form and the complex structure acting on any vector is given by rotation of 90° counterclockwise (looking towards the center). Suppose that the action of S^1 is induced by the action of S^1 on $\mathbb{R}^3$ given by rotation through the axis $x = y = 0$. Then S^2 has as fixed points $(0,0,1)$ and $(0,0,-1)$. A moment map for this action is $\mu(x,y,z) = \mathbf{i}z$.

We can identify S^2 with $\mathbb{C}P^1$ in such a way that the action of S^1 is given by $\lambda \cdot [x:y] = [\lambda x : y]$ for any $\lambda \in S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^*$. Then $[0:1]$ corresponds to $(0,0,1)$ and $[1:0]$ to $(0,0,-1)$. The action of the complexification $\mathbb{C}^*$ of S^1 takes the same form: any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ sends $[x:y]$ to $[\lambda x : y]$. The maximal weights are easily seen to be the following.

$$\lambda([x:y];\mathbf{i}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 \text{ if } y \neq 0 \\ -1 \text{ if } y = 0 \end{array} \right. \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lambda([x:y];-\mathbf{i}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -1 \text{ if } x = 0 \\ 1 \text{ if } x \neq 0 \end{array} \right. \tag{6.6}$$

Let $E \to X$ be a S^1 principal bundle. With our identification $S^2 \simeq \mathbb{C}P^1$ we see that $\mathcal{F} = E \times_{S^1} S^2 = \mathbb{P}(L^E \oplus \mathscr{O})$, where $L^E = E \times_{S^1} \mathbb{C}$ is the line bundle associated to E. The action of the gauge group $\mathscr{G} = \mathrm{Map}(X, S^1)$ is given as follows: any section $\phi = [\phi_0 : \phi_1]$, where $(\phi_0, \phi_1) \in H^0(L^E \otimes K) \oplus H^0(K)$ and $K \to X$ is a line bundle, is mapped by $g \in \mathscr{G}$ to $\phi = [g\phi_0 : \phi_1]$.

6.5.2 Some topology

We begin recalling the Leray-Hirsch theorem: let $V \to X$ be a vector bundle of rank $n+1$, where X is any topological space. Then, as a ring,

$$H^*(\mathbb{P}(V)) = H^*(X)[t]/(t^{n+1} + t^n c_1(V) + \cdots + t c_n(V) + c_{n+1}(V)),$$

where $c_j(V) \in H^{2j}(X)$ is the j-th Chern class of V. Furthermore, t has degree 2 and is the first Chern class of the line bundle $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(-1) \to \mathbb{P}(V)$.

This allows to compute the equivariant cohomology of S^2. Indeed, we have $S^2_{S^1} = ES^1 \times_{S^1} S^2 = \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{O}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O})$, where $\mathscr{O}(-1) \to \mathbb{C}P^\infty = BS^1$ is the tautological bundle. We have $H^*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty) = \mathbb{Z}[a]$, where $a = c_1(\mathscr{O}(-1))$ so, by the Leray-Hirsch theorem, $H^*_{S^1}(S^2) = \mathbb{Z}[a,b]/(b^3 + b^2 a)$ with $\deg a = \deg b = 2$. Take as before $E \to X$ a S^1 principal bundle, let $V = L^E \oplus \mathscr{O}$, and let $\pi : \mathbb{P}(V) \to X$. Then $\rho_E^*(a) = \pi^* c_1(L^E)$ and $\rho_E^*(b) = c_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(-1))$.

6.5.3 Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence and the moduli

Suppose from now on that X is a compact connected Riemann surface, and take a holomorphic structure on the line bundle $L^E \to X$. Let $\phi : X \to \mathbb{P}(V)$ be a holomorphic map. The possible lifts of ϕ to a section $\overline{\phi} \in H^0(V)$ are given by $H^0(\phi^*\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(-1))$. Now, since for any line bundle $L \to X$ we have $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V\otimes L)}(-1) = \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(-1) \otimes \pi^* L$, and since $\mathbb{P}(V \otimes L) = \mathbb{P}(V)$ canonically, by taking $L = \phi^*\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1)$ we can lift ϕ to a nonzero section $\overline{\phi} \in H^0(V \otimes L) = H^0(L^E \otimes L \oplus L)$, unique up to $\mathbb{C}^*$ (indeed, then we have $\phi^*\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V\otimes L)}(-1) = \mathscr{O}$). Let $\overline{\phi} = (\overline{\phi}_0, \overline{\phi}_1)$ in the splitting $H^0(L^E \otimes L \oplus L) = H^0(L^E \otimes L) \oplus H^0(L)$. Then $\overline{\phi}_0$ and $\overline{\phi}_1$ have no common zeros (if they had then the bundle $\phi^*\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V\otimes L)}(-1)$ would be of positive degree; but by our choice of L it has degree zero).

Of course, the converse is also true: since $\mathscr{O}$ is the unique line bundle of degree 0 with a nonzero section, whenever we have a line bundle $K \to X$ and sections $\overline{\phi}_0 \in H^0(L^E \otimes K)$ and $\overline{\phi}_1 \in H^0(K)$ with no common zero the induced section $\phi \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}(V \otimes K))$ has $\phi^*\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V\times K)}(-1) = \mathscr{O}$. The complex gauge transformations which keep fixed the complex structure of E (that is, the holomorphic gauge transformations) are the constant ones $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, which send any section ϕ admitting a lift $(\overline{\phi}_0, \overline{\phi}_1)$ to the section induced by $(\lambda\overline{\phi}_0, \overline{\phi}_1)$.

Let $S^j X$ denote the j-th symmetric product of X. Let $\Delta^{p,q} \subset S^p X \times S^q X$ be the set of pairs $s_p \in S^p X$ and $s_q \in S^q X$ with at least one common point. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be the space of connections on E, $\mathscr{S} = \Gamma(\mathbb{P}(L^E \oplus \mathscr{O}))$ and $\mathscr{G}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathrm{Map}(X, \mathbb{C}^*)$, acting on $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}$ as usual. The preceeding discussion proves the following result.

Lemma 6.5.1. *Let* $\deg(E) = d$. *Then*

$$\{(A,\Phi) \in \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S} \mid \overline{\partial}_A \Phi = 0\}/\mathscr{G}^{\mathbb{C}} = \coprod_{p-q=d} S^p X \times S^q X \setminus \Delta^{p,q}.$$

Fix now $c \in \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}$. We want to study which $\mathscr{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$ orbits in $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}$ contain solutions to the equation $\Lambda F_A + \mu(\Phi) = c$. Take a pair $(A, \Phi) \in \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{S}$, and suppose that Φ is contained neither in $\mathbb{P}(0 \oplus \mathscr{O}) \subset \mathbb{P}(L^E \oplus \mathscr{O})$ nor in $\mathbb{P}(L^E \oplus 0) \subset \mathbb{P}(L^E \oplus \mathscr{O})$. Then (A, Φ) is simple, so we may apply the Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence (the usual metric in $\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}$ is induced by the fundamental representation $S^1 \to \mathrm{U}(1;\mathbb{C})$). To check c-stability it is enough to consider the trivial reduction σ of the structure group of $E \times_{S^1} \mathbb{C}^*$ to $\mathbb{C}^*$ considered as a parabolic subgroup of itself, and the antidominant characters $\chi = \pm 1 \in \mathbf{i}\,\mathrm{Lie}(S^1)$. This choices give the constant sections $g_{\sigma,\chi} = \pm 1 \in \mathrm{Map}(X, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbf{i}\,\mathrm{Lie}\,\mathscr{G}$. Now, by our assumptions on Φ and (6.6), we must have

$$\int_{x\in X} \lambda(\Phi(x); -\mathbf{i}) = \int_{x\in X} \lambda(\Phi(x); \mathbf{i}) = \mathrm{Vol}(X).$$

Consequently, c stability amounts to the following two conditions:

$$\deg(E) + \mathrm{Vol}(X) > \mathrm{Vol}(X)\langle c, \mathbf{i}\rangle \text{ and } -\deg(E) + \mathrm{Vol}(X) > -\mathrm{Vol}(X)\langle c, \mathbf{i}\rangle,$$

which are equivalent to this unique condition:

$$|\deg(E) - \mathrm{Vol}(X)\langle c, \mathbf{i}\rangle| < \mathrm{Vol}(X).$$

The crucial point is that this condition does not depend on A nor on Φ (we only made an assumption on Φ so that (A, Φ) is simple).

Dualising our description of the map ρ_E^* above, we deduce that fixing a homology class $B \in H_2(S^2_{S^1})$ and considering the sections $\Phi \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}(V))$ such that $\rho_{E*}\Phi_*[X] = B$ is the same as fixing two integers (p, q) and considering the sections $\Phi \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}(V))$ such that $\deg E = p - q$ and $\deg \Phi^*\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(-1) = -q$. We will then denote $B = (p, q)$. If $0 \neq q \neq p$ then, for any connection A and any section Φ such that $\rho_{E*}\Phi_*[X] = (p, q)$, the pair (A, Φ) is simple. Consequently, if we take any c such that $|\deg(E) - \mathrm{Vol}(X)\langle c, \mathbf{i}\rangle| < \mathrm{Vol}(X)$ then we deduce from lemma 6.5.1 and the Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence that

$$\mathcal{M}^{S^2,S^1}((p, q), c) = S^pX \times S^qX \setminus \Delta^{p,q}.$$

This is not only an identity of sets. It turns out that in this situation it is unnecessary to perturb the equations in order to obtain a smooth moduli, and the above equality is of smooth manifolds. Observe for example that the virtual complex dimension for the moduli is $p + q$. Indeed, $c_1^{S^1}(TS^2) = a - 2b$ so

$$\langle c_1^{S^1}(TS^2), B\rangle + (n - 1)(1 - g) = (p - q) + 2q = p + q$$

(since $n = 1$), so it coincides with the actual dimension of $S^pX \times S^qX \setminus \Delta^{p,q}$.

6.5.4 A nonzero invariant

Suppose that $X = \mathbb{C}P^1$ and that $0 \neq q \neq p$. We will compute in this case a nonzero $\overline{\Phi}$ invariant. (Observe that we can not hope to obtain a nonzero Φ invariant, since all the equivariant cohomology classes of S^2 have even degree, and the extended moduli space has always odd dimension.)

Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}^{S^2,S^1}((p, q), c) = S^pX \times S^qX \setminus \Delta^{p,q}$. Observe that $S^nX = \mathbb{C}P^n$, the isomorphism being given by assigning to $\{[\alpha_1 : \beta_1], \dots, [\alpha_n : \beta_n]\}$ the class in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ of the coefficients of $\prod_j(\alpha_j x - \beta_j y)$. There is a universal bundle $\mathbb{E} \to \mathcal{M} \times X$ and a universal section $\mathrm{ev} \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{L} \oplus \mathbb{L}))$, where $\mathbb{L} \to \mathcal{M} \times X$ is another line bundle. We have

$$PD(\rho_{\mathbb{E}}^*(a + b)) = PD(c_1(\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{L})) = \{(s_p, s_q, x) \in (S^pX \times S^qX \setminus \Delta^{p,q}) \times X \mid x \in s_p\}$$

and

$$PD(\rho_{\mathbb{E}}^* b) = PD(c_1(\mathbb{L})) = \{(s_p, s_q, x) \in (S^pX \times S^qX \setminus \Delta^{p,q}) \times X \mid x \in s_q\}.$$

On the other hand, if $\alpha \in H^*(\mathcal{M}\times X)$ is any class then $PD(\alpha/[\mathrm{pt}]) = PD(\alpha)\cap\mathcal{M}\times\{x\}$ for generic $x \in X$. So $PD(c_1(\mathbb{E}\otimes\mathbb{L})/[\mathrm{pt}])$ (resp. $PD(c_1(\mathbb{L})/[\mathrm{pt}])$) is $H^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q \setminus \Delta^{p,q}$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}P^p \times H^q \setminus \Delta^{p,q}$) where H^p (resp. H^q) is a hyperplane of $S^pX = \mathbb{C}P^p$ (resp. $S^qX = \mathbb{C}P^q$).

We take as a compactification of our moduli $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = S^pX \times S^qX$. The complementary $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\backslash\mathcal{M}$ has real codimension at least two. The bundles $\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{L}$ extend naturally to bundles $\overline{\mathbb{E}}, \overline{\mathbb{L}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \times X$ and the section ev also extends to a section $\overline{\mathrm{ev}} \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}(\overline{\mathbb{E}}\otimes\overline{\mathbb{L}}\oplus\overline{\mathbb{L}}))$. By the theory of pseudocycles, the preceeding observations imply that we can compute the invariants using this particular compactification and without worrying whether it coincides with the compactification with cusp THCs. But we then obtain that

$$\overline{\Phi}^{\mathbb{C}P^1,S^2}_{(p,q),c}(|\,\overbrace{a+b,\ldots,a+b}^{p},\overbrace{b,\ldots,b}^{q}\,|) = 1.$$

(That is, we use the map $\overline{\mu}_0$ in all the $p+q$ arguments.)

Appendix A

Some useful results

A.1 Vector bundles over fibre bundles

Let $\pi^V : V \to F$ be a vector bundle. We denote $TV_v \subset TV$ the subbundle $\operatorname{Ker} d\pi^V$ of vertical tangent vectors to V. Suppose that a compact connected Lie group K acts on V linearly on the fibres. Let ∇ be a K-invariant connection on V. For any vector field $\mathscr{X} \in \Gamma(TF)$, let $\sigma_\nabla(\mathscr{X}) \in \Gamma(TV)$ denote the lift of $\mathscr{X}$ given by ∇.

Definition A.1.1. *The* **moment** *of the action of K on V with respect to ∇ is the map $\Omega = \Omega^V : \mathfrak{k} \to \operatorname{End} V$ defined as $\Omega(s) = \sigma_\nabla(\mathscr{X}_s^F) - \mathscr{X}_s^V$ for any $s \in \mathfrak{k}$.*

Note that, as defined, $\Omega(s) : V \to TV_v$ is map linear on the fibers of V. We denote this by $\Omega(s) \in \Gamma(V; TV)^{\mathrm{lin}}$. Using the canonical isomorphism $TV_v \simeq V$ we regard $\Omega(s) \in \operatorname{End} V$. The next lemma follows from an easy computation.

Lemma A.1.2. *For any $s \in \mathfrak{k}$ and any $\mathscr{X} \in \Gamma(V)$ we have*

$$L_{\mathscr{X}_s^F} \mathscr{X} = \nabla_{\mathscr{X}_s^F} \mathscr{X} + \Omega(s)\mathscr{X}.$$

Example A.1.3. *Let (F, ω_F) be a symplectic manifold, and assume that there is a line bundle $V \to F$ with a connection ∇ whose curvature is $\mathbf{i}\omega_F$. Assume that K acts on F respecting ω_F. Now, if the action of K on F lifts to an action on L we may average and assume that ∇ is K invariant. Then, the resulting moment Ω is a symplectic moment map for the action of K on F. Conversely, any symplectic moment map on F gives rise to a lift of the infinitesimal action of $\mathfrak{k}$ which leaves ∇ invariant. (See p. 244 in [DoKr].)*

Let $\pi : E \to X$ be a K-principal bundle. Let $\mathcal{V} = E \times_K V$ and $\mathcal{F} = E \times_K F$. Then $\pi^{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{F}$ is a vector bundle. Let A be a connection on E.

A.1.1 A connection on $\mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{F}$

We define a connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{V}} = \nabla^{\mathcal{V}}(A, \nabla)$ on $\mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{F}$ by giving the lift of any tangent field $\mathscr{X} \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{F})$ to a field $\sigma_{\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}}(\mathscr{X}) \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{V})$.

Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K \arrow[r] & E \times V \arrow[r, "q_{\mathcal{V}}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id} \times \pi^V"] & \mathcal{V} \arrow[d, "\pi^{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{F}}"] \\
K \arrow[r] & E \times F \arrow[r, "q_{\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[d, "\pi_E"] & \mathcal{F} \arrow[d, "\pi^{\mathcal{F}}_X"] \\
K \arrow[r] & E \arrow[r, "\pi"] & X.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The three horizontal sequences of maps denote K-principal bundles, and the two squares are cartesian. In particular, the principal K bundle $E \times F \to \mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to the pullback ${\pi^{\mathcal{F}}_X}^* E$. Consider on $E \times F \to \mathcal{F}$ the pullback connection $A^{E\times F} = {\pi^{\mathcal{F}}_X}^* A$. This connection gives a lift $\Sigma_A : T\mathcal{F} \to T(E \times F)$. On the other hand, we have a map

$$\mathrm{id} \times \sigma_\nabla : T(E \times F) \to T(E \times V).$$

We define

$$\sigma_{\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}} := dq_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathrm{id} \times \sigma_\nabla)\Sigma_A : T\mathcal{F} \to T\mathcal{V}.$$

Alternatively, we can define the connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}$ by giving the projection

$$\rho_{\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}} : T\mathcal{V} \twoheadrightarrow T\mathcal{V}_v,$$

where $T\mathcal{V}_v = \operatorname{Ker} d\pi^{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the field of vertical tangent vectors of the fibration $\pi^{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{F}$. The connection A induces a connection on the fibration $\pi^{\mathcal{V}}_X : \mathcal{V} \to X$, which gives a projection $\rho_{A^{\mathcal{V}}} : T\mathcal{V} \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} d\pi^{\mathcal{V}}_X$. On the other hand, the connection ∇ gives a projection $\rho_\nabla : TV \twoheadrightarrow TV_v$. Since ∇ is K-invariant, so is the projection ρ_∇, and hence ρ_∇ extends globally to give another projection $\rho_{\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}} : TV_v \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} d\pi^{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Then $\rho_{\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}} = \rho_{\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}} \circ \rho_{A^{\mathcal{V}}}$.

A.1.2 The curvature of $\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}$

Let $F_\nabla \in \Omega^2(F; \operatorname{End} V)$ be the curvature of ∇. Since ∇ is K-equivariant, so is F_∇, and hence F_∇ extends fibrewise to a map

$$F_\nabla : \Lambda^2 T\mathcal{F}_v \to \operatorname{End} \mathcal{V}.$$

Consider the projection $\rho_{A^{\mathcal{F}}} : T\mathcal{F} \to T\mathcal{F}_v$ given by the connection A. We have $\rho_{A^{\mathcal{F}}} = 1 - \sigma_{A^{\mathcal{F}}} d\pi^{\mathcal{F}}_X$, where $\sigma_{A^{\mathcal{F}}} : TX \to T\mathcal{F}$ is the lift given by A. We then have

$$\rho^*_{A^{\mathcal{F}}} F_\nabla \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{F}; \operatorname{End} \mathcal{V}).$$

Let $F_A \in \Omega^2(X; E \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{k})$ be the curvature of A. Then

$$\Omega({\pi^{\mathcal{F}}_X}^* F_A) \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{F}; \operatorname{End} \mathcal{V}).$$

Lemma A.1.4. *The curvature* $F_{\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}}$ *of* $\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}$ *is*

$$F_{\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}} = \rho^*_{A^{\mathcal{F}}} F_\nabla - \Omega(\pi_X^{\mathcal{F}^*} F_A).$$

Proof. Since the question is local on X, we may suppose that there is an isomorphism $E \simeq X \times K$. Then there are induced isomorphisms $\mathcal{F} \simeq X \times F$ and $\mathcal{V} \simeq X \times V$. Using these isomorphisms we may write the map $dq_{\mathcal{V}} : T(X \times K \times V) \to T(X \times V)$ as

$$dq_{\mathcal{V}}(u, s, v) = (u, v + \mathscr{X}_s^V) \tag{A.1}$$

where $(u, s, v) \in TX \times \mathfrak{k} \times TV \simeq T(X \times K \times V)$. We have the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
TX \times \mathfrak{k} \times TV \arrow[r, "dq_{\mathcal{V}}"] \arrow[d, shift right, "\mathrm{id} \times \mathrm{id} \times d\pi^V"'] & TX \times TV \arrow[d, shift right, "d\pi^{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{F}}"'] \\
TX \times \mathfrak{k} \times TF \arrow[r, "dq_{\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[u, dotted, shift right, "\mathrm{id} \times \mathrm{id} \times \sigma_\nabla"'] \arrow[d, shift right, "d\pi_E = \pi_{TX \times \mathfrak{k}}"'] & TX \times TF \arrow[u, dotted, shift right, "\sigma_{\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}}"'] \arrow[l, dotted, bend right, "\Sigma_A"'] \arrow[d, shift right, "d\pi^{\mathcal{F}}_X"'] \\
TX \times \mathfrak{k} \arrow[r, "d\pi"] \arrow[u, dotted, shift right, "\mathrm{id} \times 0"'] & TX. \arrow[u, dotted, shift right, "\sigma_{A^{\mathcal{F}}}"'] \arrow[l, dotted, bend right, "\sigma_A"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Take two fields $\mathscr{Y}_1, \mathscr{Y}_2 \in \Gamma(TX \times TF)$. We identify the sections in $\Gamma(\mathcal{V}; T\mathcal{V}_v)^{\mathrm{lin}}$ linear in the fibres of $\mathcal{V}$ with $\operatorname{End} \mathcal{V}$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}}(\mathscr{Y}_1, \mathscr{Y}_2) &= [\sigma_{\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}} \mathscr{Y}_1, \sigma_{\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}} \mathscr{Y}_2] - \sigma_{\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}} [\mathscr{Y}_1, \mathscr{Y}_2] \\
&= [dq_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathrm{id} \times \sigma_\nabla) \Sigma_A(\mathscr{Y}_1), dq_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathrm{id} \times \sigma_\nabla) \Sigma_A(\mathscr{Y}_2)] \\
&\quad - dq_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathrm{id} \times \sigma_\nabla)[\Sigma_A(\mathscr{Y}_1), \Sigma_A(\mathscr{Y}_2)] \\
&\quad + dq_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathrm{id} \times \sigma_\nabla)[\Sigma_A(\mathscr{Y}_1), \Sigma_A(\mathscr{Y}_2)] - dq_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathrm{id} \times \sigma_\nabla) \Sigma_A [\mathscr{Y}_1, \mathscr{Y}_2].
\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

Let $\pi_3 : TX \times \mathfrak{k} \times TF \to TX \times \mathfrak{k} \times TF$ be the map $\pi_3(u, s, v) = (0, 0, v)$. The lift $\Sigma_A \mathscr{Y}_i$ is defined by these properties

$$\begin{aligned}
dq_{\mathcal{F}}(\Sigma_A \mathscr{Y}_i) &= \mathscr{Y}_i \\
d\pi_E(\Sigma_A \mathscr{Y}_i) &= \sigma_A(d\pi^{\mathcal{F}}_X(\mathscr{Y}_i))
\end{aligned}$$

and, on the other hand, $\sigma_{A^{\mathcal{F}}} = dq_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathrm{id} \times 0)\sigma_A$. Now, since $\pi_3 = 1 - (\mathrm{id} \times 0) d\pi_E$,

$$\begin{aligned}
dq_{\mathcal{F}} \pi_3 \Sigma_A(\mathscr{Y}_i) &= dq_{\mathcal{F}} \Sigma_A(\mathscr{Y}_i) - dq_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathrm{id} \times 0) d\pi_E \Sigma_A(\mathscr{Y}_i) \\
&= \mathscr{Y}_i - dq_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathrm{id} \times 0)\sigma_A(d\pi^{\mathcal{F}}_X(\mathscr{Y}_i)) \\
&= \mathscr{Y}_i - \sigma_{A^{\mathcal{F}}} d\pi^{\mathcal{F}}_X(\mathscr{Y}_i) = \rho_{A^{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathscr{Y}_i).
\end{aligned}$$

The map $dq_{\mathcal{F}}$ restricted to $\{0\} \times \{0\} \times TF$ sends any $(0,0,v)$ to $(0,v)$, so $\pi_3 \Sigma_A(\mathscr{Y}_i) = (0, 0, \rho_{A^{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathscr{Y}_i))$. This implies that the first two summands in (A.2) are equal to

$$dq_{\mathcal{V}}(0, 0, F_{\nabla}(\rho_{A^{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathscr{Y}_1), \rho_{A^{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathscr{Y}_2))) = \rho^*_{A^{\mathcal{F}}} F_{\nabla}(\mathscr{Y}_1, \mathscr{Y}_2).$$

On the other hand, observe that

$$\begin{aligned}[\Sigma_A(\mathscr{Y}_1), \Sigma_A(\mathscr{Y}_2)] - \Sigma_A[\mathscr{Y}_1, \mathscr{Y}_2] &= \mathscr{X}^{E\times F}_{\pi_X^{\mathcal{F}*} F_A(\mathscr{Y}_1, \mathscr{Y}_2)} \\ &= (0, \pi_X^{\mathcal{F}*} F_A(\mathscr{Y}_1, \mathscr{Y}_2), -\mathscr{X}^{F}_{\pi_X^{\mathcal{F}*} F_A(\mathscr{Y}_1, \mathscr{Y}_2)}) \in TX \times \mathfrak{k} \times TF.\end{aligned}$$

Using formula (A.1) this implies that the last two summands in (A.2) are equal to

$$-\Omega(\pi_X^{\mathcal{F}*} F_A).$$

□

A.1.3 The case $V = TF$

Suppose that $V = TF$ and that the action of K on V is the derivation of the action of K on F. Then ∇ is a connection on TF. Using the connection A to view $\pi_X^{\mathcal{F}*} TX$ as a subbundle of $T\mathcal{F}$, we may write

$$T\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{V} \oplus \pi_X^{\mathcal{F}*} TX. \tag{A.3}$$

Take on TX a connection ∇^X. By means of the above splitting we define a connection on $T\mathcal{F}$ as

$$\nabla^{\mathcal{F}} = \nabla^{\mathcal{F}}(A, \nabla, \nabla^X) = \nabla^{\mathcal{V}} \oplus \pi_X^{\mathcal{F}*} \nabla^X.$$

Lemma A.1.5. *The torsion of* $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}$ *is*

$$\mathrm{Tor}_{\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}} = \sigma_{A^{\mathcal{F}}} \circ d\pi_X^{\mathcal{F}*} \mathrm{Tor}_{\nabla^X} - d\pi_X^{\mathcal{F}*} F_{A^{\mathcal{F}}} + \rho^*_{A^{\mathcal{F}}} \mathrm{Tor}_{\nabla}.$$

Remark A.1.6. *The second summand should be interpreted as follows.*

$$\begin{aligned} d\pi_X^{\mathcal{F}*} F_{A^{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathscr{Y}_1, \mathscr{Y}_2) &= F_{A^{\mathcal{F}}}(d\pi_X^{\mathcal{F}}(\mathscr{Y}_1), d\pi_X^{\mathcal{F}}(\mathscr{Y}_2)) \\ &= [\sigma_{A^{\mathcal{F}}} d\pi_X^{\mathcal{F}}(\mathscr{Y}_1), \sigma_{A^{\mathcal{F}}} d\pi_X^{\mathcal{F}}(\mathscr{Y}_2)] - \sigma_{A^{\mathcal{F}}}[d\pi_X^{\mathcal{F}}(\mathscr{Y}_1), d\pi_X^{\mathcal{F}}(\mathscr{Y}_2)], \end{aligned}$$

which is a field of tangent vectors on $\mathcal{F}$*. This field of tangent vectors may be written locally (using a trivialisation* $E \simeq X \times K$*) as* $\mathscr{X}^F_{F_A}$*.*

Proof. The statement is local on X, so we can suppose that there is an isomorphism $E \simeq X \times K$. Then there are induced isomorphisms $\mathcal{F} \simeq X \times F$ and $\mathcal{V} \simeq X \times V$.

Suppose first that $\mathscr{Y}_i = \sigma_{A^{\mathcal{F}}} \mathrm{Z}_i$, where $\mathrm{Z}_1, \mathrm{Z}_2 \in \Gamma(TX)$. Clearly, $d\pi_X^{\mathcal{F}}(\mathscr{Y}_i) = \mathrm{Z}_i$. By definition

$$\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathscr{Y}_1} \mathscr{Y}_2 = \sigma_{A^{\mathcal{F}}} \nabla^X_{\mathrm{Z}_1} \mathrm{Z}_2,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tor}_{\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathscr{Y}_1, \mathscr{Y}_2) &= \nabla^{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathscr{Y}_1}\mathscr{Y}_2 - \nabla^{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathscr{Y}_2}\mathscr{Y}_1 - [\mathscr{Y}_1, \mathscr{Y}_2] \\ &= \sigma_{A^{\mathcal{F}}}(\nabla^X_{\mathrm{Z}_1}\mathrm{Z}_2 - \nabla^X_{\mathrm{Z}_2}\mathrm{Z}_1 - [\mathrm{Z}_1, \mathrm{Z}_2]) - F_{A^{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathrm{Z}_1, \mathrm{Z}_2) \\ &= \sigma_{A^{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathrm{Tor}_{\nabla^X}(\mathrm{Z}_1, \mathrm{Z}_2)) - F_{A^{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathrm{Z}_1, \mathrm{Z}_2).\end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that $\mathscr{Y}_i = (0, \mathrm{Z}_i) \in TX \times TF \simeq T\mathcal{F}$, where $\mathrm{Z}_1, \mathrm{Z}_2 \in \Gamma(TF)$. $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathscr{Y}_1}\mathscr{Y}_2 = \pi_F^*\nabla_{\mathrm{Z}_1}\mathrm{Z}_2$ and $[\mathscr{Y}_1, \mathscr{Y}_2] = \pi_F^*[\mathrm{Z}_1, \mathrm{Z}_2]$. This implies that

$$\mathrm{Tor}_{\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathscr{Y}_1, \mathscr{Y}_2) = \pi_F^*\,\mathrm{Tor}_{\nabla}(\mathrm{Z}_1, \mathrm{Z}_2).$$

Finally, suppose that $\mathscr{Y}_1 = \sigma_{A^{\mathcal{F}}}\mathrm{Z}_X$ and $\mathscr{Y}_2 = (0, \mathrm{Z}_F)$, where $\mathrm{Z}_F \in \Gamma(TF)$ and $\mathrm{Z}_X \in \Gamma(TX)$. By definition

$$\mathrm{Tor}_{\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathscr{Y}_1, \mathscr{Y}_2) = \nabla^{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathscr{Y}_1}\mathscr{Y}_2 - \nabla^{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathscr{Y}_2}\mathscr{Y}_1 - [\mathscr{Y}_1, \mathscr{Y}_2] \tag{A.4}$$

The second summand in the left hand side is equal to zero:

$$\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathscr{Y}_2}\mathscr{Y}_1 = \sigma_{A^{\mathcal{F}}}\nabla^X_{d\pi^{\mathcal{F}}_X\mathscr{Y}_2}\mathrm{Z}_X = 0.$$

On the other hand, if we view $\mathscr{Y}_2$ as a map $\mathscr{Y}_2 : \mathcal{F} \to T\mathcal{F}$, then

$$\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathscr{Y}_1}\mathscr{Y}_2 = \mathscr{Y}_2^*(d_{\mathscr{Y}_1}\mathscr{Y}_2 - \sigma_{\nabla^{\nu}}(\mathscr{Y}_1)).$$

We may write the lift σ_A as $\sigma_A(\mathscr{Y}) = (\mathscr{Y}, s(\mathscr{Y}))$ for any field $\mathscr{Y} \in \Gamma(TX)$, where $s \in \Omega^1(X; \mathfrak{k})$. Then one easily sees that $\Sigma_A(\mathscr{Y}_1) = (\mathrm{Z}_X, s(\mathrm{Z}_X), 0)$ and that $\sigma_{\nabla^{\nu}}(\mathscr{Y}_1) = (\mathrm{Z}_X, \mathscr{X}^{TF}_{s(\mathrm{Z}_X)})$. In addition, $d_{\mathscr{Y}_1}\mathscr{Y}_2 = (0, d_{\mathscr{X}^F_{s(\mathrm{Z}_X)}}\mathrm{Z}_F)$, so that

$$\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathscr{Y}_1}\mathscr{Y}_2 = (0, \nabla_{\mathscr{X}^F_{s(\mathrm{Z}_X)}}\mathrm{Z}_F) + (0, \Omega(s(\mathrm{Z}_X))\,\mathrm{Z}_F).$$

Finally, the right hand side is equal, by lemma A.1.2, to $(0, [s(\mathrm{Z}_X), \mathrm{Z}_F])$, which is equal to $[\mathscr{Y}_1, \mathscr{Y}_2]$. Hence the whole expression in (A.4) vanishes. ☐

A.2 Actions of compact groups on manifolds

A.2.1 Left and right actions

We recall that an action of a group K on F is said to be on the left (resp. on the right) if for any $g, g' \in K$ and any $x \in F$ we have $(gg') \cdot x = g \cdot (g' \cdot x)$ (resp. $(gg') \cdot x = g' \cdot (g \cdot x)$), where $\cdot$ denotes the action. The following lemma is rather elementary, although of crucial importance in the computations.

Lemma A.2.1. *Suppose that a Lie group K acts on F on the left (resp. on the right). Let $\mathscr{X}_s$ be the field generated on F by the infinitesimal action of an element $s \in \mathfrak{k}$. We then have*

$$[\mathscr{X}_s, \mathscr{X}_{s'}] = -\mathscr{X}_{[s,s']}$$

(resp. $[\mathscr{X}_s, \mathscr{X}_{s'}] = \mathscr{X}_{[s,s']}$) for any $s, s' \in \mathfrak{k}$.

Proof. See for example [BeGeV] p. 208. ☐

A.2.2 Stabiliser groups

Let F be a compact manifold and K a compact Lie group. Assume that K acts smoothly on F (say, on the left). Let $x \in F^K$ be a fixed point of the action (that is, $k \cdot x = x$ for any $k \in K$). Then there is a linear action of K on the tangent space at x

$$\rho : K \to \mathrm{GL}(T_xF).$$

Take a K-invariant metric g on F. The exponential map $\exp_x^g$ going from a neighbourhood U of $0 \in T_xF$ to a neighbourhood V of $x \in F$ is a K-equivariant diffeomorphism. Hence a neighbourhood of $x \in F^K \subset F$ is diffeomorphic to a neigbourhood of $0 \in T_xF^K \subset T_xF$, the fixed point set of the linear representation ρ. This proves the following lemma.

Lemma A.2.2. *The fixed point set F^K is a finite union of disjoint smooth submanifolds of F. Furthermore, if $F_1, \ldots, F_r$ are the connected components of F^K and $x \in F_k$, then*

$$\dim F_k = \dim T_xF^K.$$

Lemma A.2.3. *Suppose that K is abelian. Then the set of subgroups of K arising as stabilisers of points in F is a finite set.*

Proof. Let $x \in F$, and let $S_x = \mathrm{Stab}_K x$. Set $T = K/S_x$. For any $y \in F$, let S'_y be the projection on T of $\mathrm{Stab}_K y$. It is easy to see that there exists a neighbourhood $T_\epsilon \subset T$ of the identity such that no nontrivial subgroup of T is contained in T_ϵ. Hence, if there is a sequence $y_n \to x$ such that $S'_y \neq 1$, then $S'_x \neq 1$ as well, which is a contradiction. This implies that there is a neighbourhood U_x of x such that any $y \in U_y$ has stabiliser contained in S_x. On the other hand, the preceeding reasonement for the group S_x implies that a small neighbourhood of x is S_x-equivariantly diffeomorphic to a neighbourhood of 0 in the S_x space T_xF. But the set of stabilisers in S_x of points in T_xF is finite (to see this, just split T_xF in eigenspaces). Consequently, if U_x is small enough then the set of K stabilisers of points in U_x is finite. Taking a finite subcovering of $\{U_x \mid x \in F\}$ (recall that we are assuming F to be compact) we get the desired result. ☐

For any connected component F_j of the fixed point set F^K we have an action of K on the normal bundle $N_F(F_j)$ lifting the identity on F_j. Fix any maximal torus $T \subset K$. Since the group K is compact, the weights of the representation at T_xF for $x \in F_j$ are the same for all the points of F_j. Hence we may talk about the weights of the representation of K on $N_F(F_j)$ and they form a finite set.

Definition A.2.4. *The action of K on F is called* **almost-free** *if the stabiliser subgroup $\mathrm{Stab}_K x$ of any $x \in F$ is connected.*

Lemma A.2.5. *Suppose that $K = S^1$. An action of S^1 on F is almost-free if and only if it is free at the complementary of the fixed point set F^{S^1}. In this case, the weights of the representation on the normal bundle of any connected component F_j of F^{S^1} are 1 or -1.*

Proof. The only connected subgroups of S^1 are the identity $\{1\} \subset S^1$ and S^1, and the first claim follows. We now prove the second claim. For any $x \in F_j$ the tangent space splits $T_xF = \oplus V_\lambda$, where S^1 acts on V_λ with weight $w(\lambda)$ and where $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V_\lambda$ is 1 if $w(\lambda) = 0$ and it is 2 otherwise. The trivial representations span the tangent space $T_xF^{S^1}$. Any $x \in V_\lambda \setminus \{0\}$ such that $w(\lambda) \neq 0$ has as stabiliser the cyclic group of $|w(\lambda)|$ elements. So if not all $w(\lambda)$ belonged to $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ then there would be some $v \in T_xF$ with stabiliser $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, where $m \geq 2$. Finally, since $\exp^g_x$ is S^1 equivariant for a S^1-invariant metric g, taking v small enough we would get a point $\exp^g_x(v) \in F$ whose stabiliser would be exactly $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. Hence the action would not be almost-free. □

Remark A.2.6. *An action of K on F which is free in $F \setminus F^K$ is called* **semi-free**.

Corollary A.2.7. *Let S^1 act on F nontrivially in an almost-free way, and let x belong to the fixed point set F^{S^1}. Then the induced representation of S^1 on T_xF has at least one weight equal to ± 1 (and those which are not equal to ± 1 are equal to 0).*

A.3 Principal bundles on a compact Riemann surface

Let K be a compact connected Lie group and let X be a compact connected Riemann surface. Let us write $P_K(X)$ for the isomorphism classes of K-principal bundles over X.

Let $x_0 \in X$ be a fixed point and let $X^* = X \setminus \{x_0\}$. Take any $E \in P_K(X)$. Since X^* is homotopic to a bouquet of circles, the restriction of E to X^* is trivial. On the other hand, E restricts to a trivial bundle on a small disk D around x_0. Let us fix trivialisations of $E|_{X^*}$ and of $E|_D$. The topological type of E is described by the homotopy class of the transition function $\gamma(E) : D \cap X^* \to K$ relating both trivialisations. Since $D \cap X^* \sim S^1$, we get an element $\gamma(E) \in \pi_1(K)$.

The following lemma is easily proved.

Lemma A.3.1. *The map $\gamma : P_K(X) \to \pi_1(K)$ is a bijection.*

We define a map $\eta : P_K(X) \to H_2(BK; \mathbb{Z})$ as follows. Let $E \in P_K(X)$ and let $\xi_0 \in [X, BK]$ be its classifying map. We set $\eta(E) := (\xi_0)_*([X])$.

Lemma A.3.2. *The map $\eta : P_K(X) \to H_2(BK; \mathbb{Z})$ is a bijection.*

Proof. Since $\pi_1(BK) = 0$, the Leray-Serre spectral sequence applied to $K \to EK \to BK$ tells us that

$$E^2_{p,q} = H_p(BK; H_q(K; \mathbb{Z})) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(EK) = 0.$$

From the fact that the sequence converges to zero we deduce that the map $d^2 : E^2_{2,0} \to E^2_{0,1}$ must be an isomorphism. But we clearly have $E^2_{2,0} = H_2(BK; \mathbb{Z})$ and

$E^2_{0,1} = H_1(K;\mathbb{Z})$, so we obtain $d^2 : H_2(BK;\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_1(K;\mathbb{Z})$. Using the canonical isomorphism $H_1(K;\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \pi_1(K)$ (since K is a Lie group $\pi_1(K)$ is abelian) we may consider $d^2 \circ \eta$ as a map from $P_K(X)$ to $\pi_1(K)$. Now, tracing the construction of the Leray-Serre spectral sequence (see for example [McCl]) one easily verifies that $d^2 \circ \eta = \gamma$. Hence by lemma A.3.1 η is a bijection. □

A.4 (Co)homology classes in fibre bundles

In this subsection all the (co)homology groups will be taken, unless otherwise stated, with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma A.4.1. *Let F will be a left K space. Let $E \to X$ be a K principal bundle on a topological space X, and let $\mathcal{F} = E \times_K F$. There is a natural map $H_*(F) \to H_*(\mathcal{F})$.*

Proof. We define the map by taking any point $x \in X$, trivialising the fibre $E_x \simeq K$ and using the induced trivialisation $\mathcal{F}_x \simeq F$ to map $H_*(F) \to H_*(\mathcal{F}_x) \to H_*(\mathcal{F})$, the lattest map given by the inclusion $\mathcal{F}_x \subset \mathcal{F}$. This is well defined because the system of local coefficients $\mathcal{H}_*(\mathcal{F}_x)$, where $x \in M$, is trivial. Indeed, since K is connected, the action of K on $H_*(F)$ is trivial. See p. 154 in [McCl] for a definition of a system of local coefficients. □

Proposition A.4.2. *Let $E \to X$ be a K principal bundle over a finite CW complex X. Let $c : X \to BK$ be the classifying map for E, and let $\phi : E \simeq c^*EK$ be any isomorphism (in other words, ϕ is a K equivariant map from E to EK). Let F be a finite CW complex with a left continous action of K, and let $\mathcal{F} = E \times_K F$ and $F_K = EK \times_K F$. The map $\phi \times \mathrm{id} : E \times F \to EK \times F$ descends to a map $\psi : \mathcal{F} \to F_K$. Let $g : E \to E$ be any gauge transformation, and let $g(\mathcal{F}) : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ be the induced gauge transformation. Then*

$$\psi_* = \psi_* g(\mathcal{F})_* : H_*(\mathcal{F}) \to H_*(F_K)$$

and similarly $\psi^* = g(\mathcal{F})^* \psi^* : H^*(F_K) \to H^*(\mathcal{F})$.

Proof. For a very readable explanation of the techniques used in this proof see chapter 7 in [McCl]. We prove the statement on homology. Let **DGAlg** be the category of differential graded algebras over $\mathbb{Z}$, and let Γ be any object in **DGAlg**. Let $\mathbf{DGMod}_\Gamma$ (resp. $\mathbf{DG}_\Gamma\mathbf{Mod}$) be the category of differential right (resp. left) modules over Γ (these modules are in particular modules over $\mathbb{Z}$). For any object M of $\mathbf{DGMod}_\Gamma$ one can define the tensor product functor $M \otimes_\Gamma \cdot : \mathbf{DG}_\Gamma\mathbf{Mod} \to \mathbf{DG}_\Gamma\mathbf{Mod}$. This functor admits right derived functors $\mathrm{Tor}^\Gamma(M, \cdot)$. Suppose that Λ is another object of **DGAlg**, M' (resp. N') is an object of $\mathbf{DGMod}_\Lambda$ (resp. $\mathbf{DG}_\Lambda\mathbf{Mod}$) and that there are maps $f \in \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathbf{DGAlg}}(\Gamma, \Lambda)$ $g \in \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathbf{Mod}_\mathbb{Z}}(M, M')$ and $h \in \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathbf{Mod}_\mathbb{Z}}(N, N')$ (here $\mathbf{Mod}_\mathbb{Z}$ is the category of $\mathbb{Z}$ modules) satisfying the obvious compatibility relations. Then there is a natural map $\mathrm{Tor}^f(g, h) : \mathrm{Tor}^\Gamma(M, N) \to \mathrm{Tor}^\Lambda(M', N')$. Furthermore, if f, g, h induce isomorphisms in homology, then $\mathrm{Tor}^f(g, h)$ is also an isomorphism (this is a theorem of Moore; see corollary 7.6 in [McCl]).

The actions $E \times K \to E$ and $K \times F \to F$ give $C_*(E)$ (resp. $C_*(F)$) a structure of differential right (resp. left) module ofer $C_*(K)$ ($C_*(X)$ denotes the module of singular chains in X). A theorem of Moore (see theorem 7.16 in [McCl]) asserts that there is a natural isomorphism

$$\theta_* : \mathrm{Tor}^{C_*(K)}(C_*(E), C_*(F)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H_*(E \times_K F). \tag{A.5}$$

Let now $g : E \to E$ be any gauge transformation. This induces a morphism $g_* \in \mathrm{Mor}(C_*(E), C_*(E))$ as differential right module over $C_*(K)$ (thanks to equivariance under the action of K on the right: the action of the gauge group is on the left). Let $p : EK \to \mathrm{pt}$ be the map sending EK to a point. Since EK is contractible, p induces an isomorphism in homology. Consider now the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Tor}^{C_*(K)}(C_*(E), C_*(F)) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathrm{id}}(\phi_*{,}\mathrm{id})"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathrm{id}}(g_*{,}\mathrm{id})"'] & \mathrm{Tor}^{C_*(K)}(C_*(EK), C_*(F)) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathrm{id}}(p_*{,}\mathrm{id})"] \\
\mathrm{Tor}^{C_*(K)}(C_*(E), C_*(F)) \arrow[ur, "\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathrm{id}}(\phi_*{,}\mathrm{id})"] & \mathrm{Tor}^{C_*(K)}(C_*(\mathrm{pt}), C_*(F))
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since ψ_* corresponds to $\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathrm{id}}(\phi_*, \mathrm{id})$ and $g(\mathcal{F})_*$ to $\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathrm{id}}(g_*, \mathrm{id})$ through the isomorphism (A.5), we have to prove that

$$\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathrm{id}}(\phi_*, \mathrm{id}) = \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathrm{id}}(\phi_*, \mathrm{id}) \circ \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathrm{id}}(g_*, \mathrm{id}).$$

But since $p\phi = p\phi g$ we clearly have

$$\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathrm{id}}(p_*, \mathrm{id}) \circ \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathrm{id}}(\phi_*, \mathrm{id}) = \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathrm{id}}(p_*, \mathrm{id}) \circ \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathrm{id}}(\phi_*, \mathrm{id}) \circ \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathrm{id}}(g_*, \mathrm{id})$$

and, since $\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathrm{id}}(p_*, \mathrm{id})$ is an isomorphism, we are done.

The claim on cohomology is proved following exactly the same technique, but using differential comodules over $C^*(K)$. ☐

Corollary A.4.3. *Given $E \to X$ and F as above, there are canonical maps*

$$\rho_* : H_*(\mathcal{F}) \to H_*(F_K) \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \rho^* : H^*(F_K) \to H^*(\mathcal{F}).$$

Proof. We put $\rho_* = \psi_*$ and $\rho^* = \psi^*$, where ψ is as in proposition A.4.2. The only extra choice made in the definition of ψ was a K equivariant map $\phi : E \to EK$. Any two such maps ϕ_0, ϕ_1 are related by a gauge transformation: $\phi_0 = \phi_1 g$. Then proposition A.4.2 implies that the corresponding maps $\psi_0, \psi_1 : \mathcal{F} \to F_K$ induce the same maps in (co)homology: $\psi_{0*} = \psi_{1*}$ and $\psi_0^* = \psi_1^*$. ☐

Remark A.4.4. *Observe that the map ρ does not only depend on the bundle $\mathcal{F}$: it depends also on the structure group K (this is obvious!) and on the particular K principal bundle $E \to X$ such that $\mathcal{F} = E \times_K F$. When this is not clear from the context we will write $\rho(E)$ or $\rho(K, E, F)$ instead of ρ.*

Finally, we quote the following trivial corollary of the definition of the map ρ^*.

Corollary A.4.5. *Consider a map of S^1 principal bundles*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E \arrow[r, "R"] \arrow[d] & E' \arrow[d] \\
X \arrow[r] & X'.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then the map $\rho_E^* : H_{S^1}^*(F) \to H^*(E \times_{S^1} F)$ is equal to $R_F^* \rho_{E'}^*$, where $\rho_{E'}^* : H_{S^1}^*(F) \to H^*(E' \times_{S^1} F)$ and where $R_F^* : H^*(E' \times_{S^1} F) \to H^*(E \times_{S^1} F)$ is given by the map $R_F : E \times_{S^1} F \to E' \times_{S^1} F$ induced by R.

Bibliography

[AB] M. Atiyah, R. Bott, The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A **308** (1982) 523–615.

[Al] L. Álvarez Cónsul, *Ph. D. Thesis*, in preparation.

[AlGP] L. Álvarez Cónsul, O. García–Prada, Dimensional reduction, equivariant bundles and stable chains, *preprint.*

[ABCKT] J. Amorós, M. Burger, K. Corlette, D. Kotschick, D. Toledo, *Fundamental groups of compact Kaehler manifolds*, Math. Surveys and Monographs **44**, AMS 1996.

[Ar] N. Aronszajn, A unique continuation theorem for elliptic differential equations or inequalities of the second order, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **36** (1957) 235–239.

[AuLa] M. Audin, J. Lafontaine, *Holomorphic curves in symplectic geometry*, Progress in Math. 117, Birkhaeuser, 1994.

[Ba] D. Banfield, *The geometry of coupled equations in gauge theory*, D. Phil. thesis, University of Oxford, 1996.

[BarTi] Bartolomeis, Tian, Stability of complex vector bundles, *J. Diff. Geom.* **43** (1996) 231–275.

[BeGeV] N. Berline, E. Getzler, M. Vergne, *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **298**, Springer–Verlag.

[Beh] K. Behrend, GW-invariants in algebraic geometry, `alg-geom 9601011`.

[BiFr] J.M. Bismut, D.S. Freed, Analytic torsion and holomorphic determinant bundles, I–III, *Comm. Math. Phys. 115*, 49–126, 301–351.

[Bq] O. Biquard, Fibrés de Higgs et connexions intégrables: le cas logarithmique (diviseur lisse), *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup.* 4ème série **30** (1997) 41–96.

[Br1] S.B. Bradlow, Vortices in holomorphic line bundles over closed Kaehler manifolds, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **135** (1990) 1–17.

[Br2] S.B. Bradlow, Special metrics and stability for holomorphic bundles with global sections, *J. Diff. Geom.* **33** (1991) 169–213.

[BrDW] S.B. Bradlow, G. Daskalopoulos and R. Wentworth, Birational equivalences of vortex moduli, *Topology* **35** (1996) 731–748.

[BrDGW] S.B. Bradlow, G. Daskalopoulos, O. García–Prada and R. Wentworth, Stable augmented bundles over Riemann surfaces. *Vector Bundles in Algebraic Geometry* (Durham 1993), eds. N.J. Hitchin, P.E. Newstead and W.M. Oxbury, LMS Lecture Notes Series, 208 (1995) 15–68, Cambridge University Press.

[BrGP1] S.B. Bradlow, O. García–Prada, Higher cohomology triples and holomorphic extensions, *Comm. Anal. and Geom.* **3** (1995) 421–463.

[BrGP2] S.B. Bradlow, O. García–Prada, A Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence for coherent systems on Riemann surfaces, preprint.

[BrGP3] S.B. Bradlow, O. García–Prada, Stable triples, equivariant bundles and dimensional reduction, *Math. Ann.* **304** (1996) 225–252.

[BtD] Bröcker, tomm Dieck, Representations of compact Lie groups, GTM 98, Springer–Verlag.

[Co] K. Corlette, Flat G-bundles with canonical metrics, *J. Diff. Geom.* **28** (1988) 361–382.

[DaUW] G. Daskalopoulos, K. Uhlenbeck, R. Wentworth, Moduli of extensions of holomorphic bundles on Kaehler manifolds, *Comm. Anal. and Geom.* **3** (1995) 479–522.

[Do1] S.K. Donaldson, A new proof of a theorem of Narasimhan and Seshadri, *J. Diff. Geom.* **18** (1983) 269–278.

[Do2] S.K. Donaldson, Anti-self-dual Yang-Mills connections on complex algebraic surfaces and stable vector bundles, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **3** (1985) 1–26.

[Do3] S.K. Donaldson, Infinite determinants, stable bundles and curvature, *Duke Math. J.* **54** (1987) 231–247.

[Do4] S.K. Donaldson, The Seiberg-Witten equations and 4-manifold topology, *B.A.M.S.* **33** (1996) 46–70.

[DoKr] S.K. Donaldson, P.B. Kronheimer, *The Geometry of Four-Manifolds*, Oxford Science Publications, Clarendon Press, 1990.

[FH] W. Fulton, J. Harris, *Introduction to the representation of groups*, GTM 129, Springer-Verlag.

[FrUh] D. Freed, K. Uhlenbeck, Instantons and four manifolds, MSRI Publications **1**, Springer, New York, 1984.

[FuOn] K. Fukaya, K. Ono, Arnold conjecture and Gromov-Witten invariant, *Warwick preprint* 29/1996.

[GP1] O. García–Prada, Invariant connections and vortices, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **156** (1993) 527–546.

[GP2] O. García–Prada, A direct existence proof for the vortex equations over a compact Riemann surface, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **26** (1994) 88–96.

[GP3] O. García–Prada, Dimensional reduction of stable bundles, vortices and stable pairs, *Int. J. Math.* **5** (1994) 1–52.

[GP4] O. García–Prada, Seiberg-Witten invariants and vortex equations, *Les Houches school on quantum symmetries*, eds. A. Connes, K. Gawędzki, J. Zinn-Justin, (1995) 885–934.

[GiTr] D. Gilbarg, N.S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, 2nd. edition, Springer, Berlin.

[Gr] M. Gromov, Pseudo-holomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds, *Invent. Math.* **82** (1985) 307–347.

[GS] V. Guillemin, S. Sternberg, Geometric Quantization and Multiplicities of Group Representations, *Invent. Math.* **67** (1982) 515–538.

[Hi] N.J. Hitchin, The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **55** 59–126.

[JT] A. Jaffe, C.H. Taubes, *Vortices and Monopoles*, Progress in Physics 2, Birkhauser (1980), Boston.

[KeNe] G.R. Kempf, L.A. Ness, The length of vectors in representation spaces, *Algebraic Geometry*, Proc., Copenhagen 1978 (K. Lonsted, ed.), LNM 732, Springer–Verlag.

[Ki] F.C. Kirwan, *Cohomology of quotients in symplectic and algebraic geometry*, Math. Notes, Princeton University Press 1984.

[Ko] S. Kobayashi, *Differential geometry of complex vector bundles*, Iwanami Shoten and Princeton Universiy Press 1987.

[KoMa] M. Kontsevich, Y. Manin, Gromov-Witten classes, quantum cohomology, and enumerative geometry, *Comm. Math. Phys* **164** (1994) 525–562.

[LiTi] J. Li, G. Tian, Virtual moduli cycles and Gromov-Witten invariants of general symplectic manifolds, `alg-geom 9608032`.

[LiTi2] J. Li, G. Tian, Virtual moduli cycles and Gromov-Witten invariants of algebraic varieties, `alg-geom 9602007`.

[LiTi3] J. Li, G. Tian, Comparison of the algebraic and the symplectic Gromov-Witten invariants, `alg-geom 9712035`.

[LTe] M. Lübke and A. Teleman, *The Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence*, World Scientific 1995.

[Lu] P. Lu, A rigorous definition of fiberwise quantum cohomology and equivariant quantum cohomology, *J. Geom. Anal.* **6** (1998) 511-588.

[MFK] J. Mumford, D. Fogarty, F. Kirwan, *Geometric Invariant Theory* 3rd edition, Ergebnisse der Math., Springer–Verlag (1994), New York.

[McCl] J. McCleary, *User's guide to spectral sequences*, Publish or Perish.

[McD] D. McDuff, Examples of symplectic structures, *Invent. Math.* **89** (1987) 13–36.

[McDS1] D. McDuff, D. Salamon, *J-holomorphic Curves and Quantum Cohomology*, Univ. Lect. Series **6**, A.M.S.

[McDS2] D. McDuff, D. Salamon, *Symplectic Topology*, Oxford Science Publications, 1995.

[Mu] I. Mundet i Riera, *Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence for coherent systems*, preprint.

[NSe] M.S. Narasimhan, C.S. Seshadri, Stable and unitary vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface, *Ann. of Math.* **82** (1965) 540–564.

[NewNi] A. Newlander, L. Niremberg, Complex analytic co-ordinates in almost complex manifolds, *Ann. of Math.* **65** (1957) 391–404.

[Pa] T. Parker, Bubble tree convergence for harmonic maps, *J. Diff. Geom.* **44** (1996) 595–633.

[PaWo] T. Parker, J. Wolfson, Pseudoholomorphic maps and bubble trees, *Journ. Geom. Anal.* **3** (1993) 63–98.

[R1] A. Ramanathan, Stable principal bundles on a compact Riemann surface, *Math. Ann.* **213** (1975) 129–152.

[R2] A. Ramanathan, Moduli of principal bundles over algebraic curves, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci)* **106** (1996) 301–328 and 421–449.

[RS] A. Ramanathan, S. Subramanian, Einstein-Hermitian connections on principal bundles and stability, *J. reine angew. Math.* **390** (1988) 21–31.

[Ru] Y. Ruan, Topological sigma model and Donaldson type invariants in Gromov theory, *Duke Math. J.* **83** (1996) 461–500.

[Ru2] Y. Ruan, Virtual neighbourhoods and pseudo-holomorphic curves, `alg-geom 9611021`.

[Ru3] Y. Ruan, Quantum cohomology and its application, *Doc. Math.* Volume ICM 1998 **II**, 411-420, `http://www.mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de/documenta`.

[RuTi] Y. Ruan, G. Tian, A mathematical theory of quantum cohomology, *J. Diff. Geom.* **42** (1995) 259–368.

[RuTi2] Y. Ruan, G. Tian, Higher genus symplectic invariants and sigma model coupled with gravity, *Invent. Math* **130** (1997) 455–516.

[SSa] S. Salamon, *Riemannian geometry and holonomy groups*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, Longman Scientific and Technical, 1989.

[Sie] B. Siebert, Gromov-Witten invariants for general symplectic manifolds, `dg-ga 9608005`.

[Sie2] B. Siebert, Algebraic and symplectic Gromov-Witten invariants coincide, `math.AG/9804108`.

[Si] C.T. Simpson, Constructing variations of Hodge structure using Yang–Mills theory and applications to uniformisation, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1** (1988) 867–918.

[Sik] J.-C. Sikorav, Some properties of holomorphic curves in almost complex manifolds, in *Holomorphic curves in symplectic geometry*, Ed. by M. Audin and J. Lafontaine, pp. 165-189, Birkhaeuser, 1994.

[Sj] R. Sjamaar, Holomorphic slices, symplectic reduction and multiplicities of representations, *Ann. Math. II*, **141** No.1 (1995) 87–129.

[Sm] S. Smale, An infinite dimensional version of Sard's theorem, *Am. J. Math.* **87** (1973) 213–221.

[Th] M. Thaddeus, Stable pairs, linear systems and the Verlinde formula, *Invent. Math.* **117** (1994) 317–353.

[Uh] K. Uhlenbeck, Connections with L^p bounds on curvature, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **83** (1982) 31–42.

[UY] K. Uhlenbeck, S.T. Yau, On the existence of Hermitian Yang-Mills connections in stable vector bundles, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **39** (1986) 257–239, **42** 703–707.

[Wi] E. Witten, Two dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space, *Surveys in Diff. Geom.* **1** (1991), 243-310.

[Wo] J.G. Wolfson, Gromov's compactness of pseudo-holomorphic curves and symplectic geometry, *J. Diff. Geom.* **28** (1988) 383–405.